# Numerical Study on the Impact of Revising the Rainstorm Intensity Formula on the Storm Flood Disaster Prediction in Huinan, Pudong District

HUANG Jing

 Shanghai Pudong Environmental Protection and City Health Authority, China
 Shanghai Pudong New Area Hydrology and Water Resources Administration, China E-mail: 1 jhuang@tongji.edu.cn

**Abstract:** The numerical model by MIKE FLOOD software has been set up with coupled the rainfall runoff submodel and underground network sub-model by MIKE URBAN software and the overland flow sub-model by MIKE 21 software to simulate the storm flood in Huinan, Pudong District. After the calibration and validation, the model is applied to study the impact of revising the rainstorm intensity formula on the local storm flood disaster prediction. And the conclusions are obtained: after the formula revised, the time to appear the maximum waterlogging area would be delayed, and the local storm flood disaster would worsen under the current drainage pipe networks, i.e., the overland flood range and its depth would slightly increase.

Keywords: storm flood; disaster; rainstorm intensity formula; waterlogging; numerical simulation

# 1. Introduction

The torrential rain has the characteristic of the high intensity and large amount rainfall for the higher water level. When the rainfall exceeds the capacity of the urban drainage system, it is easy for the local ground to be waterlogged due to all the rainwater is not drained quickly and efficiently, and then the urban flooding will occur with the low-lying houses got drowned, the local traffic crippled, which strongly affect the people's life and work. A few mathematical models have been established to study the urban flooding, e.g. InfoWorks CS<sup>[1-2]</sup>, Storm Water Management Model (SWMM)<sup>[3]</sup>, GIS-based urban flood inundation model<sup>[4]</sup>, 2D hydrodynamic model capable of simulating flash floods<sup>[5]</sup>, MOUSE model<sup>[6-8]</sup>.

Rainstorm intensity formula is one of the basic methods for the design of municipal facilities and drainage networks. And the designed pattern has a fundamental role not only to unify the computing standards but also to guarantee facility.

Recently, more and more extreme rainstorms in Shanghai city have become stronger and stronger. The storm intensity formula generated in 1960s cannot be available to the current rainstorm characteristics and rainfall patterns, which is not conducive not only to the design and optimum construction of the municipal facilities, but also to the elimination of urban rainfall flood disaster. It needs to revise the local storm intensity formula. So, the standard of rainstorm intensity formula and design rainstorm distribution in Shanghai city (DB 31/T 1043-2017)<sup>[9]</sup> was officially released on March 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2017.

Huinan, located in the south of Pudong District in Shanghai, has been suffered by the storm flood disasters for years. Therefore, the model coupled with the rainfall runoff sub-model and the underground network sub-model by MIKE URBAN, and the overland flow sub-model by MIKE 21 are established in MIKE FLOOD platform to simulate the storm flood in this research, and the impact of revising the rainstorm intensity formula on the storm flood disaster prediction in the local region is studied.

### 2. Local rainfall characteristics

There is a tropical East Asian mon-soon, and the oceanic climate with plenty of rains in Huinan. And Pudong Canal, Zhonggang River, Yaogou River and Sanzaolu River are around it (see Fig. 1), with the normal water level of  $2.50 \sim 2.80$  m. When the storm flood disaster occurs in Huinan, there are many houses, factories and croplands often suffered, especially, the region, east to Yaogou River, south to Zhonggang River, west to Pudong Canal, and north to Sanzaolu River (see Fig. 1).

According to the analysis of the meteorological data at Huinan Hydrographic Station from 2009 to 2014, the local short-duration rainfall is strong, and trends up, especially since 2011 (see Fig.2). In general, the number of the flood disasters is positively correlated with the annual precipitation, i.e., the more rainfall is, the easier the

disaster appears (see Fig.3). Furthermore, the rainstorm often occurs during June to August every year (see Table 1).



Fig.1 Location of Huinan in Pudong District ("Δ" and "⊗" show Huinan Hydrographic Station and Gongji Road Rain Pump. Numbers "1" ~ "9" show Meihua Road, Nanzhu Road, Jinhai Road, Chuannanfeng Road, Tanghong Road, Gongbei Road, Gongji Road, East Renmin Road and Huayuan Road.)





Time (year) Fig.3 Relationship between the annual precipitation and numbers of the storm flood disasters in Huinan during 2009 to 2014

Daily Time rainfall (mm)	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May.	June.	July.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Rainstorm (50 ~ 100)	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4	1	1	1	0
Strong rainstorm (100 ~ 250)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
Extreme rainstorm (> 250)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	5	1	2	1	0

Table 1 Statistics of the rainstorms in each month in Huinan during 2009 to 2014

#### 3. Model set up

#### **3.1 Control equations**

The runoff in the rain inlet can be calculated by<sup>[6]</sup>

$$Q = q\psi F \tag{1}$$

where Q represents the runoff in the rain inlet; q is the designed rainfall intensity;  $\psi$  is the coefficient of the rainfall runoff; F is the catchment area.

The surface runoff can be calculated by<sup>[6]</sup>

$$W = W_1 + W_2 + W_3 = HS_1 + HS_2 - Q_x + HS_3 - Q_t = qtS_1 + qtS_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q_i + qtS_2 - 60fS_3t$$
(2)

where W is the surface runoff;  $W_1$  is the runoff of the impervious zone without the depression storage;  $W_2$  is the runoff of the impervious zone with the depression storage;  $W_3$  is the runoff of the pervious zone; H is the total rainfall;  $S_1$  is the area of the impervious zone without the depression storage;  $S_2$  is the area of the impervious zone with the depression storage;  $S_2$  is the area of the impervious zone with the depression storage;  $S_2$  is the area of the impervious zone with the depression storage;  $Q_i$  is the infiltration of the pervious zone;  $Q_i$  is the infiltration of the impervious zone with the depression storage;  $Q_t$  is the infiltration of the pervious zone;  $Q_i$  is the depression storage capacity of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  hollow,  $Q_i = 1.49L_i$  ( $H - h_{pi}$ )<sup>5/3</sup> $S_{0i}^{0.5/n}$ , where  $L_i$  is its length,  $h_{pi}$  is its water depth,  $S_{0i}$  is its slope, n is the roughness; f is the infiltration rate,  $f = f_c + (f_0 - f_c)e^{-ktm} = f_0(1 - W / W_m) + f_c W / W_m$ , where  $f_0$  is the initial maximum infiltration rate,  $f_c$  is the minimum infiltration rate, k is the attenuation coefficient,  $t_m$  is the time of the saturated soil changing to be the dry soil, W is the soil moisture content, and  $W_m$  is the soil retention.

The discharge of the pipe line can be calculated by<sup>[6]</sup>

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Q'}{\partial x} = 0\\ \frac{\partial Q'}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{Q'^2}{A} \right) + gA \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + gAS_f + gAh_L = 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

where *A* is the cross-sectional area of the pipe line, *Q*' is the discharge of the pipe line, *h* is the water head, *S<sub>f</sub>* is the frictional resistance of the slope,  $S_f = n^2 Q' |v| / (AR^{4/3})$ , where *v* is the velocity, *R* is the hydraulic radius, and *h<sub>L</sub>* is the water head loss.

When the hollow has the storage function, its discharge can be calculated by<sup>[6]</sup>

$$\frac{\partial h'}{\partial t} = \frac{\sum Q'_t}{S_t} \tag{4}$$

where *h*' is the water head of the hollow,  $Q'_t$  is the discharge of the hollow,  $S_t$  is the water area of the hollow. But when the hollow has the only connect function, its discharge is calculated by  $\Sigma Q'_t = 0$ .

#### **3.2** Computational grids

The research region is east to Yaogou River, south to Zhonggang River, west to Pudong Canal, and north to Sanzaolu River, about 5 km<sup>2</sup>, including Huinan Station of Metro Line 16 and the core zone of Dongcheng District (see Fig.1), with 642 hollows, 619 pipe lines, 22 outlets, 23.4 km of the total pipe lengths, where has divided to be 579 x 656 square grids with the uniform interval of 4 m. And 614 catchments in the study area has been generated with Thiessen Method with one water collection hollow in each catchment to make the rainfall runoff flow into the drainage pipes.

#### **3.3 Boundary conditions**

In this study, the river open boundaries are setup with the different water levels under the various shortduration rainstorms (see Table. 2), and the diverse rainfall is created to be the rainfall conditions before and after the local rainstorm intensity formula revised, i.e., the formula of  $q = 1600 (1 + 0.8461gP) / (t + 7.0)^{0.656}$  (DB 31/T 1043-2017)<sup>[9]</sup> by the Chicago Rainfall Pattern with the rainfall peak coefficient of 0.405 is instead of q = 5544 $(P^{0.3} - 0.42) / (t + 10 + 71gP)^{0.82 + 0.071gP[10]}$  by the Chicago Rainfall Pattern with the rainfall peak coefficient of 0.3 in Shanghai, where P is the designed reoccurrence period, t is the rainfall duration. The simulated region is mainly composed of buildings, roads, green fields and water. And the different impervious rates have been used, e.g. 90 % for buildings, 85 % for roads, 25 % for green fields, zero for water, 50 % for the rest.

Tuble 2 The fulliant and the fiver water levels ander the uniform conditions							
Designed reoccurrence period	Total rainfall in	River water level					
(year)	Original formula	Current formula	(m)				
Once every three years $(P = 3 a)$	62	68	2.85				
Once every five years $(P = 5 a)$	70	76	2.90				

Table 2 The rainfall and the river water levels under the different conditions

## 3.4 Model input parameters

The mean surface flow velocity is adopted as 0.3 m/s, the hydraulic decay coefficient is 0.9, the initial abstraction is 0.0006 m, and the coefficient of the rainfall runoff is 0.6 in the rainfall runoff sub-model. The roughness of the concrete drainage pipe lines is used 0.0015 in the drainage hydrodynamic sub-model. The manning coefficient is 32.0, and the water depth of the dry grid is below 0.002 m while the water depth larger than 0.003 m is wet in the overland flood sub-model. And the time step is set to 1 s.

# 3.5 Model calibration and validation

The simulated results have been compared with the actual storm flood disaster in Huinan on Oct. 8<sup>th</sup> in 2013 and Aug. 23<sup>th</sup> in 2015 to calibrate and validate the model respectively. And the calibrated results show that the modeled overland flood distribution range and its depth are agreed with the actual situation (see Table 3), and the time to subside water costs about 16 hours from 10:00 on Oct. 8<sup>th</sup> to 1:25 on Oct. 9<sup>th</sup> in 2013 is also satisfied with the actual reports. After the validation, it shows that the modeled flood range and its depth are also conformed to the on-site situation, except for Gongji Road (Jinhai Road ~ Chuannanfeng Road), due to the road construction in 2015. So this model could be adopted to simulate the storm flood in Huinan, Pudong District.





Fig.4 Temporal evolution of the observed rainfall and water level at Huinan Hydrographic Station



(a) at 10:00 A.M. on Oct. 8<sup>th</sup>, 2013 (b) at 7:00 A.M. on Aug. 23<sup>th</sup>, 2015
Fig.5 Layout of the simulated overland flood distribution in Huinan when the range is maximum (Numbers "1" ~ "9" show Meihua Road, Nanzhu Road, Jinhai Road, Chuannanfeng Road, Tanghong Road, Gongbei Road, Gongji Road, East Renmin Road and Huayuan Road)

Table 3 Comparisons of the simulated flood dep	oth with the actual situation in Huinan, Pudong District
	,

Timo	Location	$H_{\rm max}$ (m)	$H_{\rm max}$ (m)	
Time	Location	Actual Situation	Simulated Results	
at 10:00 A.M.	Gongji Road	pprox 0.10	pprox 0.10	
on Oct. 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2013	East Renmin Road	$\approx 0.15$	$\approx 0.15$	
	(Nanzhu Road ~ Jinhai Road)	$\sim 0.15$		
	Jinhai Road	$\sim 0.20$	pprox 0.20	
	(Gongbei Road ~ East Renmin Road)	$\sim 0.20$		
	Jinhai Road	$\sim 0.10$	≈ 0.10	
	(north of Gongle Road)	$\sim 0.10$		
	Jindicheng Neighborhood	pprox 0.10	pprox 0.10	
	Dongchenghuayuan II Neighborhood	pprox 0.05	pprox 0.05	
at 7:00 A.M.	Gongji Road	> 0.20	0.15 ~ 0.20	
on Aug. 23th, 2015	(Jinhai Road ~ Chuannanfeng Road)	$\geq 0.50$		
	Jinhai Road	> 0.20	0.30 ~ 0.35	
	(Gongji Road ~ Zhonggang River)	$\geq 0.50$		
	Jindicheng Neighborhood	$\geq 0.30$	0.30 ~ 0.35	
	Dongchenghuayuan I Neighborhood	$\geq 0.30$	pprox 0.35	

# 4. Simulation results

The validated storm flood model is adopted to simulate the overland flood flow in Huinan under the different conditions. And the impact of revising the storm intensity formula on the local storm flood disaster prediction is studied.

In the past, the maximum of the total ponded areas under the various short-duration rainstorms would be 0.556 km<sup>2</sup> at the time of 1:00:36 (P = 3 a, original), 0.606 km<sup>2</sup> at 1:03:36 (P = 5 a, original), and the overland flood depth would be 0.614 m at 1:00:36 (P = 3 a, original), 0.619 m at 1:03:36 (P = 5 a, original) (see Table 4).

Correspondingly, after the formula revised, the maximum areas will increase to be  $0.557 \text{ km}^2$  at 1:12:00 (P = 3 a, current), 0.609 km<sup>2</sup> at 1:13:12 (P = 5 a, current), and the flood depth will rise to be 0.615 m at 1:13:12 (P = 3 a, current), and 0.620 m at 1:12:00 (P = 5 a, current) (see Table 4).

So it can be found that after the storm intensity formula revised, the time of the peak value would be delayed, while the overland flood distribution and its depth have a slight increase (see Fig.6 and Fig.7). And the water level in the manholes would also be a little higher, e.g., the water level in the manhole at the intersection between Jinghai Road and Gongji Road. Before the formula revised, the peak water level reached to 3.75 m (P = 3 a, original), 3.95 m (P = 5 a, original), while the water level would rise to 3.77 m (P = 3 a, current), 3.97 m (P = 5 a, current) (see Fig.8).

Therefore, the drainage system needs to be redesigned with the revised storm intensity formula for the sake of the regional safety.









(d) P = 5a\_current (at the time of 1:05:50) (d) P = 5a\_current (at the time of 1:15:12) Fig.7 Comparisons of the simulated overland flood distribution under the different conditions (Numbers "1" ~ "9" show Meihua Road, Nanzhu Road, Jinhai Road, Chuannanfeng Road, Tanghong Road, Gongbei Road, Gongji Road, East Renmin Road and Huayuan Road)



Fig.8 Temporal evolution of the water level in the manhole at the intersection between Jinghai Road and Gongji Road under the different conditions

Table 4 Statistics of the peak ponded area and relevant overland flood depth under the different con
--

		P = 3 a		P = 5 a			
	Original	Current		Original	Current		
	(at the time	(at the time	Changes	(at the time	(at the time	Changes	
	of 1:00:36)	of 1:12:00)		of 1:03:36)	of 1:13:12)		
Total ponded area (m <sup>2</sup> )	556.496	557.008	0.09 %	606.128	609.664	0.58 %	
Overland flood depth (m)	0.614	0.615	0.16 %	0.619	0.620	0.16 %	

# **5.** Conclusions

In this research, a numerical model by MIKE FLOOD platform has been set up with coupled the rainfall runoff sub-model and underground network sub-model by MIKE URBAN software, and the overland flow sub-model by MIKE 21 software to simulate the storm flood in Huinan, Pudong District. After the calibration and validation with the actual storm flood disaster happened in Huinan on Oct. 8<sup>th</sup> in 2013 and Aug. 23<sup>th</sup> in 2015, the model is adopted to simulate the local overland flood flow under the various short-duration rainstorms before and after the storm intensity formula revised. And the impact of the storm intensity formula on the storm flood disaster prediction is studied. The results are shown that the time of the peak value would be delayed, the total ponded area and overland flood depth have a slight increase, and meanwhile the water level in the manholes would also be a little higher after the storm intensity formula revised. So the drainage pipe networks need to be redesigned under the revised storm intensity formula for safety reasons.

# 6. References

[1] Tan Qiong. Application of drainage model for urban storm water quantity management. Ph.D. Thesis, Shanghai: Tongji University, 2007. (in Chinese)

[2] Yao Yu. Study on the application of urban drainage networks modeling based on geodatabase. MS. Thesis, Shanghai: Tongji University, 2007. (in Chinese)

[3] Barco Janet, Wong M. Kenneth, and Stenstorm K. Michael. Automatic calibration of the U.S. EPA SWMM Model for a large urban catchment. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 2008, 134: 466-474.

[4] Chen Jian, Hill A. Arleen, and Urbano D. Lensyl. A GIS-based model for urban flood inundation. Journal of Hydrology, 2009, 373: 184-192.

[5] Xia Junqiang, Falconere A. Roger, Lin Binliang, and Tan Guangming. Numerical assessment of flood hazard risk to people and vehicles in flash floods. Environmental Modeling & Software, 2011: 1-12.

[6] Huang Jing, Wang Shanzhu, Deng Shuzhao, Yang Xiaobin, Zhou Quan. Numerical study on the impact of Gongji Road Rain Pump on the waterlogging in Huinan, Pudong District. Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection, 2014, 2: 52-58.

[7] Huang Jing, Wang Shanzhu, Deng Shuzhao, Yang Xiaobin. Numerical research on the effect of the water level on the urban drainage network in Huinan, Pudong district. 4<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Civil, Architectural and Hydraulic Engineering. Progress in Civil, Architectural and Hydraulic Engineering IV. 2016: 727-730.

[8] Huang Jing. Numerical study on the street flooding in Huinan, Pudong district during the various shortduration rainstorm events. 2<sup>nd</sup> Technical Congress on Resources, Environment and Engineering. CRC Press: Resources, Environment and Engineering II. 2016: 351-356.

[9] Shanghai Municipal Quality and Technology Supervision Bureau. Standard of rainstorm intensity formula and design rainstorm distribution in Shanghai city (DB 31/T 1043-2017). 2017.

[10] Sun Huixiu, Hao Yiqiong, Long Tengrui. Appendix 3-2: Urban storm intensity formula of some cities in China. In Drainage Engineering (Volume One). Beijing: China Architecture and Building Press. 2013: 185. (in Chinese)