

### Genomics of metabolic adaptations in the peripartal cow

### J. J. Loor<sup>+</sup>

Mammalian NutriPhysioGenomics, Department of Animal Sciences and Division of Nutritional Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA

(Received 6 October 2009; Accepted 6 April 2010)

The peripartal period is characterized by dramatic alterations in metabolism and function of key tissues such as liver, adipose and mammary. Metabolic regulation relies partly on transcriptional control of gene networks, a collection of DNA segments, which interact with a transcription factor or nuclear receptor, as a mechanism controlling the concentration of key enzymes in cells. These 'global' interactions can govern the rates at which genes in the network are transcribed into mRNA. The study of the entire genome, sub-networks or candidate genes at the mRNA level encompasses the broad field of genomics. Genomics of peripartal metabolic adaptations has traditionally been focused on candidate genes and more recently, using microarrays, on the broader transcriptome landscape. The candidate gene approach has expanded our knowledge on the functional adaptations of ureagenesis, fatty acid oxidation, gluconeogenesis, inflammation and growth hormone signaling in liver. More recent work with peripartal mammary tissue has used a gene network approach to study milk fat synthesis regulation as well as a candidate gene approach to study lipid transport, glucose uptake and inflammatory response. Network and pathway analysis of microarray data from cows fed different levels of dietary energy pre partum has revealed unique clusters encompassing functional categories including signal transduction, endoplasmic reticulum stress, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR $\gamma$ ) signaling, PPAR $\alpha$ signaling, immune or inflammatory processes and cell death in subcutaneous adipose tissue as well as liver. Of interest from a nutritional perspective is the potential to alter PPAR $\gamma$  signaling in adipose and PPAR $\alpha$  signaling in liver as a means to enhance insulin sensitivity as well as fatty acid oxidation post partum. Major advances in understanding the metabolic adaptations of peripartal cows will come from using a systems biology approach to integrate data generated at the mRNA, protein, metabolite and tissue level across different nutritional management approaches and with cows of different genetic merit. This will allow the assembly of the important components needed to improve existing metabolic models of the peripartal cow and provide the tools to manipulate complex processes that could have significant long-term economic impact including lactation persistency, fertility and efficiency. An important goal of the future will be to apply additional experimental tools (e.g. gene silencing) and bioinformatics (e.g. transcription factor binding site identification) to studies focused on peripartal cows.

Keywords: liver, adipose, mammary, systems biology, lactation

#### Implications

Availability of DNA sequence information has facilitated characterization of the behavior of molecular networks at multiple points of growth, development and disease. Although the scientific method has allowed us to amass substantial amounts of information at the tissue and cow level in the peripartal period, major gaps in knowledge of the molecular adaptations during this crucial life stage of the dairy cow remain. This review is focused on the use of transcriptomics and bioinformatics to study function of liver, adipose and mammary tissue during the peripartal period and how those data relate to animal-level measures of metabolism. Work on mRNA expression of candidate genes important for metabolic/functional adaptations in tissues is discussed. Network and pathway analysis of high-throughput transcriptomics data due to peripartal plane of nutrition in both health and disease are also explored. The potential for targeting nuclear receptors/transcription regulators in tissues via nutrition is evaluated. Lastly, perspectives for the peripartal cow as a model for systems biology are presented.

#### Introduction

Achieving homeostasis during the transition from late pregnancy to lactation represents a monumental task in modern high-producing dairy cows. Changes in the direction and magnitude of various pathways of long-chain fatty acid (LCFA), glucose, and amino acid metabolism in periparturient cows have been well described during the last 25 to 30 years

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> E-mail: jloor@illinois.edu

(reviews by McNamara, 1991; Bauchart, 1993; Grummer, 1995; Drackley, 1999; Chilliard *et al.*, 2000; Drackley *et al.*, 2006). Despite the extensive body of work accumulated, however, the mechanisms underlying metabolic adaptations in liver (e.g. Rhoads *et al.*, 2004; Andersen *et al.*, 2008) and adipose (e.g. Rhoads *et al.*, 2004; Sumner and McNamara, 2007; Smith *et al.*, 2009) during this physiological stage remain actively studied. More importantly, it is now recognized that adipose tissue through the synthesis and secretion of a wide variety of proteins could influence metabolism of tissues including liver (reviews by Chilliard *et al.*, 2005; Drackley *et al.*, 2005; Vernon, 2005).

Development of high-throughput sequencing and transcriptomics technologies has dramatically accelerated the rate at which biological and genetic information can be gathered. Genomics encompasses the study of all the genes of a cell, or tissue, at the DNA (genotype), mRNA (transcriptome) or protein (proteome) levels. Microarray technology, in particular, is a powerful tool for the simultaneous analysis of the expression of thousands of genes in tissues, organs or cells. DNA sequence information encoding RNA for specific genes is physically printed onto microarray 'chips,' thus allowing measurement of the abundance of each RNA molecule in a biological sample. Together with whole-animal level information, large-scale DNA and mRNA information are increasing our understanding of mechanisms of genetic regulation in agricultural species. Functional genomics is generally defined as the study of the transcriptome (Schoolnik, 2002). The transcriptome (i.e. mRNA) encodes genetic information about a protein and changes in its expression exert a major influence on physiological conditions.

Over the last 15 to 20 years, the liver has been the organ most studied in terms of defining changes in mRNA expression of genes encoding proteins that participate in various aspects of liver lipoprotein assembly (Gruffat et al., 1997; Bremmer et al., 2000; Bernabucci et al., 2004), growth hormone (GH) signaling (Radcliff et al., 2003; Rhoads et al., 2004), ureagenesis and gluconeogenesis (Greenfield et al., 2000; Hartwell et al., 2001). Owing to the expense and infrastructure required, few studies have used microarray technology to more fully evaluate the ruminant peripartal transcriptome in liver (Loor et al., 2005a, 2006 and 2007), adipose (Janovick et al., 2009; Sumner et al., 2009a), mammary (Finucane et al., 2008; Ollier et al., 2009; M. Bionaz, S. L. Rodriguez-Zas, R. E. Everts, H. A. Lewin, W. L. Hurley and J. J. Loor, unpublished results) and also neutrophils (Madsen et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2009). Using a candidate gene approach or large-scale transcriptomics, work in genomics of peripartal adaptations to date has provided insights into physiological functions of key tissues. In the following sections, recent studies evaluating mRNA on a focused or high-throughput scale will be discussed.

### *Genetically imposed nutrient prioritization: consequences for adipose, liver, immune cells and animal productivity*

In early *post partal* dairy cows, a series of biological mechanisms bring about the prioritization for milk production at the cost of body reserves (Bauman and Currie, 1980; Chilliard, 1999; Ingvartsen, 2006; Leroy *et al.*, 2008). Drastically

reduced insulin concentrations and alterations in cellular response elements cause a reduction in lipogenesis to extremely low rates. Recent transcriptomics analysis has shown a clear reduction in most of the control elements and enzymes regulating lipogenesis (Janovick *et al.*, 2009; Sumner *et al.*, 2009a). In addition, lipid mobilization increases to supply fatty acids to the udder and other organs. Adipose tissue of high-yielding dairy cows has an increased sensitivity to lipolytic stimuli (e.g. low insulin, high catecholamines or high glucocorticoid concentrations; McNamara and Hillers, 1989). The classic phosphorylation scheme is a large part of the regulation of HSL activity. However, recent work suggests that there may be some upregulation of mRNA expression of lipolytic genes (e.g.  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptors, hormone-sensitive lipase; Sumner and McNamara, 2007).

The non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) released from adipose travel primarily to liver and must be fully-oxidized to  $CO_2$ , converted to ketone bodies, or esterified into TAG either for delivery into blood as very low density lipoproteins (VLDL) or storage as cytosolic lipid droplets (Drackley, 1999). Because ruminants have inherently low rates of VLDL synthesis and secretion (Pullen *et al.*, 1990), accumulation of TAG in liver cells as well as extensive output of ketone bodies such as  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyrate (BHBA) into the circulation likely afflict all dairy cows (Reynolds *et al.*, 2003). The metabolic load placed on the peripartal cow liver is exacerbated by the decrease in feed intake and negative energy balance, which can occur as early as 10 days before parturition (Allen *et al.*, 2005).

In addition to triggering lipolysis, hypoinsulinemia promotes aluconeogenesis (up to 4 kg alucose each day; e.g. Drackley et al., 2001; Reynolds et al., 2003) and uncouples the GH - insulin like growth factor 1 (IGF-I) axis in liver due to downregulation of GH1A transcript abundance (Lucy, 2007). As IGF-I production in liver is suppressed, the negative feedback of IGF-I is removed at the level of the hypothalamus/pituitary gland, and GH concentrations increase. High GH concentrations not only stimulate milk production but also enhance and sustain gluconeogenesis in liver and lipolysis in adipocytes (Etherton and Bauman, 1998). The resulting high blood NEFA and GH concentrations antagonize insulin actions, place additional stress on liver function, and create a further state of peripheral insulin resistance (Lucy, 2007; Pires et al., 2008). Under the above scenarios, even more glucose is conserved and made available to the mammary gland for lactose synthesis. Hyperinsulinemiceuglycemic clamp work with peripartal cows (e.g. Bauman and Griinari, 2003; Rhoads et al., 2004) has shown that enhancing insulin sensitivity in adipose tissue can prevent excessive adipose lipolysis (i.e. lower blood NEFA).

Elevated NEFA concentrations have been negatively correlated with pancreatic function (evaluated via glucose tolerance tests) in early *post partal* cows (Bossaert *et al.*, 2008). Although observations *in vitro* suggested that blood neutrophil phagocytic capacity was marginally affected by NEFA concentrations similar to those observed after parturition (i.e. 1 to 2 mM), there was evidence of reduced cell viability and greater necrosis (Scalia et al., 2006). Data showed that when challenged with high levels of NEFA, neutrophils had a marked increase in oxidative burst activity, which in turn appears detrimental to their viability. An additional response associated with lipolysis around parturition is the marked shift in concentration and profiles of LCFA both in blood NEFA and liver tissue phospholipids (Douglas et al., 2007; Ballou *et al.*, 2009). It has been proposed that alterations in immune cell plasma membrane fatty acid content also could affect inflammatory responses and how the cow responds to pathogens (Sordillo et al., 2009) or metabolic disorders after parturition. As argued in the following sections, prepartal lipid supplementation may be one useful nutritional strategy to prepare tissues to face the metabolic demands after parturition. However, the molecular targets of LCFA must be first identified and their mechanisms clearly studied before practical recommendations can be made.

# *Candidate gene expression analysis of enzymes and transcription regulators and their links to metabolism in liver of peripartal cows*

Lipoprotein and cholesterol metabolism. A number of studies over the last 10 to 15 years have used a focused approach to study subsets of genes that appear central to metabolic adaptations in liver (Table 1). The reviews of Bauchart (1993) and Gruffat et al. (1996) outlined data indicating the potential role of apolipoprotein B (APOB) in the development of fatty liver after parturition. This apolipoprotein is the major protein of the VLDL particles and lipoproteins that result from their catabolism by the lipolytic cascade (intermediate density lipoproteins and low density lipoproteins, LDL; Bauchart, 1993). The work of Gruffat et al. (1996 and 1997) showed that despite a lack of change in APOB mRNA, the liver concentration of APOB protein was ca. 25% lower after parturition (1, 2 and 4 weeks) relative to the prepartal period. However, it was noteworthy that APOB mRNA was numerically lower after parturition and that effect was later confirmed by Bernabucci et al. (2004). From these studies it was evident that reductions of APOB mRNA or protein were associated with increased liver concentration of TAG and reduced concentration of VLDL in blood for at least the first 3 to 4 weeks *post partum*. Thus, the potential for a functional link between reduced APOB and peripartal liver lipidosis was established. This apoprotein remains an important player of intracellular lipid metabolism in peripartal cows (Figure 1).

Additional mRNA expression work has evaluated other proteins associated with lipoprotein metabolism including microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (*MTTP*) and apolipoprotein E (*APOE*; Bremmer *et al.*, 2000; Bernabucci *et al.*, 2004) as well as the cytosolic enzymes 3-hydroxy-3methylglutaryl-Coenzyme A synthase 1 (*HMGCS1*; van Dorland *et al.*, 2009) and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-Coenzyme A reductase (*HMGCR*; Bionaz *et al.*, 2007a), which control the synthesis of cholesterol in liver. Results indicate that at parturition there is an increase in *MTTP* coupled with a reduction in *HMGCR* and unchanged *HMGCS1* relative to pre partum levels. These responses are for the most part reversed by the 2nd week *post partum* and persist through the first month of lactation, particularly for HMGCS1 which corresponds to some extent to the pattern of blood cholesterol around those times (e.g. Bernabucci et al., 2004; Bertoni et al., 2008). Greater pre-partal blood cholesterol levels through saturated fat supplementation were associated with lower liver TAG during the 2nd week post partum (Andersen et al., 2008), which implies that this type of nutritional management might be beneficial for peripartal cows. It is recognized, however, that the effects of *pre-partal* supplemental lipid have not been consistent across studies (e.g. Grum et al., 1996; Douglas et al., 2007; Andersen et al., 2008; Ballou et al., 2009; Carriquiry et al., 2009), likely due to a combination of factors including the genetic ability of the cow to utilize the lipid, makeup of the dietary components of the basal diet (e.g. level of effective fiber, level of starch), level/type of lipid supplementation and/or length of the prepartal feeding period. A thorough evaluation of those factors was beyond the scope of this review, but will have to be performed in the future if lipid supplementation is to be of practical value to target certain molecules in the tissue's transcriptome (see discussion below).

Fatty acid oxidation and esterification. Evaluation of in vivo pathways of fatty acid oxidation and esterification in liver (e.g. Grum et al., 1996; Van Den Top et al., 1996) received substantial attention during the last 15 to 20 years. Those studies revealed important roles of the peroxisomal oxidation pathway as well as the enzymes acyl-CoA:sn-glycerol-3-phosphate 1-0acyltransferase (AGPAT) or glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase, mitochondrial (GPAM), both which have the same EC number (2.3.1.15), diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase (DGAT) and phosphatidate phosphatase (PPAP) on the adaptations of the liver during the peripartum period. More than 50% of total fatty acid oxidation rates in liver were due to peroxisomal oxidation and this increased to >60% of total oxidative capacity within 2 to 4 weeks *post partum* (Grum *et al.*, 1996). In addition, it was recognized that activity of GPAT/AGPAT, PPAP and DGAT all increased from late pregnancy through the 1st week *post partum* and was also affected by the *pre-partal* dietary energy level (Van Den Top et al., 1996).

A difficulty in trying to reconcile enzyme activity assays conducted several years ago with more current mRNA and protein expression data is the recognition that several of the proteins associated with liver lipid metabolism can exist as different isoforms, each encoded by a unique mRNA. Examples include the glycerol-phosphate acyltransferases AGPAT (AGPAT1 is liver-specific, AGPAT6 is mammary specific; Bionaz and Loor, 2008a) and GPAM (the mitochondrial isoform). Novel enzymes with PPAP activity (lipins, LPIN) have also been identified and found to play important roles in DAG formation as well as transcription regulation (Finck *et al.*, 2006; Reue and Zhang, 2008). Thus, reconciling mRNA expression data and activity for specific isoforms in the future will require reevaluation with more specific assays targeting the particular enzyme. 

 Table 1
 Relative expression of genes associated with pathways of lipid, carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism as well as immune response, translation and growth factors in liver during the peri-partal period

		Expression	post partum			
Pathway/gene <sup>+</sup>	Gene function/biological process <sup>+</sup>	1 to 10 DIM	10 to 35 DIM	Method	Internal control	Reference
Lipoprotein and cholester	ol metabolism					
АРОВ	Cholesterol transport, lipoprotein synthesis	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	Northern, RPA $^{\$}$	18S rRNA, GAPDH	Gruffat <i>et al</i> . (1996 and 1997), Bernabucci <i>et al</i> . (2004)
APOE	Same as above, HDL clearance	Ŷ	<b>↑</b>	RPA	GAPDH	Bernabucci <i>et al.</i> (2004)
МТТР	Cholesterol homeostasis, lipoprotein transport	Î	$\downarrow/\leftrightarrow/\uparrow$	RPA, dot–blot hvbridization, gPCR	GAPDH, 18S rRNA, ACTB	Bernabucci <i>et al.</i> (2004), Selberg <i>et al.</i> (2005) <sup>‡</sup> , Loor <i>et al.</i> (2006) <sup>§</sup>
HMGCS1	Cholesterol/isoprenoid biosynthesis, biosynthesis	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	qPCR	GAPDH + ACTB	van Dorland <i>et al.</i> (2009)
HMGCR	Cholesterol/isoprenoid biosynthesis	Ţ	ļ	qPCR	RPS9	Bionaz <i>et al.</i> (2007a)
Fatty acid transport		·	·			
CD36	Lipid storage/binding, transport	<u>↑</u>	$\leftrightarrow$	qPCR	RPS9	Bionaz <i>et al.</i> (2007a)
ACSL1	Long-chain FA-CoA ligase activity, regulation of LCFA oxidation	Ť	Ŷ		ACTB	Loor et al. (2005a), van Dorland et al. (2009)
FABP1	Lipid binding	Ļ	$\downarrow$		RPS9	Bionaz <i>et al</i> . (2007a)
Fatty acid oxidation						
CPT1A	Fatty acid beta-oxidation	$\leftrightarrow / \uparrow / \downarrow$	$\leftrightarrow / \downarrow$	qPCR, dot-blot hybridization	ACTB, 18S rRNA, GAPDH + ACTB	Loor <i>et al</i> . (2005a), Selberg <i>et al</i> . (2005) <sup>‡</sup> , van Dorland <i>et al</i> . (2009)
CPT2	Fatty acid beta-oxidation, carnitine transport	Ţ	$\leftrightarrow / \downarrow$	qPCR	GAPDH + ACTB	van Dorland <i>et al.</i> (2009)
ACADVL	Fatty acid beta-oxidation	↔/↑	$\leftrightarrow/\uparrow$	qPCR	ACTB, $GAPDH + ACTB$	Loor <i>et al.</i> (2005a and 2006), van Dorland <i>et al.</i> (2009)
ACOX1	Peroxisomal LCFA beta-oxidation	<u>↑</u>	<b>↑</b>	qPCR	ACTB	Loor <i>et al.</i> (2005a)
ADIPOR2	Hormone binding	↑	, ↓	qPCR	ACTB	Loor <i>et al.</i> (2006)
CYP4A11	Fatty acid hydroxylase activity, electron transport	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	qPCR	RPS9	Bionaz <i>et al.</i> (2007a)
Ketogenesis						
HMGCS2	Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA biosynthesis	$\downarrow$	<u>↑</u>	qPCR	GAPDH + ACTB	van Dorland <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Esterification						
GPAM	Acyltransferase activity, TAG synthesis, PL synthesis	Î	Î	qPCR	ACTB	Loor <i>et al</i> . (2005a)
DGAT1	Acyltransferase activity, VLDL assembly, TAG synthesis	Ŷ	$\leftrightarrow /\uparrow$	qPCR	ACTB	Loor <i>et al</i> . (2006) <sup>§</sup>
AGPAT1	Acyltransferase activity, phosphatidic acid	Î	Î	qPCR	ACTB	Loor <i>et al.</i> (2006) <sup>§</sup>
Lipid droplet formation	5,					
SCD	Oxidoreductase activity	I	1	aPCR	RPS9	Bionaz <i>et al.</i> (2007a)
ADFP/PLIN2 <sup>®</sup>	Lipid storage. LCFA transport	↓ ↑	$\leftrightarrow$	4		
PLIN4	Lipid storage	ŕ	↑			
PLIN5	Lipid storage	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$			
Gluconeogenesis						
PCK1	Glycerol biosynthesis, cytosol	$\leftrightarrow / \downarrow$	$\downarrow$ / $\uparrow$	Northern, qPCR	18S rRNA, GAPDH + ACTB	Greenfield <i>et al</i> . (2000), Hartwell <i>et al</i> . (2001), van Dorland <i>et al</i> . (2009)
РСК2	Mitochondria	Ť	↑	aPCR	GAPDH + ACTB	van Dorland <i>et al.</i> (2009)
PC		↔'/↑	$\leftrightarrow /\uparrow$	Northern, qPCR	18S rRNA, GAPDH + ACTB	Greenfield <i>et al.</i> (2000), Hartwell <i>et al.</i> (2001), van Dorland <i>et al.</i> (2009)

		Expression	post partum			
Pathway/gene <sup>+</sup>	Gene function/biological process <sup>+</sup>	1 to 10 DIM	10 to 35 DIM	Method	Internal control	Reference
Ureagenesis						
ASS1	Arginine biosynthesis	$\leftrightarrow$	Ŷ	Northern	18S rRNA	Hartwell <i>et al</i> . (2001)
ОТС	Arginine biosynthesis	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$			
Oxidative stress						
GSTM5	Glutathione transferase, protein transport, detoxification of lipid peroxides	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	qPCR	ACTB	Loor <i>et al</i> . (2005a)
Immune response						
SAA1	Acute-phase response, neutrophil/macrophage chemotaxis, negative regulation of inflammation	Î	$\leftrightarrow$	qPCR	ACTB	Loor <i>et al.</i> (2005a)
IL27RA	Protein binding, positive regulation of IFN- $\gamma$ production	Î	$\leftrightarrow$	qPCR	ACTB	Loor <i>et al</i> . (2005a)
TNF	Cytokine activity	<u>↑</u>	$\leftrightarrow$	qPCR	АСТВ	Loor <i>et al</i> . (2005a)
IFNGR2	IFN- $\gamma$ receptor activity, signal transduction	, ↑	$\leftrightarrow$	qPCR	АСТВ	Loor <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Glycolysis						
ALDOA	Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate metabolism, ATP production	Î	$\leftrightarrow / \uparrow$	qPCR	ACTB	Loor <i>et al.</i> (2005a)
TCA cycle						
CS		$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow / \uparrow$	qPCR	GAPDH + ACTB	van Dorland <i>et al</i> . (2009)
Translation and post- translational control						
EIF4B	Regulation of translation	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	qPCR	АСТВ	Loor <i>et al</i> . (2005a)
UBE2W	Posttranslational protein modification	$\uparrow$	↑	qPCR	АСТВ	Loor <i>et al</i> . (2005a)
Growth hormone/IGF-1 axis						
GHR		$\downarrow$	$\leftrightarrow / \downarrow$	qPCR, RPA	Cyclophilin, GAPDH, HPRT	Radcliff <i>et al.</i> (2003), Jiang <i>et al.</i> (2005), Carriquiry <i>et al.</i> (2009)
IGF1	Growth factor activity	$\downarrow$	$\leftrightarrow / \downarrow$	qPCR, RPA	Cyclophilin, GAPDH, HPRT	Radcliff et al. (2003), Jiang et al. (2005), Carriguiry et al. (2009)
IGFBP3	IGF-1 binding, negative regulation of signal transduction and positive regulation of apoptosis	Ļ	$\downarrow$	qPCR	ACTB, HPRT	Loor et al. (2005a), Carriquiry et al. (2009)
Growth factor						
FGF21	Signal transduction, cell-cell signaling	$\uparrow$	1	qPCR	HPRT	Carriquiry <i>et al.</i> (2009)

RPA = ribonuclease protection assay. Arrows denote upregulation, downregulation or no change in expression compared with the *pre partum* period.

<sup>†</sup>Gene symbol and function according to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). <sup>‡</sup>No *pre partum* value reported, but no change between 2 and 14 DIM.

<sup>SExpression</sup> greater in cows fed *ad libitum* energy *pre partum* and consuming ca. 150% of the energy requirements *ν* cows consuming ca. 80% of the requirements *pre partum*. Treatment × time effect such that cows consuming ca. 150% of energy requirements during the dry period had greater mRNA abundance on day 1 *post partum ν* day –14 or 14. <sup>¶</sup>Time effect P = 0.11.



**Figure 1** Putative model of long-chain fatty acid (NEFA) uptake, intracellular metabolism, and transcriptional adaptations in peripartal liver (modified from Bionaz *et al.*, 2007a). The central role of PPAR $\alpha$  in coordinating metabolic adaptations to dietary fatty acids or fasting in non-ruminants is well established. However, recent data suggest that PPAR $\delta$  also may play a role in liver glucose and lipoprotein metbolism (e.g. Sanderson *et al.*, 2009 and 2010).

Data are currently available for the peripartal mRNA expression of genes encoding enzymes associated with mitochondrial (carnitine palmitoyl transferase-1A (liver), CPT1A, CPT2 and acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, very long-chain, ACADVL) or peroxisomal (acyl-CoA oxidase 1, palmitoyl, ACOX1) betaoxidation (Table 1). Work from rodent studies showing that adiponectin from adipose tissue and a functional liver-specific adiponectin receptor (ADIPOR2) are involved in hepatic fatty acid oxidation (e.g. Yamauchi et al., 2003 and 2007) also sparked interest on evaluating ADIPOR2 in peripartal cows fed different levels of dietary energy pre partum (Loor et al., 2006). The temporal increase in liver ADIPOR2, if it translated into more protein, would be one adaptation to allow for adiponectin's action ((via nuclear receptors (NR), e.g. peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR)) to stimulate LCFA oxidation. This intriguing possibility remains to be shown.

Data for *CPT1A* mRNA expression has been inconsistent, that is, some studies report increased mRNA (statistical or numerical; Loor *et al.*, 2005a; Bionaz *et al.*, 2007a), decreased (van Dorland *et al.*, 2009) or no change (Selberg *et al.*, 2005). Expression pattern of *ACADVL*, which catalyzes the first step of mitochondrial oxidation (e.g. Drackley, 1999), was also found to increase or remain unchanged after calving. There is only one report of *ACOX1* mRNA expression in peripartal cows showing an increase after parturition. Previous work with peripartal cows showed numerical increases in liver CPT1 activity at the onset of lactation and through 14 day post-calving relative to the dry period (Dann

and Drackley, 2005). The biological effect of moderate increases in CPT1 activity cannot be underestimated as a 46% increase in its activity due to overexpression of its mRNA in rodents was sufficient to reduce liver TAG accumulation and enhance palmitate oxidation (Stefanovic-Racic *et al.*, 2008). Thus, activity and mRNA data available for enzymes of mictochondrial and peroxisomal oxidation point at a concerted action at two levels of regulation in allowing hepatocytes to cope with the sudden influx of NEFA. We have postulated that ligand-dependent NR such as PPAR $\alpha$  (*PPARA*), PPAR $\gamma$  coactivator 1 $\alpha$  (*PPARGC1A*) and/or hepatocyte nuclear factor 4- $\alpha$  (*HNF4A*) mediate these coordinated responses (Loor *et al.*, 2007b).

Fatty acid uptake, intracellular activation and channeling. More recent work has focused on a wider spectrum of genes encoding for enzymes associated with cellular LCFA uptake (CD36 molecule (thrombospondin receptor, *CD36*)), intracellular LCFA activation (Acyl-CoA synthase ligase, ACSL isoforms) and intracellular LCFA channeling (Fatty acid binding protein, FABP isoforms). Our laboratory (Bionaz *et al.*, 2007a) has used a more holistic approach (Figure 1) to study liver peripartal adaptations, that is, a focus has been on evaluating the concerted changes in mRNA expression of genes associated with fatty acid uptake, cholesterol synthesis, fatty acid oxidation and fatty acid esterification (i.e. TAG formation) as well as transcription regulators (Table 2). Those studies have been useful in establishing the more

		Expression	post partum			
Gene⁺	Gene function/biological process <sup>+</sup>	1 to 10 DIM	10 to 35 DIM	Method	Internal control	Reference
PPARA	Sequence-specific DNA binding, fatty acid transport, regulation of	$\downarrow/\leftrightarrow$	$\downarrow/\!\leftrightarrow$	qPCR	HPRT, ACTB, GAPDH + ACTB	Loor <i>et al.</i> (2005a), Carriquiry <i>et al.</i> (2009), van Dorland <i>et al.</i> (2000)
PPARGC1A <sup>‡</sup>	Mitochondrial biogenesis, ligand-dependent nuclear receptor co-activator	←	\$	qPCR	RPS9	Bionaz <i>et al.</i> (2007a)
HNF4A	acuruty, postive regulation or latiy actu oxuation and glucoreogenesis Fatty acid binding, steroid binding, xenobiotic metabolism	¢	$\downarrow/\!\leftrightarrow$	qPCR, RPA	HPRT, ACTB, GAPDH	Loor <i>et al.</i> (2005a), Jiang <i>et al.</i> (2005), Carriquiry
HNF4G	Steroid binding, regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II	←	¢	RPA	GAPDH	et al. (2005) Jiang et al. (2005)
SREBF1	promoted Sterol response element binding, regulation of transcription from RNA	$\leftrightarrow/\uparrow$	$\leftrightarrow / \uparrow$	qPCR	Actb, GAPDH + Actb	Loor <i>et al.</i> (2005a), Loor <i>et al.</i> (2006), van
PPARG	ponymerase in promoter Regulation of fat cell differentiation, retinoid X receptor binding	\$	\$	gPCR	GAPDH + ACTB	voriand <i>et al.</i> (2009) van Dorland <i>et al.</i> (2009)
NR2F2	Ligand-regulated transcription factor, regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter	\$	¢	RPA	GAPDH	Jiang <i>et al.</i> (2005)
RPA = ribonuc Arrows denote <sup>†</sup> Gene symbol	:lease protection assay. : upregulation, downregulation or no change in expression compared with the and function according to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (	<i>pre partum</i> per (NCBI).	riod.			

abundant FABP and ACSL isoforms and how their expression profiles change over the transition period. From a transcriptional standpoint (i.e. messenger RNA), an isoform can refer to a protein variant produced as a result of different genes. Even if isoform proteins are highly similar and have a conserved functional domain, both the specific cellular localization as well as differences in affinity for substrates can play an important role in determining the fate of fatty acids, for example, esterification *v*.  $\beta$ -oxidation. This has been shown for *ACSL* and *FABP* isoforms mostly in rodents (Coleman *et al.*, 2002; Furuhashi and Hotamisligil, 2008).

Divergent tissue expression patterns are also suggestive of functional specificity, as has been highlighted for *FABP*, *GPAT*, *AGPAT* and *LPIN* isoforms (Furuhashi and Hotamisligil, 2008; Takeuchi and Reue, 2009). Isoforms of *LPIN* and *AGPAT* have been characterized recently and, for both, diverse biological functions among the different isoforms have been suggested (Takeuchi and Reue, 2009). Regulation of the abovementioned gene families occurs almost exclusively at the gene expression level. Furthermore, some of the *ACSL*, *FABP* and *AGPAT* isoforms are under the control of PPAR in several tissues. In addition, PPARGC1A appears to regulate expression of *LPIN1* (Finck *et al.*, 2006; Reue and Zhang, 2008). Thus, measurement of isoform mRNA expression in liver tissue will provide valid information to aid in generating hypotheses for more detailed functional studies.

Acyl-CoA synthetase activates fatty acids through an ATPrequiring process before entry into different intracellular metabolic pathways. During the process of LCFA entry into cells (Figure 1), FABP also play a key role in channeling LCFA into different metabolic pathways. In fact, FABP are among the most abundant intracellular proteins in liver (ca. 5% of total cytosolic protein; McArthur et al., 1999) and effectively allow rapid diffusion and selective channeling of LCFA toward specific organelles for metabolism (McArthur et al., 1999). They also bind LCFA CoAs, as shown for the liver-specific FABP (Schroeder et al., 2008). Some isoforms of ACSL (ACSL3, 4) and FABP (FABP5) are among several proteins related to lipid metabolism that are found associated with intracellular lipid droplets (LD) in liver cells (Sato et al., 2006). Both ACSL and FABP have been well characterized in mice, rats and humans but no data were available for the bovines until recently (Bionaz et al., 2007a; Bionaz and Loor, 2008a).

Our evaluation of mRNA expression of ACSL and FABP in peripartal liver (Bionaz *et al.*, 2007a) revealed for the first time that *FABP1*, *ACSL1* and *ACSL5* are the most predominant isoforms, with *FABP1* accounting for ca. 89% of total isoforms and *ACSL1* and *ACSL5* for ca. 6% and ca. 4% of total isoforms when expressed as a percentage of total *ACSL1-6* and *FABP1-6* mRNA. In cows fed diets to meet or exceed energy requirements during the dry period, however, data also showed that both *FABP1* and *ACSL5* decreased between -14 and 1 days relative to parturition followed by an increase (ca. 3-fold) by day 14 but still not to prepartal levels (Table 1). In contrast, *ACSL1* expression increased to peak values from -14 to 1 day after which it remained elevated by day 14 relative to parturition (Table 1).

effect P = 0.14.

<sup>‡</sup>Time

It is possible that the marked decrease in FABP1 mRNA, if it also occurred at the protein level, on day 1 post partum is one of the factors precluding additional amounts of intracellular LCFA from being channeled toward  $\beta$ -oxidation. However, the marked increase (ca. 3-fold) in expression of FABP1 between calving and 14 day post partum, which coincided with a reduction in serum NEFA and an increase of in vitro liver tissue palmitate metabolism (Bionaz et al., 2007a), was indicative of a link between NEFA and downstream activation of PPAR $\alpha$  because in rodent liver it was shown that the amount of FABP1 protein is correlated with transactivation of the NR in response to LCFA as well as chemical ligands (Wolfrum et al., 2001). Thus, just as in rodents, FABP1 in peripartal liver could act as a cytosolic gateway for PPAR $\alpha$  activation (Wolfrum *et al.*, 2001). In an analogous manner, FABP4, which is the most abundant isoform in non-ruminant/ruminant adipose tissue (Janovick et al., 2009; Sumner et al., 2009b), has also been shown to bind exogenous LCFA and translocate to the nucleus (via an unknown mechanism) and interact with PPAR<sub>2</sub> (Adida and Spener, 2006), the main PPAR isoform in mammalian adipose tissue. Thus, it could be possible that NEFA generated during basal or hormone-stimulated lipolysis (or from uptake via lipoprotein lipase action on circulating lipoproteins) in adipose tissue bind to FABP4, which then can interact with PPAR $\gamma$  and activate a transcriptional cascade allowing for pre-adipocyte differentiation and lipid filling (see discussion below).

A greater amount of ACSL1 alone might be insufficient to prevent incoming LCFA from being esterified into TAG, a response typically observed after parturition as a result of greater activity of GPAT/AGPAT, PPAP and DGAT (e.g. Grummer, 1995; Gruffat *et al.*, 1996; Van Den Top *et al.*, 1996; Drackley, 1999). Recent mRNA expression data (Table 1) for the most part agree with results of enzyme activities, but it should be noted that the relative expression of the above genes for the most part has been restricted to a few studies and none involving *pre-partal* lipid supplementation. Thus, it is possible that expression patterns may be different depending on the type and amount of lipid supplementation.

*Cytosolic lipid droplet formation in hepatic cells.* Perilipin (*PLIN*) and mouse adipose differentiation-related protein (*ADFP* or *PLIN2*) were two of the first proteins experimentally shown to associate with the cytosolic LD surface (Brasaemle, 2007). Lipid droplets store neutral lipids (TAG primarily) in their core, and under continuous supply of LCFA the nascent lipid droplets (e.g. Dalen *et al.*, 2006) continue growing until they reach a final size that depends on the cell type and typically ranges between 1 and 20 mm (Goodman, 2008). Other proteins commonly associated with intracellular LD include ACSL, GPAT, AGPAT and DGAT (Goodman, 2008).

In adipose tissue, PLIN are essential for both droplet formation as well as basal and hormone-stimulated lipolysis (Brasaemle, 2007). Adipophilin (*ADFPIPLIN2*) and the perilipin gene are both part of the perilipin family. However, it is believed that PLIN4 (formerly S3-12) and PLIN5 (formerly OXPAT/MLDP) are exchangeable cytosolic LD proteins that facilitate rapid protein association with the earliest deposits of neutral lipids. Thus, they appear essential for efficient lipid packaging and the stabilization of nascent LD (Brasaemle, 2007). In contrast, the integral LD proteins PLIN1 and ADFP/ PLIN2 may serve more critical roles in managing the turnover of neutral lipid stores to facilitate the regulated release of LCFA and cholesterol in response to changes in the metabolic state (Brasaemle, 2007).

The ADFP/PLIN2 protein associates with smaller neutral LDs located within most tissues, but rarely in adipose cells, which express PLIN1 (Brasaemle, 2007). The presence of ADFP/PLIN2 might be more relevant in liver, where it is expressed at high levels and PLIN1 is not normally expressed (Brasaemle, 2007). Data from our laboratory (Bionaz et al., 2007a) uncovered for the first time an increase in both ADFP/ PLIN2 and PLIN4 by 1 day post partum coupled with a sustained increase of *PLIN4* through 14 day *post partum* (Table 1). These responses corresponded with typical increases in blood NEFA (e.g. Loor et al., 2006; van Dorland et al., 2009) and net uptake of NEFA by liver after parturition (Reynolds et al., 2003). Thus, increases in mRNA and activity (e.g. Van Den Top et al., 1996) of GPAM, DGAT1 and AGPAT1, but reduced APOB mRNA and protein all would provide enough substrates for sustained LD formation via ADFP/PLIN2 and PLIN4. The net result of such coordinated action of these genes/proteins would account for accumulation of TAG-filled LD in liver tissue rather than export of TAG in VLDL.

The classical model of VLDL-TAG synthesis in nonruminants underscores the importance of TAG hydrolase (i.e. carboxylesterase 1) and/or arylacetamide deacetylase for lipolyis of cytosolic LD so that LCFA become available to DGAT2 within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane for synthesis of TAG, which can then be used by MTTP and APOB for synthesis of mature VLDL-TAG (Gibbons et al., 2004). In the presence of insulin (i.e. absorptive state), LD accumulate in the cytosol and mature VLDL-TAG are not synthesized. Thus, in the peripartal period characterized by a state of pseudo insulin resistance, it is unlikely that insulin plays a role in VLDL-TAG synthesis/secretion. It remains to be determined if the upregulation of ADFP and/or PLIN4 after calving plays any role in channeling LCFA toward the formation of cytosolic LD. In addition, it is not known whether DGAT2 is expressed in peripartal liver or whether it plays a role in VLDL-TAG synthesis. It would appear that DGAT2 is either not expressed or inactive in peripartal liver, which would agree with the normal accumulation of TAG observed (e.g. Grummer, 1995; Drackley et al., 2006).

*Gluconeogenesis and ureagenesis.* Assessment of net liver metabolite fluxes in peripartal cows reinforced the fact that rates of gluconeogenesis (from amino acids, lactate, glycerol or propionate) and ureagenesis increase markedly at the onset and throughout the first 33 days post partum (Reynolds *et al.*, 2003). It has long been recognized that LCFA oxidation stimulates gluconeogenesis in a variety of species (e.g. Williamson *et al.*, 1966). Gene expression studies in peripartal

cows have focused on phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 1 (soluble) (*PCK1*), *PCK2* (mitochondrial isoform) and pyruvate carboxylase (*PC*) (Greenfield *et al.*, 2000; Hartwell *et al.*, 2001; Loor *et al.*, 2006; van Dorland *et al.*, 2009). Similarly, adaptations in ureagenesis in the peripartal period have been assessed through argininosuccinate synthetase 1 (*ASS1*) and ornithine carbamoyltransferase (*OTC*) mRNA expression (Hartwell *et al.*, 2009). Except for a recent study (van Dorland *et al.*, 2009), results from earlier studies (Greenfield *et al.*, 2000; Hartwell *et al.*, 2001) provided evidence of greater mRNA as early as 1 day *post partum* for *PC* but not until 28 days for *PCK1*. In a similar manner, expression of *ASS1* was greater at 28 days *post partum* than late *pre partum*, whereas *OTC* expression remained lower than *pre partal* levels.

Judging from the net fluxes of urea and ammonia during the transition period (Reynolds et al., 2003), the observed mRNA responses are suggestive of transcriptional control of ASS1 and OTC as being of lower importance than perhaps the availability of ammonia for urea cycle activity. Expression of OTC (not ASS1) appears to be under the control of both HNF4A and CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta (CEBPB) at least in rodents (Wakabayashi, 1998). The nuclear receptor subfamily two, group F, member two (NR2F2) can also compete for DNA elements where HNF4A binds to activate transcription of OTC, that is, at least in vitro NR2F2 can suppress the promoter activity of OTC even if HNF4A is activated (Wakabayashi, 1998). Expression of HNF4A has been reported to increase by 10 to 35 days in milk (DIM) relative to pre partum levels (Loor et al., 2005a; Carriquiry et al., 2009), but NR2F2 remains unchanged around parturition (Jiang et al., 2005). Thus, repression of the OTC promoter by NR2F2 against HNF4A is not expected to be of physiological relevance in peripartal liver. It is possible, however, that OTC is regulated by bovine CEBPB, which has been identified as one of several transcription regulators in peripartal liver (see section below).

It would appear that the observed differences in fluxes of gluconeogenic substrates and products between pre- and early post partum (Reynolds et al., 2003) could be attributed at least in part to greater transcription of PC, which would allow for greater metabolism of lactate and amino acids. The reason for the lag in *PCK1* mRNA upregulation is not readily apparent. Expression of PCK1 is stimulated in the fasted state by glucagon and glucocorticoids, acting through cyclic AMP (cAMP), which in vitro led to a 10-fold increase in transcription within 20 min (Yang et al., 2009). Insulin rapidly inhibits transcription (ca. 50% decrease in PCK1 mRNA levels within 30 min). In contrast, the activity of the enzyme changes far less rapidly, with a 2-fold increase in hepatic PCK1 activity observed 3 h after Bt2cAMP administration (Yang et al., 2009). Based on these results, it is now more apparent that regulation of PCK1 might occur at the level of mRNA processing or post-translational modifications of the enzyme (Yang et al., 2009). A wide number of transcription regulators (e.g. PPARA, PPARGC1A, HNF4A) have binding sites on the rat PCK1 promoter (Yang et al., 2009), and it is likely that the same is also true for the bovine gene.

associated with aspects of oxidative stress response, the only one reported to date in peripartal cows is a marked reduction in glutathione S-transferase mu 5 (GSTM5), which encodes a protein involved in the detoxification of electrophilic compounds, including products of oxidative stress, by catalyzing their conjugation with glutathione (Loor et al., 2005a). In cows fed to meet prepartal energy requirements. expression of GSTM5 decreased by 1 day post partum and remained downregulated through the first 2 weeks post partum (Loor et al., 2005a), a period that is characterized by increased oxidative stress (Bernabucci et al., 2005) as well as immunosuppression (Overton and Waldron, 2004). A recent study has provided evidence of a role for FABP1 in cellular antioxidant defense mechanisms potentially through binding of LCFA peroxidation products (Yan et al., 2009). Through binding of polyunsaturated (PUFA) LCFA, FABP1 can modulate the availability of these fatty acids to intracellular oxidative pathways and thus control the amount of reactive oxygen species (ROS) released within the cell. The fact that FABP1 mRNA decreases markedly after parturition (Table 1), at least in those studies reported to date, could not only hamper the channeling of LCFA toward oxidation but also the scavenging of ROS.

Stress and immune response. Among genes known to be

Genes encoding serum amyloid A1 (*SAA1*), tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (*TNF*) and IFN- $\gamma$ -related proteins (*IL27RA*, *IFNGR2*) were found to be upregulated after parturition compared with *pre partum* or 2 weeks *post partum* in cows fed to meet prepartal energy requirements (Loor *et al.*, 2005a). Those responses would be typical of a pro-inflammatory state after calving as shown by metabolic profiles in blood (e.g. Bionaz *et al.*, 2007c; Bertoni *et al.*, 2008). It was proposed (Loor *et al.*, 2005a) that a local inflammatory effect of TNF in liver would contribute to lipidosis, an effect that has been recently observed in lactating cows injected with recombinant bovine TNF (Bradford *et al.*, 2009).

### *Transcription regulators: NR and transcription factors in the liver*

Physiological context. Mammals have evolved both short/ rapid-acting and long/slower mechanisms to control metabolism (Desvergne et al., 2006). Rapid allosteric control and post-translational modification activate/deactivate enzymes or alter protein stability within seconds to minutes (Desvergne et al., 2006). Most effective for longer-lasting control (hours to days) is transcriptional regulation through cell surface receptors or NR. NR form a transcription factor family of at least 48 members in humans (Chawla et al., 2001). Genomescale approaches have been used recently to determine the transcriptional regulatory circuitry among NR in human and rodent hepatocytes (e.g. Odom et al., 2006). Results show that these regulators form a highly interconnected core circuitry in human hepatocytes, with autoregulation among liver-enriched NR and transcription factors being a prominent feature (Odom et al., 2006). The complexity of NR transcriptional networks is underscored by the sheer number of target genes that are connected by liver-enriched NR and

transcription regulators, that is, 800 to >4.000 genes (Odom *et al.*, 2006).

From a physiological standpoint, at least in non-ruminants, it is fascinating that some of the NR family members are responsive to nanomolar range concentrations (i.e. 'highaffinity') of activating ligands (e.g. hormones), and another group senses their ligands (e.g. LCFA, retinoic acid (RA), prostaglandins (PGJ<sub>2</sub>)) at micromolar range (i.e. 'low-affinity'). whereas for the remaining receptors (e.g. hepatocyte nuclear factor 4, HNF4) a natural ligand has not been characterized (Chawla et al., 2001; Desvergne et al., 2006). The lowaffinity receptors for dietary lipids and their derivatives all form and function as heterodimers with retinoid-X-receptor (RXR; Figure 1). Hepatocyte nuclear factor four is a member of the superfamily of NR and is expressed in the liver, kidney, intestine and pancreas, where it controls the expression of NR (e.g. HNF1), enzymes and proteins involved in lipoprotein and lipid metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, blood coagulation and others (Odom et al., 2004; Desvergne et al., 2006).

Once the ligand binds (e.g. LCFA, fibrates, thiazolidinediones (TZD)) to the ligand-binding domain in the PPAR, at least in non-ruminants, the PPAR/RXR complex is activated and binds to a specific DNA sequence (PPAR response element, PPRE) in the promoter region of specific target genes (Figure 1). The consensus sequence is a direct repeat of a hexanucleotide (AGGTCA) separated by a single nucleotide (i.e. DR-1). In contrast to PPAR, transcriptional activation of HNF4 is mediated by its binding as a homodimer to DR-1 promoter sequences of target genes. Interestingly, HNF4 binding to DR-1 sequences may be competed out by PPAR/ RXR complexes, RA receptor/RXR and NR2F2 (Odom et al., 2004; Sheena et al., 2005). Transcriptional modulation by HNF-4 may further be mediated by its physical interaction with other transcription factors (e.g. HNF1, NR2F2). What appears evident for most NR, at least in non-ruminants, is the fact that multiple co-activators (e.g. PPARGC1A) or co-repressors interact to enhance or repress target gene activation. Thus, evaluation of those molecules in studies of bovine peripartal metabolic adaptations appears justified in order to obtain a more mechanistic understanding on regulation of NR activity.

*PPAR and hepatic metabolism.* Particularly well studied in rodents, PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$  serve as master regulators of hepatic fatty acid oxidation and adipose tissue insulin sensitivity (e.g. Mandard *et al.*, 2004; Desvergne *et al.*, 2006). The pivotal role of PPAR $\alpha$  in preventing liver TAG accumulation has been clearly shown in non-ruminants (e.g. George and Liddle, 2008; Seo *et al.*, 2008). Likewise, PPAR $\gamma$  plays an important role in regulating adipose metabolism of glucose and LCFA and reduces inflammation (Hauner, 2002; Stienstra *et al.*, 2007). Recent evidence has uncovered that PPAR $\delta$  (PPARD) also could serve as an LCFA sensor in non-ruminant liver and help to coordinate glucose and lipoprotein metabolism (Sanderson *et al.*, 2009 and 2010). In contrast to the tremendous body of literature in non-ruminants, very little

work has been conducted to define the specific effects or mechanisms of PPAR $\alpha$  in ruminant liver. The work by Cappon et al. (2002) was the first to directly test the effect of a PPAR $\alpha$  agonist (Wy-14,643). Lactating goats received 40 mg/kg body weight (BW) of gelatin capsules containing Wy-14,643 or empty capsules (control) for 14 consecutive days. This selected dose was ca. 10-fold higher than the one eliciting (2 to 6 mg orally/kg per day) maximum hepatic LCFA oxidation activity in rats (Biegel et al., 1992). Goats treated with the PPAR $\alpha$  agonist had ca. 200% greater rates of hepatic peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation activity (Cappon *et al.*, 2002). Serum cholesterol was ca. 25% lower due to Wy-14,643 and likely reflected the well-defined hypolipidemic effect of PPAR $\alpha$  agonists (Mandard *et al.*, 2004). This study provided initial evidence of PPAR $\alpha$  responsiveness in ruminant liver and also as underscored the potential for it to enhance LCFA oxidation rates.

In an initial study characterizing longitudinal transcript profiles in liver of cows fed to meet *pre-partal* energy recommendations (Loor et al., 2005a), we observed that PPARA mRNA was upregulated after calving (1 and 14 DIM; Table 1), but recent studies reported no effect on PPARA mRNA after parturition (van Dorland *et al.*, 2009; Carriguiry et al., 2009). It is important to note, however, that activation of this NR in non-ruminants via known pharmaceutical ligands (e.g. Wy-14,643) or LCFA often does not alter PPARA mRNA (e.g. Knight et al., 2005; Dalen et al., 2006; Badman et al., 2007) but causes marked upregulation of its target genes in liver, for example, ACOX1, CPT1A or fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21). Recent studies have shown upregulation of these PPAR targets in cows not only during the early *post partal* period (Table 1) but also during nutritionally induced clinical ketosis early post partum (Loor et al., 2007b).

Although there are no data, to our knowledge, with PPAR $\alpha$  agonists in peripartal cows, work from our group revealed modest to substantial upregulation of the nonruminant putative PPARα targets ACSL1, CPT1A, ACADVL, CYP4A11 and ACOX1 in liver from Holstein calves receiving 62.5 mg/kg BW of the PPAR $\alpha$  agonist clofibrate for a 5-day period (Litherland et al., 2010). These results were similar to those observed in pigs (Cheon et al., 2005). Further, mRNA expression of the PPAR $\alpha$ -targets correlated with greater conversion of palmitate to CO<sub>2</sub> in vitro (Litherland et al., 2010). Fasting and starvation are associated with upregulation of PPARA and its transcriptional network in nonruminants (e.g. Mandard et al., 2004; Badman et al., 2007b), and in cows induced to develop ketosis early post partum we observed (Loor et al., 2007b) upregulation of PPARA, its co-activators PPARGC1A and LPIN1, the NR PPARD, HNF4A and *NR4A1* (Nurr77), as well as some of the known PPAR $\alpha$ targets including ACOX1 and angiopoietin-like 4 (ANGPTL4). Recent evidence showed a potential role of *PPARD* in hepatic adaptations to fasting and/or elevated blood NEFA (Sanderson et al., 2009). Thus, it may also serve as an important NR in peripartal bovine liver. Overall, the transcriptomics data available to date (Tables 1 and 2) seem to agree with the

well-defined hepatic adaptations (e.g. enhanced ketogenesis, fatty acid oxidation, ureagenesis; Reynolds *et al.*, 2003) that allow the liver to cope with the metabolic demands after parturition.

Lipogenic transcription factors and NR in hepatocytes. Expression of the lipogenic transcription regulator sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1 (SREBF1) has received some attention in peripartal studies (Table 2) because of the well-established role of this protein in the regulation of hepatic lipogenesis in rodents (Desvergne et al., 2006). Similarly, there is one study reporting the mRNA profile of PPARG, which is a classical adipogenic protein that regulates pre-adipocyte differentiation in rodents (Desvergne et al., 2006). It is unlikely, however, that these transcription regulators play a role in bovine liver as they do in rodents because ruminant liver is unable to conduct lipogenesis, that is, synthesis of fatty acids de novo, to a quantitative extent (Bell, 1979). Instead, the small activity and mRNA of lipogenic enzymes in liver (acetyl-CoA carboxylase, fatty acid synthase; Murondoti et al., 2004; Bernard et al., 2009) is more likely to serve as a gauge to alter the pool of cytosolic malonyl-CoA as a means to regulate fatty acid oxidation and ketogenesis (Drackley and Andersen, 2006).

We have observed a marked and sustained downregulation of hepatic SREBF1 after parturition (Loor et al., 2005a and 2006), and this pattern correlates (Table 1) with that of stearoyl-CoA desaturase (SCD; Bionaz et al., 2007a), which in rodent liver is a well-established SREBF1 target gene (Desvergne et al., 2006). Despite the 5-fold decrease in SCD mRNA between -14- and 1-day post partum in cows fed to meet pre-partal energy requirements, oleic acid concentration in liver tissue TAG increases moderately from late pre partum (-45 or -7 days) through 1 day post partum, remaining stable though 21 days post partum, and then decreases gradually (Rukkwamsuk et al., 2000; Douglas et al., 2007). Expression of SCD increased by ca. 3-fold between 1 day and 14 days post partum (Bionaz et al., 2007a). It is tempting to speculate that a primary function of SREBF1 in peripartal liver is to regulate transcription of SCD. Expression of SCD in turn might play a role in providing endogenous oleic acid for TAG synthesis and VLDL secretion (Figure 1), partly because knocking out hepatic SCD in rodents (Xu et al., 2007) reduced liver DAG, TAG and cholesterol ester in the short term (4 days) and serum VLDL-TAG in the longer term (14 days). In addition, liver-specific SCD knockout mice have lower liver TAG than controls even when fed high-carbohydrate diets (Miyazaki et al., 2007).

## Candidate gene expression analysis in mammary tissue of peripartal cows

*Expression of metabolic enzymes.* Focused studies of mammary transcriptomics adaptations during the peripartal period have assessed expression of glucose transporters (solute carrier family 2A and 5A; Zhao and Keating, 2007), aspects of milk fat synthesis regulation (Bionaz and Loor, 2008b), lipid transporters (Mani *et al.*, 2009) and also expression of antioxidant and inflammatory genes (Aitken *et al.*, 2009). The expression of *SLC2A1*, *SLC2A8*, *SLC2A12*, *SLC5A1* and *SLC5A2* mRNA increased from ca. 5-fold to several hundred-fold between late pregnancy to early lactation, suggesting that these transporters may be regulated by lactogenic hormones and have roles in milk synthesis (Zhao and Keating, 2007).

Our group was the first to conduct a comprehensive analysis of 45 genes associated with several aspects of mammary lipid metabolism during the course of lactation. The onset of lactation was characterized by dramatic upregulation in expression of genes associated with fatty acid (FA) uptake from blood (e.g. LPL, CD36) and intracellular transport/channeling (e.g. FABP3) (Bionaz and Loor, 2008a and 2008b). These adaptations were mirrored in milk FA profiles, showing that mammary uptake relative to de novo synthesis predominated in early lactation. Although of lower magnitude, lactation also induced upregulation of mRNA of genes involved in activation of FA (e.g. ACSL1, ACSS2), de novo synthesis (e.g. ACACA, FASN), desaturation (e.g. SCD, FADS1), synthesis of TAG (e.g. AGPAT6, GPAM), lipid droplet formation (e.g. BTN1A1, XDH) and ketone body utilization (e.g. BDH1, OXCT1). Temporal expression of genes with well-defined roles in mammary lipid metabolism peaked at 60 days post partum and to some extent followed the lactation curve. These transcriptomics adaptations corresponded with results from classical studies conducted at the University of Illinois on lipogenesis in the bovine mammary gland across the lactation cycle (Mellenberger et al., 1973).

Based on previous work (Loor and Herbein, 2003; Loor et al., 2005b), we could deduce a central role in endogenous oleic acid synthesis via SCD for mammary TAG synthesis. However, there was no statistical correlation between expression patterns of genes involved in desaturation and  $(\Delta^5, \Delta^6, \Delta^9)$  desaturase indexes, rendering their use to infer temporal enzyme expression/activity meaningless. Furthermore, expression data highlighted the importance of ketone body utilization, mitochondrial biogenesis and PPAR $\gamma$ activity (PPARGC1A) and lipid droplet formation (BTN1A1, XDH, ADFP) in the global scheme of milk fat synthesis and secretion. Novel findings included a likely role for PPARG, LASS2, INSIG1, SREBF2 and OSBP in regulating lipid synthesis and mammary intracellular equilibrium between cholesterol and sphingolipids. The work of Mani et al. (2009) expanded on the longitudinal expression of several members of the ATP-binding cassette transporter family (ABCA1, ABCA7, ABCG1). The expression of ABCA1 and ABCA7 was greater during the dry period compared with lactation, and was inversely associated with blood cholesterol levels suggesting that mammary uptake of blood cholesterol occurs partly via these transporters (Mani et al., 2009). The expression profile of ABCA7 and Niemann-Pick disease, type C1 (*NPC1*) may reflect a role of these transporters in the clearance of apoptotic cells and the intracellular redistribution of cholesterol (Mani et al., 2009).

The complexity of mammary molecular adaptations over time (e.g. mouse mammary gland; Rudolph *et al.*, 2007) was

underscored by this first gene network analysis as well as the apparent interrelationships that must coordinate the overall process of milk fat synthesis and secretion. Results challenged the proposal (Harvatine and Bauman, 2006) that *SREBF1* is central for milk fat synthesis regulation and highlight a pivotal role for a concerted action among *PPARG*, *PPARGC1A* and *INSIG1*. However, it should be underscored that bovine SREBF1 in concert with PPARG (and potentially carbohydrate responsive element binding protein, ChREBP; Graugnard *et al.*, 2009) likely play a role in bovine (beef and dairy cattle) adipogenesis/lipogenesis in adipose tissue as discussed below.

Candidate gene analysis in adipose tissue of peripartal cows Expression of metabolic enzymes and adipokines. In addition to its fundamental role as an energy storage depot, adipose tissue is now known to be a highly active endocrine organ capable of expressing and releasing cytokines and initiating an inflammatory or immune response, as well as influencing metabolism in other tissues (Vernon, 2005). Adipose tissue response elements associated with lipolysis include the  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptor subtypes (ADRB1, ADRB2, ADRB3) and the expression and activation of hormonesensitive lipase (LIPE) and PLIN1 (Brasaemle, 2007; Sumner and McNamara, 2007). Adipokines like adiponectin (ADIPOQ), leptin (LEP) and visfatin (NAMPT) play important roles in insulin sensitivity, glucose homeostasis and lipid metabolism in non-ruminants (Marra and Bertolani, 2009) and likely in ruminants (Chilliard et al., 2005).

Recent work with peripartal adipose tissue attempted to establish longitudinal mRNA expression of *ADRB* isoforms as well as *PLIN1* and *LIPE* (Sumner and McNamara, 2007). All *ADRB* isoforms increased to different extents after parturition (i.e. 30, 90 or 270 v. -30 DIM). Similarly, both *PLIN1* and *LIPE* increased after parturition, namely at 90 v. -30DIM. Those results showed that increased lipolysis is mediated, at least in part, by increases in the expression of the  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptor subtypes and the expression of *LIPE* (Sumner and McNamara, 2007). This work was recently confirmed in another study (Sumner *et al.*, 2009a), but the increases in the message were modest, suggesting that a major element of direct control of lipolysis is through increased norepinephrine binding to ADRB and the downstream phosphorylation cascade.

In a similar manner, the work of Lemor *et al.* (2009) revealed a lack of change in *ADIPOQ* mRNA, but a significant decrease in mRNA of *ADIPOR1*, *ADIPOR2* and *NAMPT* in adipose tissue harvested from cows at ca. 21 days *post partum* relative to *pre partal* levels. Authors speculated that lower *post-partal* insulin sensitivity was related to reduced adiponectin receptor mRNA abundance (Lemor *et al.*, 2009). Large-scale adipose tissue transcriptomics (discussed below) indicated that expression of both *ADIPOQ* and *LEP*, among several other metabolic genes (Table 5), was upregulated at ca. 2 weeks from parturition by overfeeding dietary energy during the dry period (Janovick *et al.*, 2009). More importantly, *LEP* mRNA in response to overfeeding

energy corresponded with greater blood LEP concentration (ca. 4 v. 2.4 ng/dl), providing some of the first evidence that transcriptomics can be useful when evaluating the response of proteins secreted by adipose tissue.

### High-throughput transcriptomics of tissues from peripartal cows

A systems biology approach. The biological complexity of agricultural animals unavoidably requires a systems biology approach, that is, a way to systematically study the complex interactions in biological systems using a method of integration instead of reduction (Loor and Cohick, 2009). One of the goals of systems biology is to discover new emergent properties that may arise from examining the interactions between all components of a system to arrive at an integrated view of how the organism functions (Bruggeman and Westerhoff, 2007). Work in model organisms during the past 15 years has shown the applicability of high-throughput methods to discern regulatory and metabolic networks (Feist and Palsson, 2008). Currently available data on candidate genes in tissues of peripartal cows have provided greater depth of the underlying molecular adaptations that coordinate tissue function. However, the challenge for the near future will be to integrate high-throughput transcriptomics data on peripartal tissues in the context of the key metabolic pathways and resultant changes at the level of the whole animal.

Bioinformatics. Bioinformatics involves the use of mathematics and biochemistry to solve biological problems at the molecular level (Feist and Palsson, 2008). The core principle of bioinformatics is utilizing computer resources to solve problems on scales of magnitude far too great for human discernment. A bioinformatics approach, for example, through the use of gene ontology (GO) analysis or pathway/ network analysis, will allow one to discern the biological functions in tissues at specific points of development or under a particular nutritional management (e.g. Figures 2 to 5). One of the aims of the GO Consortium is to provide a controlled vocabulary that can be used to describe any organism. However, it is intuitive that many functions, processes and components are not common to all life forms. Annotation with respect to the biological context of livestock would be an important undertaking. The development of an animal trait ontology is essential for annotating genes/ proteins to biological functions (Hughes *et al.*, 2008).

The discussion below is focused on recent transcriptomics studies of tissues during the peripartal period and beyond. An important goal is to highlight some of the discoveries made through the use of pathway, network and GO analysis that have helped characterize novel features of the biological adaptations during the peripartal period. Attempts to link high-throughput data with candidate genes and metabolism are made when possible. Lastly, an evaluation of potential marker genes was conducted on data from the author's laboratory to highlight additional applications of the bioinformatics approach.



Figure 2 Networks uncovered between nine transcription factors (XBP1, STAT1, STAT3, USF2, TFAP2A, CEBPD, TED4, NPM1 and SRA1) and genes in liver from cows fed to meet pre-partal energy requirements or were restricted or overfed energy during the pre-partal period. The combined data set from Loor *et al.* (2005a and 2006) were re-analyzed using ANOVA and Mixed models and pathway and network analysis on 4790 differentially expressed genes (FDR  $\leq$  0.05, diet  $\times$  time) conducted using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis.

#### Peripartal liver transcriptomics

Temporal gene expression patterns due to level of dietary energy pre partum. In the first studies of their kind, a cDNA microarray was used to characterize hepatic gene expression patterns in dairy cows fed control or different levels of energy pre partum (Loor et al., 2005a and 2006). Combined analysis of both data sets using a mixed model ANOVA identified a total of 4.790 genes at a false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted P < 0.05 that had a diet  $\times$  time effect (Bionaz et al., 2007b). Using k-means clustering of expression data (fold-change) of those genes relative to -65 DIM (i.e. before cows went on treatments), a total of 13 distinct expression patterns for those genes were discerned. Among those clusters with patterns that were most unique to cows fed control, there were ca. 340 genes that had marked upregulation on day 14 post partum. Several of those genes are associated with prolactin signaling, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) signaling or JAK/STAT signaling pathways, which have important roles in aspects of cell proliferation

and immune response potentially mediated by cytokines or growth factors.

These transcriptomics data may help explain the increase in liver tissue mass that has been observed between late pre partum and the first 3 weeks post partum (Reynolds et al., 2004) in lactating cows v. non-pregnant/non-lactating cows (Andrew et al., 1994), or in cows during the course of lactation (Gibb et al., 1992). Indirect measurements indicated that liver tissue mass during the lactation cycle (Gibb *et al.*, 1992) is partly driven by increased hypertrophy (Baldwin et al., 2004) of hepatocytes probably to cope with the metabolic demands of the mammary gland. From the above transcriptomics studies, we have identified several transcription regulators, their target genes and the molecular functions that they affect (see discussion below). Those represent potential markers that could be targeted via management or nutritional means in the future. Among those uncovered (Figure 2; Table 4), STAT1 and STAT3, in particular, appear to be important for biological functions

**Table 3** Canonical pathway analysis of differentially expressed genes from 2 clusters with sustained down-regulation in expression in liver at -30, -14, 1, and 14 days v. 65 days relative to parturition in cows fed ad-libitum energy (ca. 160% of energy requirements) compared with controls

Canonical pathway/molecular function <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>	Gene <sup>†</sup>			
Complement system	SERPING1, C4BPA, C1S, C4A, C1QC, C2, C1R, C1QA			
Acute phase response signaling	SERPING1, TF, C4BPA, IL1RN, C1S, C4A, SERPINA3, C2, SOD2, APOA1, IL6R, SOCS6			
LPS/IL-1 mediated inhibition of RXR function	SULT1C2, ABCC2, SLC10A1, GSTM5, CD14, CYP4A11, ABCA1, SLC27A2, ACOX1, SULT1A1, SULT1E1, FM05, NDST1, PAPSS2			
FXR/RXR activation	ABCC2, SLC10A1, IL1RN			
LXR/RXR activation	IL1RN, CD14, ABCA1			
Arginine and proline metabolism	ALDH2, ASS1, GOT1, NOS2			
Fatty acid metabolism	ALDH2, ACAT2, SLC27A2, ACOX1, DCI			
Pyruvate metabolism	AKR7A2, ALDH2, ACAT2, MDH2			
Tryptophan metabolism	ALDH2, ACAT2, AOX1, CCBL1			
Tyrosine metabolism	ALDH2, GOT1, AOX1			

<sup>†</sup>From IPA Knowledge Base; LPS = lipopolysacharide; RXR = retinoid X receptor; FXR = farnesoid X receptor; LXR = liver X receptor.

Experimental diets were fed during a ca. 60-day dry period. The original data from Loor *et al.* (2005a and 2006) were re-analyzed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis<sup>®</sup>.

<sup>†</sup>Current HUGO nomenclature (National Center for Biotechnology Information, NCBI).

associated with control of cellular apoptosis and survival, as well as activation/inhibition of the immune/inflammatory response. These transcription regulators could play a role in the observed increases of liver mass between late *pre partum* and early lactation.

More in-depth cluster analysis of the responses for cows fed control v. excess energy revealed 10 unique clusters of expression of which at least two might have unique implications from a metabolic and health standpoint (Table 3). We observed that in these two clusters (data not shown) the overall pattern of expression for ca. 350 genes was a gradual downregulation through at least 14 days post partum. Control cows maintained a relatively stable expression pattern over the same time frame. Pathway analysis of these clusters indicated that the downregulated genes are associated with the complement system, acute-phase response, fatty acid metabolism and amino acid metabolism. Thus, overfeeding of dietary energy pre partum not only resulted in sharply decreasing energy balance between the last week pre partum and the first week post partum, higher liver TAG post partum, and higher blood NEFA and BHBA around and after parturition, but it also appeared to have affected the immune-related pathways in liver (Loor et al., 2006).

The exact molecular and physiological mechanisms for the above responses clearly will require further studies. However, available data from non-ruminants as well as blood profiles of metabolic indicators of liver function and stress (e.g. Bernabucci *et al.*, 2005; Bionaz *et al.*, 2007c; Bertoni *et al.*, 2008; Trevisi *et al.*, 2009) can provide some insights into the meaning behind transcriptomics adaptations. The complement system comprises more than 30 fluidphase and cell-associated proteins that act in synergy when needed, to promote inflammation and damage invaders such as microbes or foreign cells (review by Wagner and Frank, 2010). Because of this capacity for tissue damage, there are many regulatory proteins that control complement activation and thereby downregulate complement-mediated damage. The end result would be an expected augmentation of the antibody response of the cells, for example, hepatocytes.

Among the ones affected by overfeeding dietary energy pre partum (Table 3) were C1Q, C1R, C1S, C4A and C2, which together encompass the classical and lectin pathways of complement activation triggered in part by microorganisms, C-reactive protein (i.e. a positive acute-phase protein), polyanionic molecules and/or apoptotic bodies (Wagner and Frank, 2010). Some of these complement proteins also play a role in the acute phase response signaling pathway. This pathway is characterized by the rapid appearance of a number of (mostly) glycosylated plasma proteins synthesized primarily in liver and is considered a key cascade of events initiated to prevent tissue damage and to activate repair processes (Moshage, 1997). Previous work has examined the profile of several positive (i.e. whose concentration increases) and negative (whose concentration decreases) acute-phase proteins and related to those liver function indexes during the transition period in 'normal' cows (Bionaz et al., 2007c; Bertoni et al., 2008) or cows challenged with a pro-inflammatory insult (Trevisi et al., 2009).

The fact that several genes (IL6R, IL1RN, SERPINA3, SERPING1) encoding proteins involved in the acute-phase response as well as intracellular hepatic transport (ABCC2, ABCA1), conjugating (SULT1C2, SULT1A1, SULT1E1), metabolizing (GSTM5) and biosynthetic enzymes (CYP4A11) were downregulated during the transition period in response to overfeeding energy (Table 3) suggests that the liver from these cows would have been at higher risk of pathogenic insults as well as oxidative stress damage (e.g. SOD2. GSTM5). There is evidence that overconditioned cows during the pre partal period through parturition have greater concentrations of ROS both pre- and post partum as well as lower plasma SOD activity (Bernabucci et al., 2005). Overall, the transcriptomics data seem to support the observations that overweight or energy-overfed cows, which typically experience greater NEFA and BHBA (Bernabucci et al., 2005;

Loor *et al.*, 2006), are particularly sensitive to oxidative stress (Bernabucci *et al.*, 2005). It is important to note that several of the above genes are also regulated by additional transcription regulators including PPAR $\alpha$ , which is a well-defined activator of *CYP4A11* in murine (Savas *et al.*, 2009) as well as calf liver (Litherland *et al.*, 2010).

The reaction catalyzed by CYP4A11 could be crucial in liver because a major function of this  $\Omega$ -hydroxylase enzyme is to contribute to the degradation of excess free CFA resulting from excessive lipolysis as well as lipid mediators of inflammation such as leukotrienes and prostanoids (Savas et al., 2009). In fact, the overall process of LCFA degradation via the family 4 P450  $\Omega$ -hydroxylases would encompass peroxisomal oxidation during which the activity of ACOX1 is pivotal. Peroxisomal oxidation of LCFA during the transition period is quantitatively important (Grum et al., 1996) and it is likely driven by both the influx of LCFA (Reynolds et al., 2003) as well as greater transcription of ACOX1 (Loor *et al.*, 2005a), which also seems to be a bovine PPAR $\alpha$  target (Litherland et al., 2010). Because several hormones likely regulate gene transcription, for example, GH dampens the PPAR $\alpha$ -induced activation of murine liver CYP4A11 (Savas et al., 2009), it would be important in the future to evaluate their role in peripartal hepatic transcriptomics adaptations. It remains to be determined if GH signaling would play a role in dampening expression of key genes in bovine liver around parturition because GHR1A expression and binding of GH to GHR1A is downregulated soon after parturition, but returns to pre partum levels by 17 DIM (Radcliff et al., 2003; Table 1). The lack of change in liver CPY4A11 expression post partum (at least in cows fed to meet *pre-partal* energy requirements) seems to support an inhibitory role of GH on PPAR $\alpha$  target gene activation. Further studies with different nutritional protocols should help clarify these relationships.

#### Transcription regulator network analysis due to pre partal plane of energy and physiological state

As has been clearly established in non-ruminants (e.g. Desvergne *et al.*, 2006), it appears likely that long-term liver adaptations to a new physiological state and/or whole-body energy status might be driven by transcription regulators such as PPAR (e.g. Loor *et al.*, 2005a; van Dorland *et al.*, 2009; Table 1). The identity of additional molecules other than PPAR and a few more evaluated to date (Table 1) and their putative targets in bovine liver remain unknown. We recently used bioinformatics to aid in the discovery of transcription regulators affected by physiological adaptations from late pregnancy to lactation and/or *pre-partal* dietary energy level (Figure 2; Loor *et al.*, 2005a and 2006). Another important aim was to uncover networks encompassing transcription regulators and their putative downstream target genes.

Among the 4790 genes with a time  $\times$  diet interaction (FDR < 0.05), there were 3.54 with  $\ge$ 1.5-fold expression in at least one time point *v*. –5 days that were used for further analysis (Loor *et al.*, 2007a). Among those genes with  $\ge$ 1.5-fold expression, Ingenuity Pathway Analysis<sup>®</sup> uncovered 317 genes classified as transcription regulators. Twenty-seven

of the 317 had expression levels  $\geq$ 2-fold between groups in at least 1 time point during the dry period (-30 or -14 days) or lactation (1, 14, 28 or 49 days). Temporal expression of 9 of the 24 transcription regulators was affected by overfeeding energy (6  $\uparrow$  e.g. *SRA1*, *STAT1*; 3  $\downarrow$  e.g. *XBP1*, *KLF15*), and expression of 19 of the 24 was affected by restricted energy (8  $\uparrow$  e.g. *XBP1*, *STAT3*; 11  $\downarrow$  e.g. *ELF2*, *BRDW1*). Ten of these transcription regulators generated networks incorporating 98 differentially expressed genes (Figure 2), with cellular growth, immune response and ER stress as the most enriched biological functions (Table 4).

The largest numbers of differentially expressed genes (39) that could be linked to one of the 27 transcription regulators with expression levels  $\geq$ 2-fold between groups in at least 1 time point during the dry period (-30 or -14 days) or lactation (1, 14, 28 or 49 days) appear to be targets of bovine XBP1 (Figure 2). This gene encodes a transcription factor identified as a key regulator of the mammalian unfolded protein response (UPR) or ER stress response (Glimcher and Lee, 2009). The UPR is activated by environmental stressors such as protein overload that require increased ER capacity (Ron and Walter, 2007). XBP1 is activated by a posttranscriptional modification of its mRNA via inositol requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1), an ER localizing proximal sensor of ER stress that is a Ser/Thr protein kinase and endoribonuclease (Ron and Walter, 2007). Despite a recent link between upregulation of hepatic XBP1 and enhanced lipogenesis in mice (Glimcher and Lee, 2009), it is unlikely that XBP1 plays the same role in bovine liver, which has been shown to have little lipogenic capacity (Bell, 1979).

Expression of XBP1 was affected differently by the level of dietary energy pre partum, that is, downregulated by overfeeding energy but upregulated with restricted energy; thus, because of the importance of protein folding in basic tissue functions, it may represent an important marker of liver function. Activation of XBP1 is associated with upregulation of a broad spectrum of UPR-related genes involved in protein folding, redox metabolism, ER-associated degradation and protein quality control (Acosta-Alvear et al., 2007). Deficiency of XBP1 and the ensuing ER stress have been linked with enhanced sensitivity to bacterial-induced or TNF- $\alpha$ induced inflammation (Glimcher and Lee, 2009). The marked inhibition of protein degradation (i.e. ubiquitination) that we observed in cows with ketosis early post partum (Table 6) seems to suggest that XBP1 serves an important regulatory role in these basic processes around parturition when metabolic activity of the liver is markedly increased (Reynolds et al., 2003).

#### Peripartal adipose tissue transcriptomics

Temporal gene expression patterns due to level of dietary energy pre partum. In a recent study from our group involving microarrays and qPCR (Janovick *et al.*, 2009), we evaluated global transcriptional changes in subcutaneous adipose tissue of cows receiving during the dry period a control high-straw diet to meet but not greatly exceed 100% of NRC requirements (2001) or a high-grain diet to achieve

**Table 4** Subset of enriched biological functions in liver among genes affected by a subset of six TR (Figure 2). A total of 27 TR TR with  $\geq$  2-fold difference in at least 1 time point during the dry period or early lactation (i.e. -30, -14, 1, 14, 28 or 49 days relative to parturition) between cows fed control (Con), ad libitum energy (ca. 160% of the energy requirements, over), or restricted energy (ca. 80% of the energy requirement, under)

TR	Biological function <sup>‡</sup>	Genes involved <sup>†</sup>
CEBPD	Cellular differentiation, leukocytes Inflammatory response Lipid metabolism	CD14, CEBPB, CEBPD, CSF1R, FOS, IL8, MBP, MITF, NOS2, PTGS2, STAT3 CD14, CEBPB, CEBPG, CSF1R, FOS, IL8, MBP, NOS2, PTGS2, STAT3 CEBPB, IL8, MBP, NOS2, PTGS2, STAT3
STAT1	lmmune response Activation of leukocytes, trafficking Apoptosis of eukaryotic cells	BCL2L1, CSF1R, CXCL9, FOS, IFI6, NOS2, POMC, PSMB8, SERPING1, STAT1, TAP1 BCL2L1, CSF1R, CXCL9, FOS, NOS2, POMC, PSMB8, SERPING1, STAT1 BCL2L1, BTG1, CSF1R, FOS, IFI6, NOS2, POMC, SERPINA3, SOAT1, STAT1
STAT3	Apoptosis of eukaryotic cells Survival of eukaryotic cells	AKT1, AR, BCL2L1, CAV1, CDKN1A, CEBPA, CTF1, FN1, FOS, HIF1A, HMOX1, IL1RN, ITGB2, MCL1, MMP2, NFKB1, PHB, PIM1, POMC, SERPINA3, STAT3, VEGFA AKT1, AR, BCL2L1, CAV1, CDKN1A, CTF1, CXCL9, FN1, HIF1A, HMOX1, ITGB2, MCL1, NFKB1, PIM1, STAT3, VEGFA
TFAP2A	Immune/inflammatory response Quantity of cells, cellular growth Apoptosis of epithelial cells Cell morphology	BCL2L1, CDKN1A, CTF1, CXCL9, FN1, FOS, HIF1A, IL1RN, ITGB2, NFKB1, POMC, STAT3, VEGFA ANXA1, CDKN1A, CEBPA, CGB, ERBB2, MMP2, PLAUR, RBL2, SOD2, TFAP2A, VEGFA, CDH1 CDH1, CDKN1A, CEBPA, ERBB2, MMP2, PLAUR, RBL2 ANXA1, CDH1, CDKN1A, ERBB2, PLAUR, SOD2, VEGFA
USF2	Quantity of cells, cellular growth	ABCA1, CDK4, CYP19A1, IGF2R, SPP1, THBS1, PRKAR1A
XBP1	Endoplasmic reticulum stress response Protein trafficking Transport of vesicles	ATF6, DERL1, ERP44, HSP90B1, HYOU1, XBP1 BET1, GOSR2, KDELR2, LMAN1, PDIA3, SEC22B, SRP54, VAMP2 BET1, COPB2, COPZ1, GOLGA4, GOSR2, SEC22B, VAMP2

TR = transcription regulators.

Experimental diets were fed during a ca. 60-day dry period. The original data from Loor *et al.* (2005a and 2006) were re-analyzed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis<sup>®</sup>.

<sup>†</sup>See Figure 2 for entire list of target genes.

\*According to Ingenuity Pathway Analysis.

energy intakes in excess of ca. 150% of NRC requirements. Subcutaneous biopsies were obtained at -14, 1 and 14 DIM from the tail-head area. ANOVA using an FDR < 0.05 identified ca. 3.000 genes that were differentially expressed by the interaction of diet  $\times$  day (Janovick *et al.*, 2009). Of the time points studied, the largest number of differences between dietary groups occurred on day -14 relative to parturition, when 27 transcripts were downregulated at least 2.5-fold or greater and 55 were upregulated at least 3.0-fold or greater due to overfeeding energy.

Among the genes relevant to the PPAR<sub>Y</sub> network (Table 5; Figure 3) overfeeding energy *pre partum v.* control upregulated *SCD*, *DGAT2*, *PCK1*, lipoprotein lipase (*LPL*), fatty acid synthase (*FASN*), *ADIPOQ* and thyroid hormone responsive (SPOT14 homolog, *THRSP*) by 2- to 12-fold at -14 days relative to calving (Janovick *et al.*, 2009). A previous microarray study had identified *LPL* and *FABP4* as two of the most highly abundant genes in *pre partum* (ca. -30 days) adipose tissue of dairy heifers (Sumner *et al.*, 2008a and 2009a). Rodent studies have shown that most of these are PPAR<sub>Y</sub> targets (Berger and Moller, 2002), which are required for adipocyte differentiation as well as lipid filling of the mature adipocyte (Rosen and MacDougald, 2006).

Among genes associated with lipogenesis, the marked increase in expression of isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (NADP+, IDH1) with overfeeding energy agrees with its central role in generating NADPH elucidated in seminal

studies at the University of Illinois (e.g. Ingle *et al.*, 1972) later confirmed in steers (Smith and Crouse, 1984). Those earlier studies also revealed a minor role of ATP-citrate lyase (ACLY) in NADPH generation (at least in growing calf adipose), but subsequent work with beef cattle showed that ACLY activity could allow for lactate utilization for lipogenesis (Whitehurst et al., 1978 and 1981). Our data with pre-partal energy overfed cows suggest that ACLY can be induced when the availability of glucose is enhanced through dietary management (e.g. greater inclusion of corn grain) as was shown in adipose tissue of beef cattle (Smith and Crouse, 1984). Greater NADPH availability in response to the influx of glucose into adipose when high-grain diets are fed *pre partum* would provide reducing equivalents to support lipogenesis and partly explains the increase in ACACA, FASN, GPAM, but also the cell membrane protein caveolin 1 (CAV1) and PCK1 (Table 5).

Over 30 years ago, *PCK1* was identified as being central in adipose and liver for glyceroneogenesis, that is, the synthesis of glycerol-3-phosphate from non-glucose carbon precursors including lactate and amino acids (Ballard *et al.*, 1967; Gorin *et al.*, 1969). The use of this pathway for esterification or reesterification of LCFA is now recognized as the main source of glycerol-3-phosphate for non-ruminants in the fed and fasted states (e.g. Nye *et al.*, 2008). Our data are the first, to the author's knowledge, to examine bovine adipose *PCK1* and provide evidence of its upregulation by high dietary

Gene	Description	Fold-change <sup>†</sup>
SCD	Stearoyl-CoA desaturase (delta-9-desaturase)	12.0
THRSP	Thyroid hormone responsive (SPOT14 homolog)	10.3
ACSS2	Acyl-CoA synthetase short-chain family member 2	7.4
DGAT2	Diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase homolog 2	7.1
LPL	Lipoprotein lipase	4.8
SERINC3	Serine incorporator 3	4.8
FASN	Fatty acid synthase	4.7
ELOVL6	ELOVL family member 6, elongation of long-chain fatty acids	4.2
IDH1	Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (NADP+), soluble	4.1
ACLY	ATP citrate lyase	4.1
CAV1	Caveolin 1, caveolae protein, 22 kDa	3.9
DBI	Diazepam binding inhibitor (GABA) receptor modulator,	3.9
CYB5A	Cytochrome b5 type A (microsomal)	3.9
GPAM	Glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase, mitochondrial	3.6
CIDEA	Cell death-inducing DFFA-like effector a	3.6
ADIPOQ	Adiponectin, CIQ and collagen domain containing	3.6
ACACA	Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alpha	3.3
РСК1	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 1	3.3
ТКТ	transketolase	3.2

Table 5 Subset of genes with mRNA transcripts upregulated 3.0-fold or greater on day -14 relative to parturition in subcutaneous adipose tissue from cows that overconsumed energy pre partum relative to those with controlled energy intake pre partum (Janovick et al., 2009)

See Figure 3 for the relationship among some of these genes and PPAR<sub>2</sub> signaling. <sup>†</sup>Overfed v. control.

Top canonical pathway	Genes in pathway	Effect	Function of the pathway
Estrogen receptor signaling	115	↑	Important in the functioning of the cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, immune and central nervous systems.
Protein ubiquitination pathway	200	$\downarrow$	Major role in the degradation of short-lived or regulatory proteins involved in a variety of cellular processes.
Chemokine signaling	81	$\leftrightarrow$	
ERK/MAPK signaling	199	$\downarrow$	Transduces cellular information on meiosis/mitosis, growth, differentiation and carcinogenesis within a cell.
Apoptosis signaling	109	$\leftrightarrow$	Programmed cell death.

Data from Loor et al. (2007) were re-analyzed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis<sup>®</sup>. Shown are the top four significant pathways.

energy during the non-lactating period in pregnant cows. Just as it occurs in non-ruminants, our data indicate that the coordinated responses in expression of the above transcripts would allow for the process of lipogenesis, esterification and lipid droplet formation to take place. Further, available data on PCK1 expression in both adipose and liver are suggestive of an important role for this protein not only in gluconeogenesis but also in the overall process of LCFA recycling.

The upregulation of ELOVL family member 6, elongation of long-chain fatty acids (ELOVL6: a well-established SREBF1 target in non-ruminants: Desvergne et al., 2006: Matsuzaka et al., 2007) represents a novel observation and suggests for the first time that elongation of 16:0 to 18:0 (16:0 is the main substrate; Matsuzaka and Shimano, 2009) may be a relevant and previously unrecognized step in the process of triacylglycerol synthesis in bovine adipose tissue as it is in rodent liver (e.g. Matsuzaka et al., 2007), which is the

Loor

primary site of lipogenesis in that species (Bergen and Mersmann, 2005). Furthermore, it could be possible that ELOVL6 activity is a 'necessary' step in the endogenous formation of 18:0, which can then be desaturated via SCD in cooperation with cytochrome b5 type A (microsomal) (CYB5A), both of which increased markedly in cows overfed energy (i.e. corn grain) pre partum (Table 5). Although we are unaware of any published elongase isoform distribution in other bovine tissues, mammary expresses ELOVL1, 2, 5 and 6 and their mRNA abundance is affected by stage of lactation. with ELOVL5 and 6 sharply decreasing after parturition and throughout lactation (M. Bionaz, S. L. Rodriguez-Zas, R. E. Everts, H. A. Lewin, W. L. Hurley and J. J. Loor, unpublished results). Similar to rodents (Matsuzaka et al., 2007), bovine ELOVL6 action may serve as a control point of the adipose tissue's sensitivity to insulin. In rodents, it has been clearly shown that ELOVL6 activity leads to hampered insulin sensitivity



**Figure 3** Central role of PPAR $\gamma$  in subcutaneous adipose tissue. Shown are genes that were upregulated (black background), downregulated (white background) or not affected (gray background) when cows were overfed dietary energy (ca. 160% of energy requirements) *v*. control (Janovick *et al.*, 2009). A total of 102 genes on our microarray platform (Loor *et al.*, 2007a) are known target genes of PPAR $\gamma$  at least in non-ruminants. Genes were grouped according to the main biological process they are associated with.

in liver, thus contributing to the metabolic syndrome (Matsuzaka *et al.*, 2007). We propose that inhibition of this protein *pre partum* may represent a nutritional target to lessen the marked effect of parturition on adipose insulin sensitivity. Together, the above increases in expression correlated with greater blood insulin concentration (Janovick *et al.*, 2009), which we (Dann *et al.*, 2005 and 2006; Loor *et al.*, 2006) and others (e.g. Rukkwamsuk *et al.*, 2000; Rabelo *et al.*, 2005) have previously observed in cows overfed energy from grain.

In the only two studies of their kind, daily i.v. injection of the PPAR $\gamma$  agonist TZD (4 mg/kg BW) for the last 3 weeks *pre partum* in dairy cows resulted in greater plasma insulin coupled with lower plasma NEFA during the peripartal period (day -7 through day 7 *post partum*; Smith *et al.*, 2007 and 2009). The lower NEFA could have been a response to greater insulin and/or greater insulin sensitivity of adipose tissue to counteract the well-defined lipolytic effects of corticosteroids, GH and catecholamines, which are characteristic of this physiological state. In turn, lower NEFA might have relieved the detrimental effects on pancreatic insulin release (Bossaert *et al.*, 2008). No effects on milk production during the first 30 days *post partum* were observed. *Pre-partal* and *post-partal* insulin challenge of cows treated with TZD did not provide evidence that TZD increased insulin-dependent glucose use by muscle (Smith *et al.*, 2007), a response that would be expected because PPAR<sub> $\gamma$ </sub> is primarily expressed in adipose (Berger and Moller, 2002). Both studies provided strong evidence that PPAR<sub> $\gamma$ </sub> activation is unlikely to compromise the key adaptations in glucose metabolism that must occur in the peripartum period (Bell, 1995). Because of the known effects of TZD on rodent adipose biology and the genes affected by PPAR<sub> $\gamma$ </sub> (see Figure 3), it is tempting to speculate that adipogenesis/lipogenesis in adipose of TZDtreated cows was maintained and/or enhanced.

In a retrospective evaluation of the classical study of McNamara *et al.* (1995), one of the first to define adipocyte characteristics and metabolic activity from late *pre partum* through mid-lactation, it is apparent that as lactation progresses, the subcutaneous adipose tissue is not only able to accrete lipid through greater rates of esterification and lipogenesis (McNamara *et al.*, 1995), but it appears that it also contains pre-adipocytes with the capacity to differentiate and proliferate. We inferred the presence of such a

mechanism because the number of adipocytes per gram of tissue increased gradually from late *pre partum* though midlactation during which time both the volume and diameter of the adipocytes were markedly lower than *pre partum* (McNamara *et al.*, 1995). Because of the dampening of the adipogenic signals (e.g. insulin) during at least the first 60 days *post partum* (Bauman and Currie, 1980; Herbein *et al.*, 1985), it could be possible that adipocytes are only able to proliferate without achieving a 'mature' phenotype, that is, fill with lipid (Rosen and MacDougald, 2006). Further studies will have to be conducted to clarify the role of PPAR $\gamma$  and other NR in bovine adipose tissue adaptations.

It is important to note that insulin is not an activator of PPAR $\gamma$  per se, but upregulates expression of genes associated with glucose transport, LCFA uptake and lipogenesis partly through its direct effects on the transcription factor SREBF1 (Postic et al., 2007). Such a response probably accounts for the fact that a number of genes involved in anabolic pathways that could be related to insulin and/or PPARy signaling (SREBF1, THRSP, LPL and ACACA) decreased by several fold between -30 and 14 days relative to parturition (Sumner et al., 2008b; Sumner et al., 2009b), that is, when insulin sensitivity is decreased (e.g. Bauman and Griinari, 2003). Overfeeding energy to cows pre partum is not a useful strategy to target PPAR $\gamma$  because it often leads to excessive internal fat deposition, which on parturition results in greater and more sustained blood NEFA and liver TAG deposition (Loor et al., 2006; Drackley and Dann, 2008). The key point about PPAR $\gamma$  activation via exogenous and/or endogenous ligands is that, in non-ruminants, it enhances insulin sensitivity in adipose, thereby increasing glucose uptake and preferentially channeling it toward lipogenesis (Hauner, 2002). Thus, targeting adipose PPAR $\gamma$ via nutrition (e.g. lipids or specific LCFA; e.g. Kadegowda et al., 2009) could be a practical means of avoiding excessive fat deposition while maintaining insulin responsiveness after parturition.

Mammary transcriptomics adaptations. In one of the first analyses (e.g. Loor et al., 2004) of the mammary transcriptome around parturition, Finucane et al. (2008), applying cutoff criteria of  $\geq$  2 or  $\leq$  2 fold-change and an FDR  $\leq$  0.10, identified 389 transcripts (1.6% of total on the microarray platform) that were differentially expressed between 5 and 10 DIM. Of those transcripts, 105 were upregulated while 284 were downregulated. Gene ontology analysis showed that the main upregulated genes were those associated with transport activity (amino acid, glucose and ion transporters), lipid and carbohydrate metabolism (e.g. LPL, ACACA) and cell signaling factors (Finucane et al., 2008). The main downregulated genes were associated with cell cycle and proliferation (cyclins, cell division cycle associated proteins), DNA replication and chromosome organization (centromere proteins, minichromosome maintenance proteins, histone), microtubule-based processes (microtubule associated protein tau, kinesin, tubulins), and protein and RNA degradation (proteasome, proteasome activator, RNA

binding motif protein). An earlier study of the goat mammary transcriptome during feed restriction (Ollier *et al.*, 2007) highlighted changes in expression of several genes that are associated with mammary cell proliferation, differentiation and/or cell death. These studies have provided novel insights into the molecular events that drive lactating mammary gland development and function.

Our group recently expanded (Figure 4) on work characterizing both the mammary and liver transcriptome around parturition (Loor et al., 2004) by performing network and pathway analysis of transcriptomics data from eight cows biopsied at -30, -15, 1, 15, 30, 60, 120, 240 and 300 days relative to parturition (M. Bionaz, S. L. Rodriguez-Zas, R. E. Everts, H. A. Lewin, W. L. Hurley and J. J. Loor, unpublished results). A total of 6579 differentially expressed genes at an  $FDR \leq 0.001$  were found throughout lactation. The greatest number of affected (>3.500; Figure 4) genes relative to -30days was observed at 60 and 120 days. Thus, it is apparent from the comprehensive analysis of the mammary transcriptome that this organ relies heavily on transcriptional regulation to begin lactation and coordinate the decline in milk synthesis (M. Bionaz, S. L. Rodriguez-Zas, R. E. Everts, H. A. Lewin, W. L. Hurley and J. J. Loor, unpublished results). Among metabolic-related functions, functional analysis uncovered an induction of protein synthesis before parturition and a reduction during lactation coupled with a large induction of lipid synthesis and transport during lactation (Figure 4). Molecular functions markedly activated at 60 and 120 v. - 30 DIM included lipid transport, synthesis of sphingolipid and quantity of fatty acids (Figure 4).

Data also indicated a decrease in cell death before parturition and an increase at 1 and 30 days, while cell cycle activity was greater before parturition and substantially inhibited during lactation (M. Bionaz, S. L. Rodriguez-Zas, R. E. Everts, H. A. Lewin, W. L. Hurley and J. J. Loor, unpublished results). The behavior of genes related to DNA metabolism supported the reduction of cell cycle and suggested an inhibition of chromatin remodeling during lactation through 240 days, when chromatin modification was induced. Among the most unique findings, analysis revealed that development and proliferation of the mammary immune system during lactation was induced but its activation was inhibited (e.g. decrease in expression of major histocompatibility complex). Network analysis uncovered a central role for several transcription factors (e.g. v-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog, estrogen receptor  $\alpha$ ). In addition, we uncovered >2.000 genes whose regulation of expression is essential for copious milk synthesis and secretion and which are considered a lactation gene set. Among those, >1.400 have not been previously reported to be associated with milk synthesis (M. Bionaz, S. L. Rodriguez-Zas, R. E. Everts, H. A. Lewin, W. L. Hurley and J. J. Loor, unpublished results).

*Transcriptomics of peripartal nutrition-induced ketosis.* The biochemistry of metabolic adaptations in liver due to ketosis has been known for several decades (e.g. Baird *et al.*, 1972).



Figure 4 Longitudinal changes in mRNA expression in bovine mammary tissue across the lactation cycle (M. Bionaz, S. L. Rodriguez-Zas, R. E. Everts, H. A. Lewin, W. L. Hurley and J. J. Loor, unpublished results). Shown also are three of the most affected molecular functions (from Ingenuity Pathway Analysis) as well as the sub-functions within lipid metabolism with the number of affected genes and the overall effect on each function.

Large-scale adaptations in metabolic and cell signaling gene networks in liver tissue from cows induced to develop ketosis via feed restriction early post partum were recently evaluated via microarrays (Loor et al., 2007b). A reassessment of the canonical pathways overrepresented in the set of ca. 2.000 genes affected by ketosis confirmed initial findings suggesting that protein ubiquitination was markedly inhibited by ketosis (Table 5). This pathway is an energyrequiring step for proteasomal-dependent degradation of proteins, which represents a key regulatory step of cell activity and function (Ciechanover, 2006). Regulated protein turnover via the ubiguitin-proteasome system underlies a wide variety of signaling pathways, from cell-cycle control and transcription to development (Nalepa et al., 2006). The functional consequences of impaired intracellular protein degradation in liver might include alterations in cellular turnover, effects on gene regulation, modulation of cell signaling, induction of apoptosis and necrosis, release of ROS and loss of gene/protein function (Bader et al., 2007). Analysis also revealed downregulation of a number of genes associated with ERK/MAPK signaling, which would have likely affected growth and differentiation of liver cells. Together, these changes could be primary factors involved in the ultimate loss of hepatic function that triggers clinical ketosis in dairy cows.

A novel finding from our re-analysis was the marked enrichment of genes within the estrogen-signaling pathway (Table 5). Work in non-ruminants has shown that estrogens control fundamental functions in tissues including the cardiovascular system, bone, brain and liver (e.g. Alvaro *et al.*, 2006). By acting on both estrogen receptors (ER- $\alpha$ ) and (ER- $\beta$ ) subtypes, and by activating either genomic or non-genomic pathways, estrogens play a key role in the complex loop of growth factors and cytokines, which activates signaling cascades (ERK1/2 (extracellular regulated kinases 1/2, PI3- kinase/AKT (phosphatidylinositol-3'kinase/AKT)) typical of growth factors such as IGF1, nerve growth factor, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), thus potentiating their action (Alvaro *et al.*, 2006). The exact role of this pathway in the overall adaptations to liver metabolic disease in peripartal cows remains to be determined.

In addition to the above findings, re-analysis of the data has uncovered (data not shown) several potential biomarkers that might serve as targets for diagnosis and/or efficacy of nutritional protocols to help minimize or ameliorate the incidence of *post-partal* metabolic and/or infectious disease. These putative biomarkers have been determined as such based on studies with non-ruminant cells or tissues (Ingenuity Knowledge Base). Among the genes uncovered as putative biomarkers of ketosis and associated problems (e.g. Bobe *et al.*, 2004), *APOA1*, *APOB* and *LDLR* are associated with cholesterol and/or lipoprotein metabolism. It has been well recognized (e.g. Bionaz *et al.*, 2007c; Bertoni *et al.*, 2008) that peripartal blood cholesterol concentration is one important



Figure 5 The peri-partal cow as a model for systems biology. Integration of omics data from key tissues such as liver, mammary and adipose as well as immune cells (i.e. neutrophils) with functional measures of metabolism and/or health will allow for a holistic evaluation of peri-partal adaptations in health and disease.

predictor of liver function and overall health and productivity of the cow (Bertoni et al., 2008). Thus, it has been speculated that decreased serum concentrations of APOB, APOA1 and LCAT are intimately related to development of fatty liver and ketosis (e.g. Bobe et al., 2004). Both APOA1 and APOB were upregulated by ketosis and LDLR was downregulated (Loor et al., 2007b). We originally proposed that the upregulation of both APOA1 and APOB along with downregulation of genes associated with protein ubiquitination/degradation and TAG accumulation (Loor et al., 2007b; Table 5) pointed at other mechanisms limiting VLDL synthesis and export during ketosis, for example, lower *de novo* synthesis of cholesterol and oleic acid, rather than deficient APOB synthesis. The ketosis data, however, only offered a view of the end result of transcriptomics adaptations at the onset of clinical ketosis. In this regard, it is interesting to point out that clustering and bioinformatics analysis (Table 3) uncovered APOA1 as a gene downregulated well before the onset of parturition in cows overfed energy pre partum; thus, even in the absence of liver lipidosis, this candidate gene would serve as one predictor of post partum liver function.

Another important biomarker that was uncovered from the ketosis data was the enzyme SCD, which was markedly downregulated (ca. 4-fold; Loor et al., 2007b). Cows with hepatic lipidosis have reduced circulating concentrations of VLDL (Bobe et al., 2004). In non-ruminant animals (rodents, humans, chicken), there appears to be an absolute need for endogenous synthesis of oleic acid for synthesis and export of VLDL from liver (e.g. Legrand et al., 1997), which implies that SCD downregulation in post partal cows indirectly might lead to liver lipidosis. Sustained downregulation of SCD expression in mice prevents diet-induced hepatic insulin resistance, obesity and liver lipidosis (Flowers et al., 2007). Because liver SCD is substantially downregulated after parturition (Table 1), coupled with the ketosis results, downregulation of SCD also may play an indirect role in bovine liver lipidosis by further impairing VLDL synthesis and secretion. In fact, it has been shown that oleic acid increases hepatocyte VLDL synthesis (Julius, 2003). It is tempting to speculate that dietary saturated fat may serve as a tool to enhance SCD, as we have observed in vitro with both palmitate and stearate in bovine kidney cells (M. Bionaz, B. J. Thering and J. J. Loor, unpublished results) or with palmitate in mammary epithelial cells (Kadegowda et al., 2009). Future in vivo studies will have to test the efficacy of dietary fat supplementation in a more systematic manner.

Additional biomarker molecules from the ketosis data included cytokines (*IL6* and *IL18*;  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$  with ketosis), enzymes (*CD38*, *FN1* and *SOD2*;  $\uparrow$ ,  $\downarrow$  and  $\downarrow$ , respectively, with ketosis), a peptidase (PSEN1, ↓ with ketosis) and the transcription regulator TP53 (1 upregulated with ketosis. Analysis of the cytokines in blood would probably be more feasible in the future with the development of bovine-specific ELISA kits. It is not surprising that IL6 would serve as a biomarker particularly for hepatic disease and inflammation as it has been widely studied in non-ruminants (Moshage, 1997). Oxidative stress also is frequently observed around parturition (Bernabucci et al., 2005); thus, the observed downregulation of SOD2 with ketosis points at the potential for supplementation of antioxidants (e.g. selenium, vitamin E) in pre partal and post partal diets. In this regard, just as with APOA1, the clustering and bioinformatics analysis (Table 3) uncovered SOD2 as a gene downregulated well before the onset of parturition in cows overfed energy pre partum.

#### Regulation of liver transcriptional networks via nutrition

Dietary LCFA to target PPARA. A number of non-ruminant data published to date seem to indicate that both saturated and unsaturated LCFA enhance PPAR $\alpha$  transactivation nearly equally well (e.g. Göttlicher et al., 1992; Forman et al., 1995; Hostetler et al., 2005). Similarly, in some studies with rodents fed high-fat diets, PPARα-activated gene expression was increased regardless of whether the dietary lipid was mostly PUFA, monounsaturated or saturated (e.g. Bonilla et al., 2000). Because intracellular LCFA pools are a mixture of saturated and unsaturated LCFA and because PPARs are capable of binding two LCFA simultaneously (e.g. Itoh et al., 2008), there could exist a mechanism whereby the composition of LCFA in the cytosol dictates the 'strength' of the response, that is, the ability to bind two LCFA simultaneously could allow PPAR (PPAR $\alpha$  and/or PPAR $\delta$ ) to give a graded response to the varying composition of the intracellular LCFA pool (Itoh et al., 2008). A physiologically relevant case where the intracellular pool of LCFA likely changes in amount and profile is around parturition, when catabolic signals override the effect of insulin and flood the liver with NEFA. Thus, nutritional management eliciting changes in the profile of adipose LCFA (e.g. Douglas et al., 2007) as well as increasing the sensitivity of adipose to insulin around parturition might prevent excessive lipolysis and at the same time enrich the liver intracellular LCFA pool with those LCFA with the greatest potential to activate PPAR $\alpha$ .

*PPAR and LCFA in non-ruminants.* Studies dealing with endogenous ligands such as free LCFA or LCFA-CoA (i.e. activated 16:0, 18:2n-6, 18:3n-3 and 20:4n-6) have shown (at least for PPAR $\alpha$ ) that both forms of the FA have high affinities (i.e. low nanomolar dissociation values) for binding to the ligand-binding domain of PPAR $\alpha$  (Hostetler *et al.*, 2005). This point is important because intra-nuclear concentrations of free LCFA and LCFA-CoA in those non-ruminant cells studied to date range between 120 to 500 nM and 8 nM (Huang *et al.*, 2002). However, it is likely that the expression of cytoplasmic

free LCFA and LCFA-CoA binding proteins (e.g. FABP1) can significantly increase the distribution of both pools of FA to the nucleus particularly at high rates of NEFA influx into tissue, as we argue above.

Among the most potent  $\text{PPAR}\alpha$  endogenous ligands in non-ruminants are linoleic acid, linolenic acid and arachidonic acid derivates such as leukotriene B4 or prostaglandins D1 and D2 (Forman et al., 1995; Devchand et al., 1996). However, the endogenous activation of the receptor seems to occur mainly with high levels of NEFA that occur under fasting conditions (Kersten et al., 1999). This point is particularly relevant in peripartal cows, whose liver is overloaded after parturition due to the hypoinsulinemia, reduced insulin sensitivity and uncoupling of the GH-IGF-I axis as highlighted above. An additional key point pertaining to ruminants is that, at least in vitro, data from our laboratory (Thering, 2008) and a recent study (Bionaz et al., 2008) indicated that saturated LCFA are more potent in activating putative PPAR $\alpha$ -target genes, unlike the situation in non-ruminants. Because of the recent link between PPAR<sup>®</sup> and liver metabolism (Sanderson et al., 2009 and 2010), studies with ligands specific for this NR in bovine cells appear warranted.

Channeling LCFA toward oxidation in peripartal bovine live. One way whereby exogenous LCFA can promote greater rates of mitochondrial oxidation is by uncoupling oxidation from ATP production. ATP production is impaired when protons are allowed to pass through the inner membrane without the production of ATP, essentially resulting in heat production (Grav et al., 2003). Key players in the mitochondrial uncoupling process are the uncoupling proteins (UCP), whose activities are induced primarily by NEFA (Armstrong and Towle, 2001). Monounsaturated and PUFA appear more effective than saturated LCFA in activating UCP2, the liver-specific isoform (Armstrong and Towle, 2001). UCP2 is a demonstrated non-ruminant PPAR $\alpha$  target in vivo (e.g. Kelly et al., 1998; Mandard et al., 2004). In rat hepatocytes treated with tetradecylthioacetic acid (known PPAR $\alpha$  agonist) Grav *et al.* (2003) observed a moderate decrease in hepatocyte phosphate potential, energy charge, respiratory control coefficient and uncoupling of mitochondria (Grav *et al.*, 2003). In fact, these changes bear a striking resemblance to those observed in liver tissue from lactating cows that were feed-restricted to induce ketosis (Baird et al., 1972). The implications of the PPAR $\alpha$ -mediated uncoupling effect (Grav et al., 2003) are that liver metabolism can maintain its function within the confines of its physiologically regulatory framework if it were challenged by a PPAR $\alpha$ activator such as exogenous LCFA.

Based on metabolic data available at the time, Jesse *et al.* (1986a) suggested that in the fasting state (as it occurs after calving), in contrast with rodent liver, there is little change in the enzymatic capacity of bovine liver for LCFA oxidation. Liver tissue from fasted (5 to 7 days) lactating cows continued to oxidize palmitate to acid-soluble products (i.e. ketone bodies), but oxidation to  $CO_2$  was decreased from pre-experimental values, an effect likely arising (Jesse *et al.*, 1986b) from

decreased availability of TCA cycle intermediates (e.g. Baird *et al.*, 1972). Grummer (2008) suggested that partitioning of LCFA toward oxidation would be a feasible strategy to reduce TAG accumulation as long as there is uncoupling of mitochondrial oxidation. Under such a scenario, exogenous LCFA will continue to be oxidized to ketones and acetyl-CoA, which can then be used for cholesterol synthesis (cytosol) and/or enter the TCA cycle through greater availability of NAD<sup>+</sup>. Enhancing peroxisomal oxidation is an additional means whereby specific LCFA might trigger sustained LCFA oxidation. It differs from mitochondrial oxidation in that it is not linked to ATP production and yields heat. Prepartal fat supplementation resulted in greater peroxisomal oxidation at calving compared with a high-grain diet (Grum *et al.*, 1996).

#### Exogenous lipid and liver metabolism

*Metabolic studies.* Several *in vitro* studies with calf hepatocytes have evaluated the metabolism of saturated and unsaturated LCFA (Mashek *et al.*, 2002; Mashek and Grummer, 2003). In short-term incubations, both 16:0 and cis9-18:1 induced greater palmitate esterification into TAG, but the opposite response was observed with 18:2n-6 and 18:3n-3. At least during short-term incubations, 20:5n-3 and 22:6n-3 were more potent (2 to 4-fold greater) than other LCFA in inducing complete palmitate oxidation to  $CO_2$ (Mashek *et al.*, 2002). This response agrees with the findings in rodent studies cited above. Longer-term exposure (48 h) with LCFA resulted in greater ketogenesis only when 16:0 and 18:0 were incubated (Mashek and Grummer, 2003). Despite the useful information generated, results offered limited information on mechanisms of action.

Follow-up studies have focused on the potential effects of 18:3-rich v. 20:5n-3 and 22:6n-3-rich oils for preventing liver lipidosis assessed via TAG concentration (Mashek *et al.*, 2005; Kulick *et al.*, 2006; Ballou *et al.*, 2009). In the study of Mashek *et al.* (2005), feed-restricted dry/non-pregnant cows receiving an intravenous emulsion of linseed oil v. tallow infusion or fish oil had lower liver TAG (7.8  $\mu$ g AG/ $\mu$ g DNA v. 12 or 14  $\mu$ g AG/ $\mu$ g DNA) accumulation during fatty liver induction. These data supported the short-term hepatocyte culture data indicating that 18:3n-3 might be a useful LCFA for preventing fatty liver. However, because plasma NEFA concentration also was decreased by linseed oil infusion, it was not possible to determine if the effects were direct on the liver or indirect through reducing plasma NEFA.

In the study of Kulick *et al.* (2006), the same dry-cow model was used in which water, tallow or linseed oil was infused into the abomasum. Contrary to Mashek *et al.* (2005), tallow (high in 16:0, 18:0 and cis9-18:1) was more beneficial than linseed oil in reducing liver TAG accumulation during feed restriction. Grum *et al.* (1996) fed a fat source enriched in cis9-18:1 and 16:0 *pre partum* that resulted in lower *post partal* liver TAG. Although these cows had lower prepartal feed intakes relative to controls or high-grain-fed cows, NEFA *post partum* did not differ. Furthermore, fat-fed cows had greater rates of liver peroxisomal oxidation from -3 through 3 weeks relative to parturition. In a more recent

study, cows fed supplemental lipid had lower blood NEFA both *pre-* and *post partum*, numerically greater blood glucose *post partum*, and similar levels of dry matter intake and milk production (ca. 40 kg/day) during the first 2 weeks *post partum* (Ballou *et al.*, 2009). Despite the lower NEFA, the concentration of TAG in liver was similar between treatments. This latter effect is at first glance not intuitive, but perhaps underscores the limitations of using it as the sole or one of the few parameters to evaluate peripartal liver metabolism.

The review by Chilliard (1993) provided a comprehensive analysis of metabolic adaptations of dairy cows to lipid feeding beginning as early as 2 days post partum. The analysis revealed that in some instances lipid supplementation *in vivo* can lead to greater NEFA release from adipose (*in vivo* or in vitro), namely at mid-lactation. However, no data that encompassed pre-partal lipid supplementation were available, but subsequent studies have indicated that supplemental lipid can result in greater blood NEFA pre partum (e.g. Douglas et al., 2007; Andersen et al., 2008). Interpreting pre-partal NEFA responses under the above conditions is complicated by the fact that supplemental fat (particularly at higher levels) unavoidably increases availability of dietary TAG for lipolysis via tissue LPL, hence resulting in greater NEFA if they are not catabolized by liver/heart or stored in tissues (e.g. adipose, liver).

Interpreting metabolic studies in the context of lipid nutrition. Although it is challenging to compare across the above studies, *in vivo* results appear to be consistent with the *in vitro* findings showing that longer-term incubation with 16:0 and 18:0 (Mashek and Grummer, 2003) enhanced ketogenesis (i.e. [BHBA]) to a greater extent than PUFA. It is assumed that dietary cis9-18:1 in the study of Grum *et al.* (1996) was extensively biohydrogenated to 18:0 before reaching tissues. In a recent study, saturated fat (16:0 and 18:0 mainly) *pre partum* was more efficacious than flaxseeds (high 18:3n-3) in preventing TAG accumulation at 2 weeks post-calving (Andersen *et al.*, 2008). This appeared to be coupled with numerically greater palmitate oxidation to CO<sub>2</sub> and acid-soluble products. However, plasma NEFA were lower and thus might partly explain the lower liver TAG.

The responses to saturated/monounsaturated LCFA in bovines are intriguing because in non-ruminants PUFA are undoubtedly more potent activators of oxidation (Jump, 2008). It is possible that specie differences exist in terms of LCFA-specific metabolic responses in liver. An additional, and obvious, limiting factor in lipid feeding studies is the inability to predict with certainty the amount of LCFA that will reach tissues. However, data from detailed studies of non-ruminant PPAR structures and their binding affinity for LCFA (e.g. Itoh *et al.*, 2008) suggest that, by virtue of binding two LCFA simultaneously (i.e. they have two binding cavities/pockets), these NR might have the capacity to give a graded response to the varying composition of the intracellular LCFA pool, which is invariably composed of mixtures of saturated and unsaturated LCFA and LCFA-CoAs (Hostetler *et al.*, 2005). Thus, as long as we can identify the type or types of LCFA with 'stronger' PPAR activation (both toward PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\delta$ ) effect, we could devise strategies for both the amount and length of time of feeding to achieve optimal responses.

Putative PPAR $\alpha$  targets and effects of LCFA in bovine cells. We recently conducted a study to identify reliable bovine PPAR $\alpha$  targets among key genes, several of which are well-established PPAR $\alpha$  targets in non-ruminants, associated with liver lipid metabolism and inflammation after treatment with Wy-14,643 (a potent PPAR $\alpha$  agonist). Another important objective was to test the effect of several LCFA on PPAR $\alpha$  activation by measuring expression of the bovinespecific PPAR $\alpha$  genes (M. Bionaz, B. J. Thering and J. J. Loor, unpublished results). Madin-Darby Bovine Kidney cells (MDBK), which have been shown to be responsive to both PPAR $\alpha$  (Wy-14,643) and PPAR $\gamma$  (rosiglitazone) agonists (Bionaz et al., 2008), were used for the study and details of the optimization of culture conditions have been published (Thering et al., 2009). MDBK cells were cultured with pure 16:0, 18:0, cis9-18:1, 18:2n-6, 18:3n-3, cis9, trans11-18:2, trans10,cis12-18:2, 20:0 (phytanic acid), 20:5n-3 and 22:6n-3 for 6 h and mRNA expression of 30 genes analyzed using quantitative PCR. Wy-14,643 was used as positive control.

Analysis revealed interesting features of the PPARaresponsive network in bovine cells. For example, Wy-14,643 resulted in relatively fewer differentially expressed transcripts compared with previous findings in the non-ruminant literature (e.g. Cheon et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2007). The most striking responses due to this PPAR $\alpha$  agonist were upregulation of ANGPTL4 and CPT1A. The non-ruminant ANGPTL4 and CPT1A genes both have known PPRE (Mandard et al., 2004), confirming (Bionaz et al., 2008a) that MDBK cells were suitable to study the PPAR $\alpha$  system. All LCFA tested appeared to activate transcription of both genes, potentially through interactions with PPAR $\alpha$ . More importantly, results indicated that both 16:0 and 18:0 elicited the strongest effects on gene expression leading to changes in transcription of 17 genes (56.7% of measured transcripts), 11 of which are PPAR $\alpha$  targets in non-ruminants. Among unsaturated LCFA, 20:5n-3 affected expression of the greatest number of genes measured (18% or 60% of all measured genes). The biological outcome of these adaptations induced by the saturated LCFA or 20:5n-3, on network analysis, would be an overall increase of lipid metabolism, that is, greater uptake and activation of LCFA, channeling toward mitochondrial  $\beta$ -oxidation, as well as the use of LCFA for TAG and cholesterol synthesis. The overall induction of gene transcription with both 16:0 and 18:0 was greater than Wy-14,643, suggesting that they are more potent activators of bovine PPAR $\alpha$ .

One of the implications from this *in vitro* study is that ruminal biohydrogenation intermediates such as trans10-18:1, trans11-18:1, cis9, trans11-18:2 and trans10, cis12-18:2 are relatively less potent in activating the bovine PPAR $\alpha$ gene transcription network (4, 5 7 and 7, respectively, PPAR $\alpha$  target genes were affected by these LCFA). The observed responses with 16:0, 18:0 and 20:5n-3 were found at a *lower dose* (i.e. 0.15 mM) of what might be typically found in blood after calving (e.g. LeRoy et al., 2004). It might be feasible to enhance sustained delivery of 20:5n-3 to tissues through ruminal-protection technologies (e.g. Jenkins et al., 2008). Despite the fact that 20:5n-3 is hydrogenated (ca. 90% to 95%; Loor et al., 2005c), tissues will likely accumulate it during long-term supplementation (e.g. Castañeda-Gutiérrez et al., 2007; Staples et al., 2007). Clearly, our results provided evidence that LCFA can activate the ruminant PPAR $\alpha$  network of genes that might lead to a positive effect on liver function after calving, that is, channeling of LCFA toward oxidation, reduced TAG synthesis and enhanced cholesterol synthesis for VLDL assembly/export. Future studies with bovine cells should also be directed toward examination of the potential role of PPAR $\delta$  in liver metabolic adaptations.

#### Perspectives

We propose that in order to address the complex metabolic phenotypes of the peripartal period, there is a need to identify at the very least transcript variations in liver, mammary and adipose (Figure 5) that might contribute to metabolism and health. Ideally, this approach would initially encompass a transcriptomics characterization of each tissue as well as immune cells (e.g. neutrophils) over a wider range of nutritional treatments of practical relevance and also across cows of different genetic merit. Within individual experiments, the data generated would allow for the identification of underlying gene networks and pathways that could be linked to a particular metabolic or health phenotype. The systems approach might lead to the discovery of regulatory targets that could be tested further (i.e. model-directed discovery) or help address a broader spectrum of basic and practical applications including interpretation of phenotypic data, metabolic engineering or interpretation of lactation phenotypes. A recent study using the tissue-to-tissue co-expression approach in a mouse model of obesity uncovered subnetworks in the liver, adipose and hypothalamus that were enriched in genes that have obesity-relevant biological functions including circadian rhythm, energy balance, stress response or immune response (Dobrin et al., 2009).

For those efforts to be of much greater value to the scientific community and the producer, it would be ideal to apply meta-analyses techniques particularly when common treatment levels are used in more than one study. Such analyses have already been undertaken in agricultural species including bovines (e.g. Adams *et al.*, 2008; Rodriguez-Zas *et al.*, 2008), where mixture and dependence Bayesian network approaches were able to reconstruct embryo-specific interactions among genes in the adherens junction, axon guidance and actin cytoskeleton pathways (Rodriguez-Zas *et al.*, 2008). As suggested by the examples provided in this review, clustering, network and pathway analysis will allow one to uncover co-regulation and identifying biomarkers for metabolism and health in peripartal cows under a wide range of

dietary or management conditions. In addition, integrating transcriptomics data into existing models of metabolism and nutrient use in the dairy cow, such as Molly (Hanigan *et al.*, 2006), can go a long way to help understand the critical genetic/environmental interactions in the cow. This suggestion has been made previously, but before the techniques were truly available (McNamara *et al.*, 1991; McNamara, 1994).

The use of high-throughput technologies such as microarrays has allowed a biological holistic view of the complex system represented by the major tissues in agricultural animals. Genome-enabled technologies have already uncovered molecular functions and pathways that are key during growth and lactation. Particularly important is the discovery of interacting networks of genes, which is suggestive of functional interactions as well as common regulated functions. Greater understanding of the significance and regulation of those interactions is the next challenge for livestock biologists. Besides microarray technology, other techniques will need to be implemented in the near future to more fully understand these complex interactions. The discovery and functional characterization of transcription factors involved in tissue adaptations to a new physiological state could result in long-term practical applications. These molecules can be controlled by effectors such as nutrients, hormones and/or growth factors. Therefore, controlling (increasing, decreasing) the availability of these effectors in tissues of economic importance might allow for fine regulation of the system.

#### Acknowledgments

The author expresses his sincere appreciation to J. K. Drackley, H. A. Lewin, S. L. Rodriguez-Zas, R. E. Everts and M. Bionaz for their strong support and collaboration during the last 7 years. Sincere thanks to all the graduate students and staff members who have contributed to the research discussed in this review. The author is also indebted to J. P. McNamara (Washington State University) and J. K. Drackley for the critical review and suggestions about the manuscript. Work from the laboratory of the author and colleagues was funded by awards 2001-35206-10946 and 2007-35204-17758 from the National Research Initiative Competitive Grants Program, and from Section 1433 Animal Health and Disease (ILLU-538-933, ILLU-538-952). Development of the 13 000 bovine oligonucleotide microarray was funded by the US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, under award no. 58-1265-2-020.

#### References

Acosta-Alvear D, Zhou Y, Blais A, Tsikitis M, Lents NH, Arias C, Lennon CJ, Kluger Y and Dynlacht BD 2007. XBP1 controls diverse cell type- and condition-specific transcriptional regulatory networks. Molecular Cell 27, 53–66.

Adams HA, Southey BR, Robinson GE and Rodriguez-Zas SL 2008. Metaanalysis of genome-wide expression patterns associated with behavioral maturation in honey bees. BMC Genomics 9, 503.

Adida A and Spener F 2006. Adipocyte-type fatty acid-binding protein as intercompartmental shuttle for peroxisome proliferator activated receptor  $\gamma$  agonists in cultured cell. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1761, 172–181. Aitken SL, Karcher EL, Rezamand P, Gandy JC, VandeHaar MJ, Capuco AV and Sordillo LM 2009. Evaluation of antioxidant and proinflammatory gene expression in bovine mammary tissue during the periparturient period. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 589–598.

Allen MS, Bradford BJ and Harvatine KJ 2005. The cow as a model to study food intake regulation. Annual Review of Nutrition 25, 523–547.

Alvaro D, Mancino MG, Onori P, Franchitto A, Alpini G, Francis H, Glaser S and Gaudio E 2006. Estrogens and the pathophysiology of the biliary tree. World Journal of Gastroenterology 12, 3537–3545.

Andersen JB, Ridder C and Larsen T 2008. Priming the cow for mobilization in the periparturient period: effects of supplementing the dry cow with saturated fat or linseed. Journal of Dairy Science 91, 1029–1043.

Andrew SM, Waldo DR and Erdman RA 1994. Direct analysis of body composition of dairy cows at three physiological stages. Journal of Dairy Science 77, 3022–3033.

Armstrong MB and Towle HC 2001. Polyunsaturated fatty acids stimulate hepatic UCP-2 expression via a PPARalpha-mediated pathway. American Journal of Physiology Endocrinology and Metabolism 281, E1197–E1204.

Bader N, Jung T and Grune T 2007. The proteasome and its role in nuclear protein maintenance. Experimental Gerontology 42, 864–870.

Badman MK, Pissios P, Kennedy AR, Koukos G, Flier JS and Maratos-Flier E 2007. Hepatic fibroblast growth factor 21 is regulated by PPARalpha and is a key mediator of hepatic lipid metabolism in ketotic states. Cell Metabolism 5, 426–437.

Baird GD, Heitzman RJ and Hibbitt KG 1972. Effects of starvation on intermediary metabolism in the lactating cow. A comparison with metabolic changes occurring during bovine ketosis. Biochemical Journal 128, 1311–1318.

Baldwin RL, McLeod KR and Capuco AV 2004. Visceral tissue growth and proliferation during the bovine lactation cycle. Journal of Dairy Science 87, 2977–2986.

Ballard FJ, Hanson RW and Leveille GA 1967. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase and the synthesis of glyceride-glycerol from pyruvate in adipose tissue. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 242, 2746–2750.

Ballou MA, Gomes RC, Juchem SO and DePeters EJ 2009. Effects of dietary supplemental fish oil during the peripartum period on blood metabolites and hepatic fatty acid compositions and total triacylglycerol concentrations of multiparous Holstein cows. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 657–669.

Bauchart D 1993. Lipid absorption and transport in ruminants. Journal of Dairy Science 76, 3864–3881.

Bauman DE and Currie WB 1980. Partitioning of nutrients during pregnancy and lactation: a review of mechanisms involving homeostasis and homeorhesis. Journal of Dairy Science 9, 1514–1529.

Bauman DE and Griinari JM 2003. Nutritional regulation of milk fat synthesis. Annual Review of Nutrition 23, 203–227.

Bell AW 1979. Lipid metabolism in liver and selected tissues and in the whole body of ruminant animals. Progress in Lipid Research 18, 117–164.

Bell AW 1995. Regulation of organic nutrient metabolism during transition from late pregnancy to early lactation. Journal of Animal Science 73, 2804–2819.

Bergen WG and Mersmann HJ 2005. Comparative aspects of lipid metabolism: impact on contemporary research and use of animal models. The Journal of Nutrition 135, 2499–2502.

Berger J and Moller DE 2002. The mechanisms of action of PPARs. Annual Review of Medicine 53, 409–435.

Bernabucci U, Ronchi B, Basiricò L, Pirazzi D, Rueca F, Lacetera N and Nardone A 2004. Abundance of mRNA of apolipoprotein B100, apolipoprotein E, and microsomal triglyceride transfer protein in liver from periparturient dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 87, 2881–2888.

Bernabucci U, Ronchi B, Lacetera N and Nardone A 2005. Influence of body condition score on relationships between metabolic status and oxidative stress in periparturient dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 88, 2017–2026.

Bernard L, Bonnet M, Leroux C, Shingfield KJ and Chilliard Y 2009. Effect of sunflower-seed oil and linseed oil on tissue lipid metabolism, gene expression and milk fatty acid secretion in alpine goats fed maize silage based diets. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 6083–6094.

Bertoni G, Trevisi E, Han X and Bionaz M 2008. Effects of inflammatory conditions on liver activity in puerperium period and consequences for performance in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 91, 3300–3310.

Biegel LB, Hurtt ME, Frame SR, Applegate M, O'Connor JC and Cook JC 1992. Comparison of the effects of Wyeth-14,643 in Crl:CD BR and Fisher-344 rats. Fundamental and Applied Toxicology 19, 590–597. Bionaz M and Loor JJ 2008a. ACSL1, AGPAT6, FABP3, LPIN1, and SLC27A6 are the most abundant isoforms in bovine mammary tissue and their expression is affected by stage of lactation. The Journal of Nutrition 138, 1019–1024.

Bionaz M and Loor JJ 2008b. Gene networks driving bovine milk fat synthesis during the lactation cycle. BMC Genomics 9, 366.

Bionaz M, Drackley JK, Dann HM and Loor JJ 2007a. Liver fatty acid binding protein (FABP) and acyl-CoA synthase (ACSL) isoform gene expression due to plane of dietary energy prepartum in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 90 (E-suppl. 1), 972.

Bionaz M, Drackley JK, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Dann HM, Janovick Guretzky NA, Everts RE, Oliveira R, Lewin HA and Loor JJ 2007b. Uncovering adaptive hepatic gene networks due to prepartum plane of dietary energy and physiological state in periparturient Holstein cows. Journal of Dairy Science 90 (E-suppl. 1), 971.

Bionaz M, Trevisi E, Calamari L, Librandi L, Ferrari A and Bertoni G 2007c. Plasma paraoxonase, health, inflammatory conditions, and liver function in transition dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 90, 1740–1750.

Bionaz M, Baumrucker CR, Shirk E, Vanden Heuvel JP, Block E and Varga GA 2008. Short communication: characterization of Madin-Darby Bovine kidney cell line for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: temporal response and sensitivity to fatty acids. Journal of Dairy Science 91, 2808–2813.

Bobe G, Young JW and Beitz DC 2004. Pathology, etiology, prevention, and treatment of fatty liver in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 87, 3105–3124.

Bonilla S, Redonnet A, Noël-Suberville C, Pallet V, Garcin H and Higueret P 2000. High-fat diets affect the expression of nuclear retinoic acid receptor in rat liver. The British Journal of Nutrition 83, 665–671.

Bossaert P, Leroy JL, De Vliegher S and Opsomer G 2008. Interrelations between glucose-induced insulin response, metabolic indicators, and time of first ovulation in high-yielding dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 91, 3363–3371.

Bradford BJ, Mamedova LK, Minton JE, Drouillard JS and Johnson BJ 2009. Daily injection of tumor necrosis factor-{alpha} increases hepatic triglycerides and alters transcript abundance of metabolic genes in lactating dairy cattle. The Journal of Nutrition 139, 1451–1456.

Brasaemle DL 2007. Thematic review series: adipocyte biology. The perilipin family of structural lipid droplet proteins: stabilization of lipid droplets and control of lipolysis. Journal of Lipid Research 48, 2547–2559.

Bremmer DR, Bertics SJ, Besong SA and Grummer RR 2000. Changes in hepatic microsomal triglyceride transfer protein and triglyceride in periparturient dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 83, 2252–2260.

Bruggeman FJ and Westerhoff HV 2007. The nature of systems biology. Trends in Microbiology 15, 45–50.

Cappon GD, Liu RC, Frame SR and Hurtt ME 2002. Effects of the rat hepatic peroxisome proliferator, Wyeth 14,643, on the lactating goat. Drug and Chemical Toxicology 25, 255–266.

Carriquiry M, Weber WJ, Fahrenkrug SC and Crooker BA 2009. Hepatic gene expression in multiparous Holstein cows treated with bovine somatotropin and fed n-3 fatty acids in early lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 4889–4900.

Castañeda-Gutiérrez E, Benefield BC, de Veth MJ, Santos NR, Gilbert RO, Butler WR and Bauman DE 2007. Evaluation of the mechanism of action of conjugated linoleic acid isomers on reproduction in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 90, 4253–4264.

Chawla A, Repa JJ, Evans RM and Mangelsdorf DJ 2001. Nuclear receptors and lipid physiology: opening the X-files. Science 294, 1866–1870.

Cheon Y, Nara TY, Band MR, Beever JE, Wallig MA and Nakamura MT 2005. Induction of overlapping genes by fasting and a peroxisome proliferator in pigs: evidence of functional PPARalpha in nonproliferating species. American Journal of Physiology Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology 288, R1525–R1535.

Chilliard Y 1993. Dietary fat and adipose tissue metabolism in ruminants, pigs and rodents: a review. Journal of Dairy Science 76, 3897–3927.

Chilliard Y 1999. Metabolic adaptations and nutrient partitioning in the lactating animal. In Biology of lactation (ed. J Martinet, LM Houdebine and HH Head), pp. 503–552. Collection Mieux Comprendre, INRA Editions, Paris.

Chilliard Y, Ferlay A, Faulconnier Y, Bonnet M, Rouel J and Bocquier F 2000. Adipose tissue metabolism and its role in adaptations to undernutrition in ruminants. The Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 59, 127–134.

Chilliard Y, Delavaud C and Bonnet M 2005. Leptin expression in ruminants: nutritional and physiological regulations in relation with energy metabolism. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 29, 3–22.

Ciechanover A 2006. Intracellular protein degradation: from a vague idea thru the lysosome and the ubiquitin-proteasome system and onto human diseases and drug targeting. Experimental Biology and Medicine 231, 1197–1211.

Coleman RA, Lewin TM, Van Horn CG and Gonzalez-Baró MR 2002. Do longchain acyl-CoA synthetases regulate fatty acid entry into synthetic versus degradative pathways? The Journal of Nutrition 132, 123–2126.

Dalen KT, Ulven SM, Arntsen BM, Solaas K and Nebb HI 2006. PPARalpha activators and fasting induce the expression of adipose differentiation-related protein in liver. Journal of Lipid Research 47, 931–943.

Dann HM and Drackley JK 2005. Carnitine palmitoyltransferase I in liver of periparturient dairy cows: effects of prepartum intake, postpartum induction of ketosis, and periparturient disorders. Journal of Dairy Science 88, 3851–3859.

Dann HM, Morin DE, Bollero GA, Murphy MR and Drackley JK 2005. Prepartum intake, postpartum, induction of ketosis, and periparturient disorders affect the metabolic status of dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 88, 3249–3264.

Dann HM, Litherland NB, Underwood JP, Bionaz M, D'Angelo A, McFadden JW and Drackley JK 2006. Diets during far-off and close-up dry periods affect periparturient metabolism and lactation in multiparous cows. Journal of Dairy Science 89, 3563–3577.

Desvergne B, Michalik L and Wahli W 2006. Transcriptional regulation of metabolism. Physiological Review 86, 465–514.

Devchand PR, Keller H, Peters JM, Vazquez M, Gonzalez FJ and Wahli W 1996. The PPAR $\alpha$ -leukotriene B4 pathway to inflammation control. Nature 384, 39–43.

Dobrin R, Zhu J, Molony C, Argman C, Parrish ML, Carlson S, Allan MF, Pomp D and Schadt EE 2009. Multi-tissue coexpression networks reveal unexpected subnetworks associated with disease. Genome Biology 10, R55.

Douglas GN, Rehage J, Beaulieu AD, Bahaa AO and Drackley JK 2007. Prepartum nutrition alters fatty acid composition in plasma, adipose tissue, and liver lipids of periparturient dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 90, 2941–2959.

Drackley JK 1999. Biology of dairy cows during the transition period: the final frontier? Journal of Dairy Science 82, 2259–2273.

Drackley JK and Andersen JB 2006. Splanchnic metabolism of long-chain fatty acids in ruminants. In Ruminant physiology: digestion, metabolism and impact of nutrition on gene expression, immunology and stress (ed. K Sejrsen, T Hvelplund and MO Nielsen), Proceedings of the 10th International Symposium on Ruminant Physiology, Copenhagen, Denmark, pp. 199–224. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Drackley JK and Dann HM 2008. A scientific approach to feeding dry cows. In Recent advances in animal nutrition (ed. PC Garnsworthy and J Wiseman), Chapter 3. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK.

Drackley JK, Overton TR and Douglas GN 2001. Adaptations of glucose and long-chain fatty acid metabolism in liver of dairy cows during the periparturient period. Journal of Dairy Science 84, E100–E112.

Drackley JK, Dann HM, Douglas GN, Janovick Guretzky NA, Litherland NB, Underwood JP and Loor JJ 2005. Physiological and pathological adaptations in dairy cows that may increase susceptibility to periparturient diseases and disorders. Italian Journal of Animal Science 4, 323–344.

Drackley JK, Donkin SS and Reynolds CK 2006. Major advances in fundamental dairy cattle nutrition. Journal of Dairy Science 89, 1324–1336.

Etherton TD and Bauman DE 1998. Biology of somatotropin in growth and lactation of domestic animals. Physiological Reviews 78, 745–761.

Feist AM and Palsson BO 2008. The growing scope of applications of genomescale metabolic reconstructions using *Escherichia coli*. Nature Biotechnology 26, 659–667.

Finck BN, Gropler MC, Chen Z, Leone TC, Croce MA, Harris TE, Lawrence Jr JC and Kelly DP 2006. Lipin 1 is an inducible amplifier of the hepatic PGC-1alpha/ PPARalpha regulatory pathway. Cell Metabolism 4, 199–210.

Finucane KA, McFadden TB, Bond JP, Kennelly JJ and Zhao FQ 2008. Onset of lactation in the bovine mammary gland: gene expression profiling indicates a strong inhibition of gene expression in cell proliferation. Functional & Integrative Genomics 8, 251–264.

Flowers JB, Rabaglia ME, Schueler KL, Flowers MT, Lan H, Keller MP, Ntambi JM and Attie AD 2007. Loss of stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1 improves insulin sensitivity in lean mice but worsens diabetes in leptin-deficient obese mice. Diabetes 56, 1228–1239.

Forman BM, Tontonoz P, Chen J, Brun RP, Spiegelman BM and Evans RM 1995. 15-Deoxy-delta 12, 14-prostaglandin J2 is a ligand for the adipocyte determination factor PPAR gamma. Cell 83, 803–812.

Furuhashi M and Hotamisligil GS 2008. Fatty acid-binding proteins: role in metabolic diseases and potential as drug targets. Nature Review of Drug Discovery 7, 489–503.

George J and Liddle C 2008. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: pathogenesis and potential for nuclear receptors as therapeutic targets. Molecular Pharmacology 5, 49–59.

Gibb MJ, Ivings WE, Dhanoa MS and Sutton JD 1992. Changes in body components of autumn-calving Holstein-Friesian cows over the first 29 weeks of lactation. Animal Production 55, 339–360.

Gibbons GF, Wiggins D, Brown AM and Hebbachi AM 2004. Synthesis and function of hepatic very-low-density lipoprotein. Biochemical Society Transactions 32, 59–64.

Glimcher LH and Lee AH 2009. From sugar to fat: how the transcription factor XBP1 regulates hepatic lipogenesis. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1173 (suppl. 1), E2–E9.

Goodman JM 2008. The gregarious lipid droplet. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 283, 28005–28009.

Göttlicher M, Widmark E, Li Q and Gustafsson JA 1992. Fatty acids activate a chimera of the clofibric acid-activated receptor and the glucocorticoid receptor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 9, 4653–4657.

Gorin E, Tal-Or Z and Shafrir E 1969. Glyceroneogenesis in adipose tissue of fasted, diabetic and triamcinolone treated rats. European Journal of Biochemistry 8, 370–375.

Graugnard DE, Berger LL, Faulkner DB and Loor JJ 2009. High-starch diets induce precocious adipogenic gene network up-regulation in longissimus lumborum of early-weaned Angus cattle. British Journal of Nutrition 103, 953–963.

Grav HJ, Tronstad KJ, Gudbrandsen OA, Berge K, Fladmark KE, Martinsen TC, Waldum H, Wergedahl H and Berge RK 2003. Changed energy state and increased mitochondrial beta-oxidation rate in liver of rats associated with lowered proton electrochemical potential and stimulated uncoupling protein 2 (UCP-2) expression: evidence for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptoralpha independent induction of UCP-2 expression. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 278, 30525–30533.

Greenfield RB, Cecava MJ and Donkin SS 2000. Changes in mRNA expression for gluconeogenic enzymes in liver of dairy cattle during the transition to lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 83, 1228–1236.

Gruffat D, Durand D, Graulet B and Bauchart D 1996. Regulation of VLDL synthesis and secretion in the liver. Reproduction Nutrition and Development 36, 375–389.

Gruffat D, Durand D, Chilliard Y, Williams P and Bauchart D 1997. Hepatic gene expression of apolipoprotein B100 during early lactation in underfed, high producing dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 80, 657–666.

Grum DE, Drackley JK, Younker RS, LaCount DW and Veenhuizen JJ 1996. Nutrition during the dry period and hepatic lipid metabolism of periparturient dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 79, 1850–1864.

Grummer RR 1995. Impact of changes in organic nutrient metabolism on feeding the transition dairy cow. Journal of Animal Science 73, 2820–2833.

Grummer RR 2008. Nutritional and management strategies for the prevention of fatty liver in dairy cattle. Veterinary Journal 176, 10–20.

Guo Y, Jolly RA, Halstead BW, Baker TK, Stutz JP, Huffman M, Calley JN, West A, Gao H, Searfoss GH, Li S, Irizarry AR, Qian HR, Stevens JL and Ryan TP 2007. Underlying mechanisms of pharmacology and toxicity of a novel PPAR agonist revealed using rodent and canine hepatocytes. Toxicology Science 96, 294–309.

Hanigan MD, Bateman HG, Fadel JG and McNamara JP 2006. Metabolic models of ruminant metabolism: recent improvements and current status. Journal of Dairy Science 89, E52–E64.

Hartwell JR, Cecava MJ and Donkin SS 2001. Rumen undegradable protein, rumen-protected choline and mRNA expression for enzymes in gluconeogenesis and ureagenesis in periparturient dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 84, 490–497.

Harvatine KJ and Bauman DE 2006. SREBP1 and thyroid hormone responsive spot 14 (S14) are involved in the regulation of bovine mammary lipid synthesis during diet-induced milk fat depression and treatment with CLA. The Journal of Nutrition 136, 2468–2474.

Hauner H 2002. The mode of action of thiazolidinediones. Diabetes and Metabolism Research Reviews Supplement 2, S10–S15.

Herbein JH, Aiello RJ, Eckler LI, Pearson RE and Akers RM 1985. Glucagon, insulin, growth hormone, and glucose concentrations in blood plasma of lactating dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 68, 320–325.

Hostetler HA, Petrescu AD, Kier AB and Schroeder F 2005. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha interacts with high affinity and is conformationally responsive to endogenous ligands. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 280, 18667–18682.

Huang H, Starodub O, McIntosh A, Kier AB and Schroeder F 2002. Liver fatty acid-binding protein targets fatty acids to the nucleus. Real time confocal and multiphoton fluorescence imaging in living cells. Journal of Biological Chemistry 277, 29139–29151.

Hughes LM, Bao J, Hu ZL, Honavar V and Reecy JM 2008. Animal trait ontology: the importance and usefulness of a unified trait vocabulary for animal species. Journal of Animal Science 86, 1485–1491.

Ingle DL, Bauman DE and Garrigus US 1972. Lipogenesis in the ruminant: *in vitro* study of tissue sites, carbon source and reducing equivalent generation for fatty acid synthesis. The Journal of Nutrition 102, 609–616.

Ingvartsen KL 2006. Feeding- and management-related diseases in the transition cow. Physiological adaptations around calving and strategies to reduce feeding-related diseases. Animal Feed Science and Technology 126, 175–213.

Itoh T, Fairall L, Amin K, Inaba Y, Szanto A, Balint BL, Nagy L, Yamamoto K and Schwabe JW 2008. Structural basis for the activation of PPARgamma by oxidized fatty acids. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 15, 924–931.

Janovick NA, Loor JJ, Ji P, Everts RE, Lewin HA, Rodriguez-Zas SL and Drackley JK 2009. Overfeeding energy prepartum dramati cally affects peripartal expression of mRNA transcripts in subcutaneous adipose tissue compared with controlling energy intake prepartum. Journal of Dairy Science 92 (E-suppl. 1), 709.

Jenkins TC, Wallace RJ, Moate PJ and Mosley EE 2008. Board-invited review: recent advances in biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids within the rumen microbial ecosystem. Journal of Animal Science 86, 397–412.

Jesse BW, Emery RS and Thomas JW 1986a. Control of bovine hepatic fatty acid oxidation. Journal of Dairy Science 69, 2290–2297.

Jesse BW, Emery RS and Thomas JW 1986b. Aspects of the regulation of long-chain fatty acid oxidation in bovine liver. Journal of Dairy Science 69, 2298–2303.

Jiang H, Lucy MC, Crooker BA and Beal WE 2005. Expression of growth hormone receptor 1A mRNA is decreased in dairy cows but not in beef cows at parturition. Journal of Dairy Science 88, 1370–1377.

Julius U 2003. Influence of plasma free fatty acids on lipoprotein synthesis and diabetic dyslipidemia. Experimental Clinical Endocrinology & Diabetes 111, 246–250.

Jump DB 2008. N-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid regulation of hepatic gene transcription. Current Opinion in Lipidology 19, 242–247.

Kadegowda AKG, Bionaz M, Piperova LS, Erdman RA and Loor JJ 2009. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$  activation and long-chain fatty acids alter lipogenic gene networks in bovine mammary epithelial cells to various extents. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 4276–4289.

Kelly LJ, Vicario PP, Thompson GM, Candelore MR, Doebber TW, Ventre J, Wu MS, Meurer R, Forrest MJ, Conner MW, Cascieri MA and Moller DE 1998. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors gamma and alpha mediate *in vivo* regulation of uncoupling protein (UCP-1, UCP-2, UCP-3) gene expression. Endocrinology 139, 4920–4927.

Kersten S, Seydoux J, Peters JM, Gonzalez FJ, Desvergne B and Wahli W 1999. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  mediates the adaptive response to fasting. The Journal of Clinical Investigation 103, 1489–1498.

Knight BL, Hebbachi A, Hauton D, Brown AM, Wiggins D, Patel DD and Gibbons GF 2005. A role for PPARalpha in the control of SREBP activity and lipid synthesis in the liver. The Biochemical Journal 389, 413–421.

Kulick AE, Greesley TF, Pires JAA and Grummer RR 2006. Effects of abomasal lipid infusion on liver triglyceride accumulation during fatty liver induction. Journal of Dairy Science 89 (E-suppl. 1), 266.

Legrand P, Catheline D, Fichot MC and Lemarchal P 1997. Inhibiting delta9desaturase activity impairs triacylglycerol secretion in cultured chicken hepatocytes. The Journal of Nutrition 127, 249–256.

Lemor A, Hosseini A, Sauerwein H and Mielenz M 2009. Transition periodrelated changes in the abundance of the mRNAs of adiponectin and its receptors, of visfatin, and of fatty acid binding receptors in adipose tissue of high-yielding dairy cows. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 37, 37–44. Leroy JL, Vanholder T, Van Knegsel AT, Garcia-Ispierto I and Bols PE 2008. Nutrient prioritization in dairy cows early postpartum: mismatch between metabolism and fertility. Reproduction of Domestic Animals 43 (suppl. 2), 96–103.

Litherland NL, Bionaz M, Wallace RL, Loor JJ and Drackley JK 2010. Effects of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha agonists clofibrate and fish oil on hepatic fatty acid metabolism in weaned dairy calves. Journal of Dairy Science 93 (in press).

Loor JJ and Herbein JH 2003. Reduced fatty acid synthesis and desaturation due to exogenous trans10,cis12-CLA in cows fed oleic or linoleic oil. Journal of Dairy Science 86, 1354–1369.

Loor JJ and Cohick WS 2009. ASAS centennial paper: lactation biology for the twenty-first century. Journal of Animal Science 87, 813–824.

Loor JJ, Dann HM, Everts RE, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Lewin HA and Drackley JK 2004. Mammary and hepatic gene expression analysis in peripartal dairy cows using a bovine cDNA microarray. Journal of Dairy Science 87 (E-suppl. 1), T134.

Loor JJ, Dann HM, Everts RE, Oliveira R, Green CA, Janovick-Guretzky NA, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Lewin HA and Drackley JK 2005a. Temporal gene expression profiling of liver from periparturient dairy cows reveals complex adaptive mechanisms in hepatic function. Physiological Genomics 23, 217–226.

Loor JJ, Doreau M, Chardigny JM, Ollier A, Sebedio JL and Chilliard Y 2005b. Effects of ruminal or duodenal supply of fish oil on milk fat secretion and profiles of trans-fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid isomers in dairy cows fed maize silage. Animal Feed Science and Technology 119, 227–246.

Loor JJ, Ueda K, Ferlay A, Chilliard Y and Doreau M 2005c. Intestinal flow and digestibility of trans fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acids (CLA) in dairy cows fed a high-concentrate diet supplemented with fish oil, linseed oil, or sunflower oil. Animal Feed Science and Technology 119, 203–225.

Loor JJ, Bionaz HM, Janovick-Guretzky NA, Everts RE, Oliveira R, Green CA, Litherland NB, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Lewin HA and Drackley JK 2006. Plane of nutrition prepartum alters hepatic gene expression and function in dairy cows as assessed by longitudinal transcript and metabolic profiling. Physiological Genomics 27, 29–41.

Loor JJ, Bionaz M, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Dann HM, Janovick-Guretzky NA, Everts RE, Oliveira R, Lewin HA and Drackley JK 2007a. Liver transcription factor networks affected by prepartum plane of dietary energy and physiological state in periparturient Holstein cows. In Convergence of genomics and the land-grant mission: emerging trends in the application of genomics in agricultural research. Proceedings of the National Scientific Conference, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA, 79pp.

Loor JJ, Everts RE, Bionaz M, Dann HM, Morin DE, Oliveira R, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Drackley JK and Lewin HA 2007b. Nutrition-induced ketosis alters metabolic and signaling gene networks in liver of periparturient dairy cows. Physiological Genomics 32, 105–116.

Lucy MC 2007. Fertility in high-producing dairy cows: reasons for decline and corrective strategies for sustainable improvement. Society of Reproduction and Fertility Supplement 64, 224–237.

Madsen SA, Chang LC, Hickey MC, Rosa GJ, Coussens PM and Burton JL 2004. Microarray analysis of gene expression in blood neutrophils of parturient cows. Physiological Genomics 16, 212–221.

Mandard S, Müller M and Kersten S 2004. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha target genes. Cell and Molecular Life Science 61, 393–416.

Mani O, Sorensen MT, Sejrsen K, Bruckmaier RM and Albrecht C 2009. Differential expression and localization of lipid transporters in the bovine mammary gland during the pregnancy-lactation cycle. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 3744–3756.

Marra F and Bertolani C 2009. Adipokines in liver diseases. Hepatology 50, 957–969.

Mashek DG and Grummer RR 2003. Effects of long chain fatty acids on lipid and glucose metabolism in monolayer cultures of bovine hepatocytes. Journal of Dairy Science 86, 2390–2396.

Mashek DG, Bertics SJ and Grummer RR 2002. Metabolic fate of long-chain unsaturated fatty acids and their effects on palmitic acid metabolism and gluconeogenesis in bovine hepatocytes. Journal of Dairy Science 85, 2283–2289.

Mashek DG, Bertics SJ and Grummer RR 2005. Effects of intravenous triacylglycerol emulsions on hepatic metabolism and blood metabolites in fasted dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 88, 100–109.

Matsuzaka T and Shimano H 2009. Elovl6: a new player in fatty acid metabolism and insulin sensitivity. Journal of Molecular Medicine 87, 379–384.

Matsuzaka T, Shimano H, Yahagi N, Kato T, Atsumi A, Yamamoto T, Inoue N, Ishikawa M, Okada S, Ishigaki N, Iwasaki H, Iwasaki Y, Karasawa T, Kumadaki S, Matsui T, Sekiya M, Ohashi K, Hasty AH, Nakagawa Y, Takahashi A, Suzuki H, Yatoh S, Sone H, Toyoshima H, Osuga J and Yamada N 2007. Crucial role of a long-chain fatty acid elongase, Elovl6, in obesity-induced insulin resistance. Nature Medicine 13, 1193–1202.

McArthur MJ, Atshaves BP, Frolov A, Foxworth WD, Kier AB and Schroeder F 1999. Cellular uptake and intracellular trafficking of long chain fatty acids. Journal of Lipid Research 40, 1371–1383.

McNamara JP 1991. Regulation of adipose tissue metabolism in support of lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 74, 706–719.

McNamara JP 1994. Lipid metabolism in adipose tissue during lactation: a model of a metabolic control system. The Journal of Nutrition 124, 1383S–1391S.

McNamara JP and Hillers JK 1989. Regulation of bovine adipose tissue metabolism during lactation 5. Relationships of lipid synthesis and lipolysis with energy intake and utilization. Journal of Dairy Science 72, 407–418.

McNamara JP, Pettigrew JE, Baldwin RL, Walker B, Close WH and Oltjen JW 1991. Information needed for mathematical modelling of energy use by animals. In Energy Metabolism in Farm Animals, EAAP Pub. No. 58, pp. 468–472.

McNamara JP, Harrison JH, Kincaid R and Waltner SS 1995. Lipid metabolism in adipose tissue of cows fed high fat diets during lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 78, 2782–2796.

Mellenberger RW, Bauman DE and Nelson DR 1973. Metabolic adaptations during lactogenesis. Fatty acid and lactose synthesis in cow mammary tissue. The Biochemical Journal 136, 741–748.

Miyazaki M, Flowers MT, Sampath H, Chu K, Otzelberger C, Liu X and Ntambi JM 2007. Hepatic stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1 deficiency protects mice from carbohydrate-induced adiposity and hepatic steatosis. Cell Metabolism 6, 484–496.

Moshage H 1997. Cytokines and the hepatic acute phase response. The Journal of Pathology 181, 257–266.

Murondoti A, Jorritsma R, Beynen AC, Wensing T and Geelen MJH 2004. Unrestricted feed intake during the dry period impairs the postpartum oxidation and synthesis of fatty acids in the liver of dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 87, 672–679.

Nalepa G, Rolfe M and Harper JW 2006. Drug discovery in the ubiquitinproteasome system. Nature Review of Drug Discovery 5, 596–613.

National Research Council 2001. Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle, 7th revised edition. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.

Nye C, Kim J, Kalhan SC and Hanson RW 2008. Reassessing triglyceride synthesis in adipose tissue. Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism 19, 356–361.

Odom DT, Dowell RD, Jacobsen ES, Nekludova L, Rolfe PA, Danford TW, Gifford DK, Fraenkel E, Bell GI and Young RA 2006. Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in human hepatocytes. Molecular Systems Biology 2, 2006.0017.

Odom DT, Zizlsperger N, Gordon DB, Bell GW, Rinaldi NJ, Murray HL, Volkert TL, Schreiber J, Rolfe PA, Gifford DK, Fraenkel E, Bell GI and Young RA 2004. Control of pancreas and liver gene expression by HNF transcription factors. Science 303, 1378–1381.

Ollier S, Robert-Granié C, Bernard L, Chilliard Y and Leroux C 2007. Mammary transcriptome analysis of food-deprived lactating goats highlights genes involved in milk secretion and programmed cell death. The Journal of Nutrition 137, 560–567.

Ollier S, Leroux C, De la Foye A, Bernard L, Rouel J and Chilliard Y 2009. Whole intact rapeseeds or sunflower oil in high-forage or high-concentrate diets affects milk yield, milk composition and mammary gene expression profile in goats. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 5544–5560.

Overton TR and Waldron MR 2004. Nutritional management of transition dairy cows: strategies to optimize metabolic health. Journal of Dairy Science 87, E105–E119.

Pires JA, Pescara JB, Brickner AE, Silva Del Rio N, Cunha AP and Grummer RR 2008. Effects of abomasal infusion of linseed oil on responses to glucose and insulin in Holstein cows. Journal of Dairy Science 91, 1378–1390.

Postic C, Dentin R, Denechaud PD and Girard J 2007. ChREBP, a transcriptional regulator of glucose and lipid metabolism. Annual Review of Nutrition 27, 179–192.

Pullen DL, Liesman JS and Emery RS 1990. A species comparison of liver slice synthesis and secretion of triacylglycerol from nonesterified fatty acids in media. Journal of Animal Science 68, 1395–1399.

Rabelo E, Rezende RL, Bertics SJ and Grummer RR 2005. Effects of pre- and postfresh transition diets varying in dietary energy density on metabolic status of periparturient dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 88, 4375–4383.

Radcliff RP, McCormack BL, Crooker BA and Lucy MC 2003. Growth hormone (GH) binding and expression of GH receptor 1A mRNA in hepatic tissue of periparturient dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 86, 3933–3940.

Reue K and Zhang P 2008. The lipin protein family: dual roles in lipid biosynthesis and gene expression. FEBS Letters 582, 90–96.

Reynolds CK, Aikman PC, Lupoli B, Humphries DJ and Beever DE 2003. Splanchnic metabolism of dairy cows during the transition from late gestation through early lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 86, 1201–1217.

Reynolds CK, Dürst B, Lupoli B, Humphries DJ and Beever DE 2004. Visceral tissue mass and rumen volume in dairy cows during the transition from late gestation to early lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 87, 961–971.

Rhoads RP, Kim JW, Leury BJ, Baumgard LH, Segoale N, Frank SJ, Bauman DE and Boisclair YR 2004. Insulin increases the abundance of the growth hormone receptor in liver and adipose tissue of periparturient dairy cows. The Journal of Nutrition 134, 1020–1027.

Rodriguez-Zas SL, Ko Y, Adams HA and Southey BR 2008. Advancing the understanding of the embryo transcriptome co-regulation using meta-, functional, and gene network analysis tools. Reproduction 135, 213–224.

Ron D and Walter P 2007. Signal integration in the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response. Nature Review of Molecular and Cell Biology 8, 519–529.

Rosen ED and MacDougald OA 2006. Adipocyte differentiation from the inside out. Nature Review of Molecular and Cell Biology 7, 885–896.

Rudolph MC, Neville MC and Anderson SM 2007. Lipid synthesis in lactation: diet and the fatty acid switch. Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia 12, 269–281.

Rukkwamsuk T, Geelen MJ, Kruip TA and Wensing T 2000. Interrelation of fatty acid composition in adipose tissue, serum, and liver of dairy cows during the development of fatty liver postpartum. Journal of Dairy Science 83, 52–59.

Sanderson LM, Degenhardt T, Koppen A, Kalkhoven E, Desvergne B, Müller M and Kersten S 2009. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor beta/delta (PPARbeta/delta) but not PPARalpha serves as a plasma free fatty acid sensor in liver. Molecular and Cellular Biology 29, 6257–6267.

Sanderson LM, Boekschoten MV, Desvergne B, Müller M and Kersten S 2010. Transcriptional profiling reveals divergent roles of PPARalpha and PPARbeta/delta in regulation of gene expression in mouse liver. Physiological Genomics 41, 42–52.

Sato S, Fukasawa M, Yamakawa Y, Natsume T, Suzuki T, Shoji I, Aizaki H, Miyamura T and Nishijima M 2006. Proteomic profiling of lipid droplet proteins in hepatoma cell lines expressing hepatitis C virus core protein. Journal of Biochemistry 139, 921–930.

Savas U, Machemer DE, Hsu MH, Gaynor P, Lasker JM, Tukey RH and Johnson EF 2009. Opposing roles of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha and growth hormone in the regulation of CYP4A11 expression in a transgenic mouse model. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 284, 16541–16552.

Scalia D, Lacetera N, Bernabucci U, Demeyere K, Duchateau L and Burvenich C 2006. *In vitro* effects of nonesterified fatty acids on bovine neutrophils oxidative burst and viability. Journal of Dairy Science 89, 147–154.

Schoolnik GK 2002. Microarray analysis of bacterial pathogenicity. Advances in Microbial Physiology 46, 1–45.

Schroeder F, Petrescu AD, Huang H, Atshaves BP, McIntosh AL, Martin GG, Hostetler HA, Vespa A, Landrock D, Landrock KK, Payne HR and Kier AB 2008. Role of fatty acid binding proteins and long chain fatty acids in modulating nuclear receptors and gene transcription. Lipids 43, 1–17.

Selberg KT, Staples CR, Luchini ND and Badinga L 2005. Dietary trans octadecenoic acids upregulate the liver gene encoding peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha in transition dairy cows. The Journal of Dairy Research 72, 107–114.

Seo YS, Kim JH, Jo NY, Choi KM, Baik SH, Park JJ, Kim JS, Byun KS, Bak YT, Lee CH, Kim A and Yeon JE 2008. PPAR agonists treatment is effective in a nonalcoholic fatty liver disease animal model by modulating fatty-acid metabolic enzymes. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology 23, 102–109.

Sheena V, Hertz R, Nousbeck J, Berman I, Magenheim J and Bar-Tana J 2005. Transcriptional regulation of human microsomal triglyceride transfer protein by hepatocyte nuclear factor-4alpha. Journal of Lipid Research 46, 328–341.

Smith SB and Crouse JD 1984. Relative contributions of acetate, lactate and glucose to lipogenesis in bovine intramuscular and subcutaneous adipose tissue. The Journal of Nutrition 114, 792–800.

Smith KL, Butler WR and Overton TR 2009. Effects of prepartum 2,4-thiazolidinedione on metabolism and performance in transition dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 3623–3633.

Smith KL, Stebulis SE, Waldron MR and Overton TR 2007. Prepartum 2,4thiazolidinedione alters metabolic dynamics and dry matter intake of dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 90, 3660–3670.

Sordillo LM, Contreras GA and Aitken SL 2009. Metabolic factors affecting the inflammatory response of periparturient dairy cows. Animal Health Research Reviews 10, 53–63.

Staples CR, Amaral B, DeVries A and Thatcher WW 2007. Lipids and Longevity. Retrieved March 13, 2010, from http://www.extension.org/pages/Lipids\_and\_ Longevity

Stefanovic-Racic M, Perdomo G, Mantell BS, Sipula IJ, Brown NF and O'Doherty RM 2008. A moderate increase in carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1a activity is sufficient to substantially reduce hepatic triglyceride levels. American Journal of Physiology Endocrinology and Metabolism 294, E969–E977.

Stienstra R, Mandard S, Patsouris D, Maass C, Kersten S and Müller M 2007. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha protects against obesityinduced hepatic inflammation. Endocrinology 148, 2753–2763.

Sumner JM and McNamara JP 2007. Expression of lipolytic genes in the adipose tissue of pregnant and lactating Holstein dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 90, 5237–5246.

Sumner JM, Schachtschneider C and McNamara JP 2008a. Changes in the transcriptome of adipose tissue of the dairy heifer during late pregnancy and lactation as measured by gene array analysis: changes in specific metabolic control genes. Journal of Dairy Science 91 (E-suppl. 1), 280.

Sumner JM, Schachtschneider C and McNamara JP 2008b. Changes in the transcriptome of adipose tissue of the dairy heifer during late pregnancy and lactation as measured by gene array analysis: global changes and cell control. Journal of Dairy Science 91 (E-suppl. 1), 281.

Sumner JM, Schachtschneider C, Hutjens A, Youngquist A, Duncan G, Rocco S, Miller J, Vierck JL and McNamara JP 2009a. Regulation of dairy cattle adipose tissue metabolism by adrenergic control systems and gene transcription mechanisms dictating increased overall efficiency. In Proceedings, International Society of Ruminant Digestion and Physiology (ed. Y Chilliard, F Glasser, Y Faulconnier, F Bocquier, I Veissier and M Doreau), pp. 452–453. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Sumner JM, Shachtschneider C, Hutchins A, Youngquist AM, Duncan G, Rocco S, Miller J, Vierck JL, McNamara JP and Lowe A 2009b. Contribution of changes in gene transcription in dairy cattle adipose tissue to control of metabolic pathways dictating increased overall efficiency. Journal of Dairy Science 92 (E-Suppl. 1), 121.

Takeuchi K and Reue K 2009. Biochemistry, physiology, and genetics of GPAT, AGPAT, and lipin enzymes in triglyceride synthesis. American Journal of Physiology Endocrinology and Metabolism 296, E1195–E1209.

Thering BJ 2008. Gene expression in response to lipid supplementation in dairy cattle. MS Thesis, University of Illinois.

Thering BJ, Bionaz M and Loor JJ 2009. Long-chain fatty acid effects on peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha-regulated genes in Madin-Darby bovine kidney cells: optimization of culture conditions using palmitate. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 2027–2037.

Trevisi E, Amadori M, Bakudila AM and Bertoni G 2009. Metabolic changes in dairy cows induced by oral, low-dose interferon-alpha treatment. Journal of Animal Science 87, 3020–3029.

Van den Top AM, Geelen MJ, Wensing T, Wentink GH, Van 't Klooster AT and Beynen AC 1996. Higher postpartum hepatic triacylglycerol concentrations in dairy cows with free rather than restricted access to feed during the dry period are associated with lower activities of hepatic glycerolphosphate acyltransferase. The Journal of Nutrition 126, 76–85.

van Dorland HA, Richter S, Morel I, Doherr MG, Castro N and Bruckmaier RM 2009. Variation in hepatic regulation of metabolism during the dry period and in early lactation in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 1924–1940.

Vernon RG 2005. Lipid metabolism during lactation: a review of adipose tissueliver interactions and the development of fatty liver. The Journal of Dairy Research 72, 460–469.

Wagner E and Frank MM 2010. Therapeutic potential of complement modulation. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery 9, 43–56.

Wakabayashi Y 1998. Tissue-selective expression of enzymes of arginine synthesis. Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care 1, 335–339.

Wang YQ, Puntenney SB, Burton JL and Forsberg NE 2009. Use of gene profiling to evaluate the effects of a feed additive on immune function in periparturient dairy cattle. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition 93, 66–75.

Whitehurst GB, Beitz DC, Pothoven MA, Ellison WR and Crump MH 1978. Lactate as a precursor of fatty acids in bovine adipose tissue. The Journal of Nutrition 108, 1806–1811.

Whitehurst GB, Beitz DC, Cianzio D and Topel DG 1981. Fatty acid synthesis from lactate in growing cattle. The Journal of Nutrition 111, 1454–1461.

Williamson JR, Kreisberg RA and Felts PW 1966. Mechanism for the stimulation of gluconeogenesis by fatty acids in perfused rat liver. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 56, 247–254.

Wolfrum C, Borrmann CM, Borchers T and Spener F 2001. Fatty acids and hypolipidemic drugs regulate peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors alphaand gamma-mediated gene expression via liver fatty acid binding protein: a signaling path to the nucleus. Procceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 98, 2323–2328. Xu H, Wilcox D, Nguyen P, Voorbach M, Smith H, Brodjian S, Suhar T, Reilly RM, Jacobson PB, Collins CA, Landschulz K and Surowy TK 2007. Hepatic knockdown of stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 via RNA interference in obese mice decreases lipid content and changes fatty acid composition. Frontiers in Bioscience 12, 3781–3794.

Yamauchi T, Kamon J, Ito Y, Tsuchida A, Yokomizo T, Kita S, Sugiyama T, Miyagishi M, Hara K, Tsunoda M, Murakami K, Ohteki T, Uchida S, Takekawa S, Waki H, Tsuno NH, Shibata Y, Terauchi Y, Froguel P, Tobe K, Koyasu S, Taira K, Kitamura T, Shimizu T, Nagai R and Kadowaki T 2003. Cloning of adiponectin receptors that mediate antidiabetic metabolic effects. Nature 423, 762–769.

Yamauchi T, Nio Y, Maki T, Kobayashi M, Takazawa T, Iwabu M, Okada-Iwabu M, Kawamoto S, Kubota N, Kubota T, Ito Y, Kamon J, Tsuchida A, Kumagai K, Kozono H, Hada Y, Ogata H, Tokuyama K, Tsunoda M, Ide T, Murakami K, Awazawa M, Takamoto I, Froguel P, Hara K, Tobe K, Nagai R, Ueki K and Kadowaki T 2007. Targeted disruption of AdipoR1 and AdipoR2 causes abrogation of adiponectin binding and metabolic actions. Nature Medicine 13, 332–339.

Yan J, Gong Y, She YM, Wang G, Roberts MS and Burczynski FJ 2009. Molecular mechanism of recombinant liver fatty acid binding protein's antioxidant activity. Journal of Lipid Research 50, 2445–2454.

Yang J, Reshef L, Cassuto H, Aleman G and Hanson RW 2009. II. Aspects of the control of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase gene transcription. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 284, 27031–27035.

Zhao FQ and Keating AF 2007. Expression and regulation of glucose transporters in the bovine mammary gland. Journal of Dairy Science 90 (suppl. 1), E76–E86.