

Genetic relationship between growth and reproductive traits in Nellore cattle

M. L. Santana Jr^{1,2+}, J. P. Eler¹, J. B. S. Ferraz¹ and E. C. Mattos¹

¹ Grupo de Melhoramento Animal e Biotecnologia, Faculdade de Zootecnia e Engenharia de Alimentos, Universidade de São Paulo, C. Postal 23, CEP 13635-970 Pirassununga, São Paulo, Brazil; ²Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Tecnológicas, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso – Campus Universitário de Rondonópolis, MT-270, Km 06, CEP 78735-901 Rondonópolis, MT, Brazil

(Received 24 February 2011; Accepted 10 August 2011; First published online 6 October 2011)

The objective of this study was to evaluate the genetic relationship between postweaning weight gain (PWG), heifer pregnancy (HP), scrotal circumference (SC) at 18 months of age, stayability at 6 years of age (STAY) and finishing visual score at 18 months of age (PREC), and to determine the potential of these traits as selection criteria for the genetic improvement of growth and reproduction in Nellore cattle. The HP was defined as the observation that a heifer conceived and remained pregnant, which was assessed by rectal palpation at 60 days. The STAY was defined as whether or not a cow calved every year up to the age of 6 years, given that she was provided the opportunity to breed. The Bayesian linear-threshold analysis via the Gibbs sampler was used to estimate the variance and covariance components applying a multitrait model. Posterior mean estimates of direct heritability were 0.15 ± 0.00 , 0.42 ± 0.02 , 0.49 ± 0.01 , 0.11 ± 0.01 and 0.19 ± 0.00 for PWG, HP, SC, STAY and PREC, respectively. The genetic correlations between traits ranged from 0.17 to 0.62. The traits studied generally have potential for use as selection criteria in genetic breeding programs. The genetic correlations between all traits show that selection for one of these traits does not imply the loss of the others.

Keywords: beef cattle, genetic parameters, longevity, postweaning gain, sexual precocity

Implications

In general, the traits studied have the potential for use as selection criteria in genetic breeding programs. The simultaneous genetic improvement of growth and reproductive traits is possible in Nellore cattle, and there seems to be no antagonism between these traits.

Introduction

Among the traits most frequently selected in beef cattle production systems, reproductive and reproduction-related traits have received growing attention from researchers and producers over the past years because of their importance for the economic performance of beef cattle farming. Substantial financial losses may occur if the cow does not calve regularly once a year during her reproductive life, and if the first calving occurs at an advanced age (Silva *et al.*, 2003).

In view of the relevance of reproductive traits for the production system, the traits heifer pregnancy (HP) and stayability in the herd (STAY) have been defined and evaluated

in some Brazilian beef cattle breeding programs. HP at 14 months is the probability that a cow conceives after the end of the breeding season when exposed to a bull or inseminated (Doyle *et al.*, 1996; Evans *et al.*, 1999; Eler *et al.*, 2002). In contrast, STAY is the probability of a cow to remain in the herd until a specific age, given the opportunity to reach this age (Hudson and Van Vleck, 1981; Silva *et al.*, 2006; Van Melis *et al.*, 2007). Both the traits are binary, and a value of 1 is attributed for success and a value of 0 for failure.

Scrotal circumference (SC) is widely used as a male indicator trait for reproduction. This trait is easily measured and has a marked genetic component, a fact favoring its use for selection (Brinks *et al.*, 1978; Smith *et al.*, 1989; Eler *et al.*, 1996). The SC shows favorable genetic correlations with the female reproductive traits (Toelle and Robson, 1985; Moser *et al.*, 1996; Boligon *et al.*, 2007).

Despite the importance of reproductive and reproductionrelated traits, growth traits such as weight gain receive greater attention during the selection process of beef cattle, because these traits are directly associated to the main sales product of beef cattle, that is, meat. In view of the growing demands of the consumer market for meat quality, various attempts to infer carcass composition have been undertaken.

⁺ E-mail: 10mario@gmail.com

Visual scores attributed to the animals are commonly included as selection criteria to meet these demands. However, studies investigating the genetic association between these scores and reproductive and reproduction-related traits are still scarce.

Various studies have showed the potential of traits as weight gain, STAY and SC to increase the production and reproduction of beef cattle herds (Snelling *et al.*, 1995; Eler *et al.*, 2002; Silva *et al.*, 2003). In this respect, the objective of this study was to evaluate the genetic correlation between SC, postweaning weight gain (PWG), finishing visual score at 18 months of age (PREC), HP and STAY, and to determine the potential of these traits as selection criteria for the genetic improvement of Nellore cattle.

Material and methods

Data from the Genetic Breeding Program of Agro-Pecuária CFM Ltda, stored and analyzed since 1994 by the Animal Breeding and Biotechnology Group, College of Animal Science and Food Engineering, Pirassununga, State of São Paulo, were used in this study.

Data

The complete pedigree included 196070 animals born between 1984 and 2008 on 12 farms located in the states of Mato Grosso do Sul, São Paulo and Bahia. The farms included in this study belong to the same company, which uses standard basic procedures for data collection and storage. The animals were kept on a high-quality pasture (40% Brachiaria brizantha, 50% Panicum maximum and 10% others), receiving only salt and mineral supplementation. Calves born between the end of August and December remained with their dams up to 7 months of age on highquality pasture. The SC was measured with an appropriate metric tape at approximately 18 months of age (yearling). The PWG was defined as weight gain from weaning to 18 months of age. The PREC is a measure of the ability of the animal to store fat reserves, and is used to evaluate the capacity of the animal to reach a minimal degree of carcass finishing. This trait was evaluated by attributing visual scores ranging from 1 to 6, where 6 corresponds to animals with higher fat reserves. The scores were attributed within each contemporary group also at yearling.

The breeding season ranged from November to January for cows, and from October to January for heifers. The heifers were placed randomly in lots with a group of bulls or in some cases in lots with a single bull, for a breeding season of 90 days. In the case of HP, we do not know exactly the beginning of the breeding season because heifers are kept with bulls since weaning date or sometime after that just to be stimulated. About 60 days after the end of the breeding season, heifers (approximately 14 months of age) were evaluated by rectal palpation or ultrasound for the diagnosis of pregnancy. Heifers with a positive diagnosis (pregnant) were classified as 1, and those with a negative diagnosis were classified as 0. The heifer-to-bull ratio was approximately 35:1. The STAY was defined as successful when a cow calved every year up to the age of 6 years given that she had the opportunity to breed.

As proposed by Harville and Mee (1984), records for the binary traits HP and STAY of contemporary groups in which all scores were the same, that is, groups without variability, were eliminated. The PREC was considered a continuous trait (Van Melis *et al.*, 2003; Shiotsuki *et al.*, 2009). In addition, for all traits, records of animals in contemporary groups with fewer than 20 animals, as well as data exceeding 3.5 standard deviations above or below the overall mean for SC and PWG were eliminated. The data and pedigree files of the animals were constructed using the Relax2 program with the *Varcomp* procedure (Strandén and Vuori, 2006), which permitted to maintain only animals in the two files that would contribute to the estimation of variance components. The complete pedigree included up to seven generations. A summary of the data set is shown in Table 1.

Model and parameter estimation

The statistical models for PWG, HP, SC and PREC included contemporary group, which was formed by farm, year of birth, sex (only PWG and PREC) and postweaning management group. The contemporary groups for STAY were formed by combining data about the farm and year of birth of the cow and the farm of birth of each of her progenies. The management groups consisted of animals that remained together during a certain phase of life. Direct additive genetic, maternal additive genetic and weaning management group (WMG) effects were included as random effects (Table 2).

The Julian birth date was used to adjust the data for age differences within contemporary groups. In case of HP, the Julian birth date corresponds to the age of the animal at reproduction time, with a higher Julian birth date indicating a lower age.

The (co)variance components were obtained by multitrait analysis using a Bayesian approach. Analysis was performed with the THRGIBBS2F90 and POSTGIBBSF90 programs (Misztal *et al.*, 2002). The previous distributions for the (co)variance components were non-informative inverse Wishart distribution for all random effects. The initial values for the (co)variance components had been estimated in previous studies. Analysis consisted of a single chain of 500 000 cycles, with a conservative burn-in period of 25 000 cycles, and a thinning interval of 50 cycles. Thus, 9500 samples were effectively used to estimate the parameters and highest posterior density (HPD) intervals. The Bayesian Output Analysis package (Smith, 2005) was used to calculate the mean, mode, median, s.d. and 95% HPD interval.

The multitrait model can be described as follows:

$$y = X\beta + Z_a u_a + Z_m u_m + Z_{mg} u_{mg} + e,$$

where *y* is the vector of observations, *X* is the incidence matrix that associates the fixed effects (contemporary group, age of dam at calving and age of recording of the respective trait, Julian birth date) and vector β of the parameters, and Z_{ar} , Z_{m} and Z_{mg} are matrices that associate direct additive

Item	Trait						
	PWG (kg)	HP (1 or 0)	SC (cm)	STAY (1 or 0)	PREC (1 to 6)		
Number of animals in the pedigree	124 623	50 185	86 121	135 686	116 959		
Number of sires in the pedigree	1586	978	1557	1187	1572		
Number of dams in the pedigree	54 761	27 342	40 787	65 922	52 226		
Number of sires	1307	468	1259	903	1236		
Number of dams	44 327	18367	28 005	64 504	41 060		
Number of records	86 825	28 887	44 639	102 562	80 084		
Mean	11 462	_	27.33	_	3.75		
s.d.	32.01	_	3.37	_	0.92		
CV	27.92	_	12.33	_	24.70		
Number of CG	683	83	332	484	294		
Number of WMG	2173	589	1507	-	1965		
Number of successful records	_	4643	_	29611	_		
Success (%)	-	16.1	_	28.9	-		

Table 1 Description of the data set for PWG, HP, SC, STAY and PREC in Nellore cattle

PWG = postweaning gain; HP = heifer pregnancy; SC = scrotal circumference; STAY = stayability at 6 years; PREC = finishing visual score; s.d. = standard deviation; CV = coefficient of variation; CG = contemporary group; WMG = weaning management group.

 Table 2 Effects included in the statistical models for PWG, HP, SC,

 STAY and PREC in Nellore cattle

	Trait					
Effect	PWG	HP	SC	STAY	PREC	
Direct additive genetic	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Maternal additive genetic	Х	-	-	-	-	
CG	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
WMG	Х	Х	Х	-	Х	
Age at recording (L)	Х*	-	Х	-	Х	
Age of dam at calving (L, Q)	Х	-	Х	-	Х	
Julian birth date (L, Q, C)	Х	X (only L)	Х	-	Х	

PWG = postweaning gain; HP = heifer pregnancy; SC = scrotal circumference; STAY = stayability at 6 years; PREC = finishing visual score; CG = contemporary group; WMG = weaning management group; L, Q and C = linear, quadratic and cubic covariates.

*Age at weaning and age at yearling (approximately 18 months of age) as covariates.

genetic effects, maternal additive genetic effects and WMG effects with the respective vectors (u_a , u_m and u_{mg}), and e is the vector of residual effects. The genetic additive direct, maternal additive genetic and WMG effects were assumed uncorrelated. The residual covariance between SC–HP and SC–STAY were set to 0 because no animal had SC, HP and STAY measured.

The HP and STAY were analyzed using the following threshold model:

$$f(w_i | y_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \mathbb{1}(I_{ij} < t_i) \mathbb{1}(w_{ij} = 0) \\ + \mathbb{1}(I_{ij} > t_{ij}) \mathbb{1}(w_{ij} = 1),$$

where for each trait *i* (*i* = 1 or 2 for HP or STAY), w_{ij} and l_{ij} are the binary variable and underlying liability of observation *j*, respectively; t_{ij} is the threshold that defines the response

category for each trait and n_i is the total number of data for each trait studied. A probit model was used and a normal distribution was assumed for liabilities, SC, PWG and PREC:

$$\begin{array}{l} y \mid \beta, u_{a}, u_{m}, u_{mg}, R \sim \mathsf{MVN}(X\beta + Z_{a}u_{a} + Z_{m}u_{m} \\ + Z_{mq}u_{mq}, R \otimes I), \end{array}$$

where *R* is the residual (co)variance matrix, \otimes is the Kronecker product and *I* is an identity matrix of appropriate order. The residual covariances between SC and liabilities and between HP and STAY were assumed to be 0 because of issues related to identifiability (Sorensen *et al.*, 2005).

Results and discussion

Heritability estimates

The means, medians and modes of each parameter estimate obtained were close as expected for a marginal posterior density that follows a normal distribution (Carlin and Louis, 2000).

The heritability estimates indicate the existence of an important genetic component mainly for HP and SC (0.42 and 0.49), with the possibility of a significant response to selection. The heritabilities obtained were similar to those reported in most studies, with estimates ranging from 0.45 to 0.71 (Bourdon and Brinks, 1986; Eler *et al.*, 2004; Silva *et al.*, 2006).

The PWG heritability (0.15) was lower than that reported by Marcondes *et al.* (2000), Eler *et al.* (2000) and Pereira *et al.* (2001) in Nellore cattle (0.18 to 0.23). However, the estimate of this study is based on many more observations for this trait. The heritability for maternal additive genetic effects was of low magnitude (0.03). This finding is probably because of the fact that approximately 50% of the dams had only one progeny.

The posterior mean estimate of heritability for HP (0.42 \pm 0.02; Table 3) was in the range of those reported in

Parameter	Mean	Median	Mode	s.d.	95% HPD
Heritability					
PWG (direct)	0.156	0.156	0.148	0.007	0.141–0.171
PWG (maternal)	0.034	0.034	0.032	0.002	0.029-0.039
HP	0.422	0.422	0.401	0.027	0.367-0.474
SC	0.490	0.491	0.461	0.013	0.462-0.517
STAY	0.113	0.112	0.110	0.011	0.093-0.136
PREC	0.195	0.193	0.190	0.008	0.178-0.212
WMG					
PWG	0.142	0.142	0.141	0.005	0.130-0.154
HP	0.174	0.173	0.151	0.016	0.143-0.207
SC	0.034	0.034	0.032	0.002	0.028-0.040
PREC	0.063	0.063	0.060	0.003	0.057-0.070
Genetic correlation					
PWG-HP	0.204	0.205	0.201	0.045	0.113-0.291
PWG–SC	0.189	0.189	0.183	0.029	0.130-0.246
PWG-STAY	0.203	0.204	0.190	0.053	0.119-0.308
PWG-PREC	0.628	0.629	0.626	0.022	0.583-0.673
HP-SC	0.304	0.302	0.301	0.039	0.230-0.384
HP-STAY	0.594	0.595	0.584	0.060	0.470-0.703
HP-PREC	0.237	0.237	0.229	0.044	0.150-0.326
SC–STAY	0.175	0.174	0.168	0.046	0.085-0.270
SC-PREC	0.229	0.229	0.216	0.028	0.173-0.284
STAY-PREC	0.268	0.268	0.301	0.053	0.164–0.369

 Table 3 Posterior means, medians, modes, s.d. and limits of the 95% HPD intervals for heritability, WMG effect as a proportion of phenotypic variance and genetic correlation coefficients for PWG, HP, SC, STAY and PREC in Nellore cattle

HPD = highest posterior density; WMG = weaning management group; PWG = postweaning weight gain; HP = heifer pregnancy; SC = scrotal circumference; STAY = stayability at 6 years; PREC = finishing visual score.

the literature (0.13 to 0.73; Evans *et al.*, 1999; Eler *et al.*, 2002; Silva *et al.*, 2003). In addition to identifying precocious females, the most important aspect of this trait is the identification of bulls that produce precocious females, that is, females that present a higher chance of conceiving at the beginning of the breeding season (Eler *et al.*, 2002; Eler *et al.*, 2004). According to Eler *et al.* (2002), the genetic variability of HP in Nellore cattle (*Bos indicus*) is generally higher than that normally reported for *Bos taurus* cattle, because the latter breed has rarely been selected for sexual precocity. Selection for this trait will lead to genetic gains in the Nellore breed.

Low heritability estimate was obtained for STAY (0.11 \pm 0.01; Table 3). Similar findings have been reported by Snelling *et al.* (1995) for Hereford animals, who obtained estimates of 0.09, 0.11, 0.07 and 0.20 for STAY with 2, 5, 8 and 11 calvings, respectively. Nieto *et al.* (2007) reported an estimate of 0.07 for Canchim animals (5/8 Charolais : 3/8 Nellore). Van Melis *et al.* (2010) found a heritability of 0.10 \pm 0.01 for Nellore cattle belonging to the same herd as the animals studied in our experiment. The genetic gain obtained by selection for STAY is therefore expected to be small.

WMG as a proportion of phenotypic variance

In previous studies involving the same population, WMG was included in the contemporary group and was considered to be fixed in the analysis model of most traits. However, a

significant part of the animals was excluded from the analyses because they did not belong to a contemporary group with a minimum number of records. WMG was therefore included in the model separately from the contemporary group as a random effect. This approach permitted a smaller subdivision of contemporary groups and the consequent maintenance of a more representative number of animals in the analysis. A marked reduction in the number of contemporary groups without variability was observed for categorical traits.

According to Schaeffer *et al.* (2001), if the contemporary group is considered to be random, the animals would be compared more with an overall mean rather than with the mean of the respective contemporary group, which better reflects the quality of each animal for breeders. In general, WMG explained a significant proportion of phenotypic variance and should therefore be included in genetic evaluations (Table 3).

Genetic correlations

The genetic correlation estimates between all traits studied were positive and favorable (Table 3), indicating that the five traits can be evaluated simultaneously and that selection for any of them would lead to a correlated response with the other traits. The genetic correlation between growth (PWG) and carcass (PREC) traits was 0.62, with an HPD of 0.58 to 0.67. In view of the magnitude of this correlation, the selection for PWG will contribute to increase PREC.

The posterior genetic correlations between growth/ carcass and female reproductive traits indicate a favorable relationship between breeding values for growth and reproduction. MacNeil et al. (1984) found a favorable genetic correlation between carcass fat and age at puberty and conception/service (-0.29 and 0.21, respectively). The same authors also reported a genetic correlation of 0.16 between daily PWG and age at puberty. In a study on Angus and Hereford animals, Bourdon and Brinks (1982) observed that selection for growth may reduce age at first calving. Shiotsuki et al. (2009) obtained a genetic correlation of 0.18 between HP at 18 months of age and carcass finishing score. In a study on puberty in beef heifers, Hall et al. (1995) verified that rapid growth large frame heifers were younger at puberty and had greater body condition score. Therefore, body composition and growth rate are related to reproductive activity of the female (Martin et al., 1992; Hall et al., 1995).

The genetic correlation between PWG and HP (0.20 \pm 0.04) indicates that selection for PWG will be inefficient to increase HP in short-term selection; however, long-term selection for increase of PWG could lead to a favorable response into HP. Mercadante et al. (2003) compared lines of Nellore cattle, control (selected for mean yearling weight) and selected for higher yearling weight. The authors concluded that selection for BW promoted high weight response without compromising the reproductive performance of the cows with respect to days to calving and calving success. Archer et al. (1998) studied the effect of divergent selection for yearling growth rate on female reproductive performance, and found that heifers from the high growth rate line were younger at puberty (mean of 324 days) than control line heifers (mean of 336 days), whereas heifers from the low growth rate line were older at puberty (mean of 355 days) than control line heifers. The authors concluded that selection for high growth rate did not compromise reproductive performance in Angus cattle. As all the correlations were favorable in this study, there is no evidence that selection for growth negatively affects reproduction in Nellore cattle.

The genetic correlation between SC and HP (0.30 \pm 0.03) was higher than the estimates reported by Eler et al. 2004 and 2006 (0.11 to 0.27) and similar to that reported by Van Melis et al. (2010; 0.29). The mean posterior genetic correlation between SC and STAY (0.17 \pm 0.04) differed from that reported by Silva et al. (2006; 0.27) and Evans et al. (1999; estimate close to 0). According to Van Melis et al. (2010), SC does not show a strong genetic association with HP or STAY, and the response to selection based on the genetic merit for SC may be much slower than the response to selection based directly on genetic merit for HP. However, Eler et al. (2004) showed the importance of the inclusion of SC data to increase the accuracy of the prediction of genetic merit for HP, especially in the case of young bulls for which there is still no information regarding HP of the daughters. Martínez-Velázguez et al. (2003), in a study with 12 B. taurus breeds concluded that genetic response in the female reproductive traits through sire selection on yearling SC is not expected to be effective; and it is in agreement with Smith *et al.* (1989), who reported non-significant relationships between age at puberty in heifers and SC of yearling males. The estimates of genetic correlation between SC–HP and SC–STAY obtained in this study show that there is no antagonism between these traits and permit us to infer that selection for SC leads to little or no improvement in reproductive traits of females and vice versa.

The genetic correlation between HP and STAY obtained in this study (0.59 ± 0.06) was similar to that reported by Van Melis *et al.* (2010) for Nellore cattle (0.64 ± 0.07). According to Buzanskas *et al.* (2010), females that start their reproductive life early, the production costs may also be discounted early, and this may contribute toward an increase in the number of calves born per cow in a certain period of time. These results indicate that precocious cows have a higher chance of not being culled before 6 years of age. Considering the estimates of heritability and genetic correlation between HP and STAY, the ratio of correlated to direct response is greater than 1 at the same intensity. Therefore, the selection for STAY would be more effective when performed in HP.

In the present population, the bulls are selected based on an index including standardized Estimated Progeny Differences for weaning weight, PWG, SC and muscle score, weighted 20%, 40%, 20% and 20%, respectively. The results of this study indicate that the replacement of SC by HP in the index could be advantageous for the simultaneous genetic improvement of productive and reproductive traits.

Conclusion

The heritability estimates obtained in this study suggest that selection will produce, to a greater or lesser extent, genetic gain for all traits of Nellore animals studied. The studied traits generally have the potential for use as selection criteria in genetic breeding programs. The simultaneous genetic improvement of growth and reproductive traits is possible in Nellore cattle, and there seems to be no antagonism between these traits.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Dr Shogo Tsuruta (University of Georgia, USA) for help with the use of the THRGIBBS2F90 software.

References

Archer JA, Arthur PF, Parnell PF and Van de Ven RJ 1998. Effect of divergent selection for yearling growth rate on female reproductive performance in Angus cattle. Livestock Production Science 57, 33–40.

Boligon AA, Rorato PRN and Albuquerque LG 2007. Genetic correlations between male scrotal circumference and female productive and reproductive traits in Nellore cattle. Brazilian Journal of Animal Science 36, 565–571.

Bourdon RM and Brinks JS 1982. Genetic, environmental and phenotypic relationships among gestation length, birth weight, growth traits and age at first. Journal of Animal Science 55, 543–553.

Bourdon RM and Brinks JS 1986. Scrotal circumference in yearling Hereford bulls: adjustment factors, heritabilities and genetic, environmental and phenotypic relationships with growth traits. Journal of Animal Science 62, 958–967.

Santana, Eler, Ferraz and Mattos

Brinks JS, McInerney MJ and Chenoweth PJ 1978. Relationship of age at puberty in heifers to reproductive traits in young bulls. Proceedings, Western Section, American Society of Animal Science, p. 28.

Buzanskas ME, Grossi DA, Baldi F, Barrozo D, Silva LOC and Torres Júnior RAA 2010. Genetic associations between stayability and reproductive and growth traits in Canchim beef cattle. Livestock Production Science 132, 107–112.

Carlin BP and Louis TA 2000. Bayes and empirical bayes methods for data analysis. Chapman & Hall, London.

Doyle SP, Green RD, Golden BL, Mathiews GL, Comstock CR and LeFever DG 1996. Genetic parameter estimates for heifer pregnancy rate and subsequent rebreeding rate in Angus cattle. Journal of Animal Science 74 (suppl. 1), 117 (Abstr.).

Eler JP, Ferraz JBS and Silva PR 1996. Parâmetros genéticos para peso, avaliação visual e circunferência escrotal na raça Nelore estimados por modelo animal. Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia 48, 203–213.

Eler JP, Ferraz JBS, Golden BL and Pereira E 2000. Influence of sire \times herd interaction on the estimation of correlation between direct and maternal genetic effects in Nellore cattle. Brazilian Journal of Animal Science 29, 1642–1648.

Eler JP, Ferraz JBS, Balieiro JCC, Mattos EC and Mourão GB 2006. Genetic correlation between heifer pregnancy and scrotal circumference measured at 15 and 18 months of age in Nellore cattle. Genetics and Molecular Research 5, 569–580.

Eler JP, Silva JAIV, Evans JL, Ferraz JBS, Dias F and Golden BL 2004. Additive genetic relationships between heifer pregnancy and scrotal circumference in Nellore cattle. Journal of Animal Science 82, 2519–2527.

Eler JP, Silva JAIV, Ferraz JBS, Dias F, Oliveira HN, Evans JL and Golden BL 2002. Genetic evaluation of the probability of pregnancy at 14 months for Nellore heifers. Journal of Animal Science 80, 951–954.

Evans JL, Golden BL, Bourdon RM and Long KL 1999. Additive genetic relationships between heifer pregnancy and scrotal circumference in Hereford cattle. Journal of Animal Science 77, 2621–2628.

Hall JB, Staigmiller RB, Bellows RA, Short RE, Moseley WM and Bellows SE 1995. Body composition and metabolic profiles associated with puberty in beef heifers. Journal of Animal Science 73, 3409–3420.

Harville DA and Mee RW 1984. A mixed-model procedure for analyzing ordered categorical data. Biometrics 40, 393–408.

Hudson GFS and Van Vleck LD 1981. Relationship between production and stayability in Holstein cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 64, 2246–2250.

MacNeil MD, Cundiff LV, Dinkel CA and Koch RM 1984. Genetic correlations among sex-limited traits in beef cattle. Journal of Animal Science 58, 1171–1180.

Marcondes CR, Bergmann JAG, Eler JP, Ferraz JBS, Pereira JCC and Penna VM 2000. Analysis of some selection criteria for growth traits in Nellore cattle. Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia 52, 83–89.

Martin LC, Brinks JS, Bourdon RM and Cundiff LV 1992. Genetic effects on beef heifer puberty and subsequent reproduction. Journal of Animal Science 70, 4006–4017.

Martínez-Velázquez G, Gregory KE, Bennett GL and Van Vleck LD 2003. Genetic relationships between scrotal circumference and female reproductive traits. Journal of Animal Science 81, 395–401.

Mercadante MEZ, Packer IU, Razook AG, Cyrillo JNSG and Figueiredo LA 2003. Direct and correlated responses to selection for yearling weight on reproductive performance of Nellore cows. Journal of Animal Science 81, 376–384. Misztal I, Tsuruta S, Strabel T, Auvray B, Druet T and Lee DH 2002. Blupf90 and related programs. Proceedings of the 7th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, pp. 19–23. Montpellier, France.

Moser DW, Bertrand JK, Benyshek LL, McCann MA and Kiser TE 1996. Effects of selection for scrotal circumference in Limousin bulls on reproductive and growth traits of progeny. Journal of Animal Science 74, 2052–2057.

Nieto LM, Silva LOC, Marcondes CR, Rosa AN, Martins EN and Torres Júnior RAA 2007. Heritability for stayability in Canchim beef cattle breed. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 42, 1407–1411.

Pereira E, Eler JP and Ferraz JBS 2001. Genetic analysis of some reproductive traits and their relationships with weight traits in Nellore cattle. Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia 53, 720–727.

Schaeffer L, Calus M and Liu X 2001. Genetic evaluation of conformation traits using random contemporary groups and reducing the influence of parent averages. Livestock Production Science 69, 129–137.

Shiotsuki L, Silva JAIV, Tonhati H and Albuquerque LG 2009. Genetic associations of sexual precocity with growth traits and visual scores of conformation, finishing, and muscling in Nellore cattle. Journal of Animal Science 87, 1591–1597.

Silva JAIV, Eler JP, Ferraz JBS and Oliveira HN 2003. Genetic analysis of stayability among Nellore females. Brazilian Journal of Animal Science 32, 598–604.

Silva JAIV, Formigoni IB, Eler JP and Ferraz JBS 2006. Genetic relationship among staybility, scrotal circumference and post-weaning weight in Nellore cattle. Livestock Production Science 99, 51–59.

Smith BJ 2005. Bayesian output analysis program (BOA) for MCMC. Retrieved November 26, 2009, from http://www.public-health.uiowa.edu/boa/boa.

Smith BA, Brinks JS and Richardson GV 1989. Relationships of sire scrotal circumference to offspring reproduction and growth. Journal of Animal Science 67, 2881–2885.

Snelling WM, Golden BL and Bourdon RM 1995. Within herd genetic analyses of stayability of beef females. Journal of Animal Science 73, 993–1001.

Sorensen DA, Andersen S, Gianola D and Korsgaard I 2005. Bayesian inference in threshold models using Gibbs sampling. Genetics Selection Evolution 27, 229–249.

Strandén I and Vuori K 2006. RelaX2: pedigree analysis program. Proceedings of the 8th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, pp. 27–30. Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil.

Toelle VD and Robson OW 1985. Estimates of genetic correlations between testicular measurements and female reproductive traits in cattle. Journal of Animal Science 60, 89–100.

Van Melis MH, Eler JP, Silva JAIV and Ferraz JBS 2003. Estimate of genetic parameters in beef cattle using restricted maximun likelihood and method R. Brazilian Journal of Animal Science 32, 1624–1632.

Van Melis MH, Eler JP, Oliveira HN, Rosa GJM, Silva JAIV, Ferraz JBS and Pereira E 2007. Study of stayability in Nellore cows using a threshold model. Journal of Animal Science 85, 1780–1786.

Van Melis MH, Eler JP, Rosa GJM, Ferraz JBS, Figueiredo LGG, Mattos EC and Oliveira HN 2010. Additive genetic relationships between scrotal circumference, heifer pregnancy, and stayability in Nellore cattle. Journal of Animal Science 88, 3809–3813.