

# Evaluation of quality changes in udder quarter milk from cows with low-to-moderate somatic cell counts

L. Forsbäck<sup>1†</sup>, H. Lindmark-Månsson<sup>2</sup>, A. Andrén<sup>3</sup> and K. Svennersten-Sjaunja<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition and Management, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden; <sup>2</sup>Swedish Dairy Association, Lund, Sweden;

<sup>3</sup>Department of Food Science, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

(Received 9 June 2009; Accepted 29 October 2009; First published online 27 November 2009)

*Much emphasis has been put on evaluating alterations in milk composition caused by clinical and subclinical mastitis. However, little is known about changes in milk composition during subclinical mastitis in individual udder quarters with a low-to-moderate increase in milk somatic cell count (SCC). This information is needed to decide whether milk from individual udder quarters with a moderate-to-high increase in milk SCC should be separated or not. The aim of this study was to determine how milk composition in separate udder quarters is affected when cow composite milk has low or moderately increased SCC levels. Udder quarter and cow composite milk samples were collected from 17 cows on one occasion. Milk yield was registered and samples were analyzed for SCC, fat, total protein, whey proteins, lactose, citric acid, non-protein nitrogen (NPN), lactoferrin, protein profile, free fatty acids (FFAs), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), proteolysis, sodium and potassium. Bacteriological samples were collected twice from all four quarters of all cows. The cows were divided into three groups depending on their SCC at udder quarter level. The first group comprised healthy cows with four udder quarters with low SCC, < 50 000 cells/ml; composition was equal when opposite rear and front quarters were compared. In the second and the third groups, cows had one udder quarter with 101 000 cells/ml < SCC < 600 000 cells/ml and SCC > 700 000 cells/ml, respectively. The remaining udder quarters of these cows had low SCC (< 100 000 cells/ml). Despite the relatively low average cow composite SCC = 100 000 cells/ml of Group 2, milk from affected udder quarters exhibited lower casein number, content of lactose and  $\beta$ -casein ( $\beta$ -CN), while the content of whey protein, sodium, LDH and  $\alpha$ -lactoalbumin ( $\alpha$ -la) were higher compared to healthy opposite quarters. In addition to these changes, milk from affected udder quarters in Group 3 also exhibited lower values of potassium and  $\alpha_{s1}$ -casein ( $\alpha_{s1}$ -CN) and higher values of lactoferrin when compared to milk from opposite healthy quarters. This indicates that even when the SCC in cow composite milk is low, there might exist individual quarters for which milk composition is changed and milk quality impaired.*

**Keywords:** dairy cow, udder quarter, SCC, milk composition and milk quality

## Implication

A common problem in dairy production is mastitis, both clinical and subclinical. Subclinical mastitis results in deteriorated milk quality, increased somatic cell count (SCC) and lower milk yield without clinical signs. Furthermore, cows with subclinical mastitis may contribute to a lower bulk tank milk quality. It is rare that all a cow's udder quarters have subclinical mastitis. Therefore, it could be worthwhile to separate the milk from the individual udder quarters with deteriorated milk quality. To decide when milk should be separated, knowledge about quality alteration at different levels of SCC increase is needed.

## Introduction

High quality in the raw milk composition is required to achieve high yield and quality products in the dairy processing industry. One major factor that affects raw milk quality is mastitis. Mastitis causes an increase in milk SCC as an inflammatory response to infection or udder trauma. Milk composition and milk yield are altered when cows have clinical mastitis (Hortet and Seegers, 1998). It is not fully evaluated to what extent milk composition is affected by subclinical mastitis, especially when only one udder quarter is affected. Subclinical mastitis usually occurs in one udder quarter (Barkema *et al.*, 1997) and can therefore go unnoticed due to dilution in the composite milk (Berglund *et al.*, 2004). Even if cow composite milk has

<sup>†</sup> E-mail: Linda.Forsback@huv.slu.se

a low SCC, milk from one individual udder quarter may have high SCC and, due to higher enzyme activity, negatively affect the composite milk when mixed during milking. Therefore, milk quality assurance ought to start at udder quarter level with the detection and separation of milk from udder quarters with altered milk composition during milking.

In an earlier study by Forsbäck *et al.* (2009), it was found that 30% of cows with cow composite SCC < 100 000 cells/ml had one or more udder quarters with SCC > 100 000 cells/ml, indicating that these quarters were affected. Milk from the affected quarters had higher total protein and whey protein values, but lower casein number and lactose content when compared to milk from healthy opposite udder quarters of the same cow. This indicates that quality alterations do occur in milk from separate udder quarters even when the cow composite SCC is low. Berglund *et al.* (2004) also showed similar patterns with more than 10% of individual udder quarters with California mastitis test (CMT) score  $\geq 3$  in cow composite milk samples of SCC < 100 000 cells/ml.

Findings by Forsbäck *et al.* (2009) further justify studying the ways in which raw milk composition differs in separate udder quarters with increased SCC at a low-to-moderate increase in cow composite SCC. In addition to analyses of gross milk composition, that is, total protein, whey protein, fat, lactose, citric acid, SCC and bacterial content, it is relevant to study the following components to gain a better understanding of how mastitis influences milk composition. Spontaneous lipolysis may occur in mastitic milk, resulting in an elevated free fatty acid (FFA) level, which may cause rancid off-flavour. Milk protein compositions, and especially the caseins and degree of casein degradation, are important factors for the quality of dairy products, that is, yield, texture, flavour and functionality (Auldist *et al.*, 1996; Kelly *et al.*, 2006). The protein profile, that is, content of caseins and whey proteins, gives an indication of protein quality, in addition to proteolysis. Sodium and potassium contents are abnormal in mastitic milk due to leaky tight junctions (Allen, 1990). Lactoferrin is a bacteriostatic protein that prevents bacteria from growing during mastitis (Sordillo *et al.*, 1997). Lactoferrin concentration is increased during subclinical mastitis and is significantly correlated with SCC (Hagiwara *et al.*, 2003; Lindmark-Månsson *et al.*, 2006). Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is an enzyme in milk that appears in earlier studies to be a promising marker for mastitis (Friggens *et al.*, 2007). This parameter has also recently been used in-line as an indicator of mastitis in the management programme, Herd Navigator™ (Herd Navigator, 2009). The relationship between LDH, lactoferrin and milk quality has not yet been fully elucidated. Consequently, the potential of LDH and lactoferrin as markers for milk quality in addition to udder health need investigation.

For cases in which the cow composite milk exhibits only a small increase in milk SCC, milk composition from individual udder quarters has not previously been analyzed using many different parameters. The aim of this study is to gain

knowledge on how milk composition is affected at different levels of increased SCC in udder quarter milk when cow composite milk exhibited low-to-moderate SCC levels. The hypothesis is that already at a low increase in cow composite SCC, individual udder quarters might have an elevated SCC and altered milk composition. Milk composition changes will also increase with SCC and affect milk quality negatively.

## Material and methods

The study was performed at the Kungsängen Research Centre of the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences in Uppsala, Sweden. The study was approved by the Uppsala Ethical Committee.

### Animals

Milk samples were taken from 42 Swedish Red Breed cows for analysis of milk SCC at udder quarter level. Seventeen of these cows fulfilled the pre-set criteria for participation in the study and were thus used in the experiment. The selected cows had either all udder quarters with low SCC, or one udder quarter with elevated SCC and the other three udder quarters with low SCC. The selected cows were divided into three groups according to their SCC at udder quarter level. Each group contained five or six cows. The three groups, were defined as follows: Group 1 ( $n = 6$ ) – SCC < 50 000 cells/ml for all udder quarters; Group 2 ( $n = 5$ ) – 101 000 cells/ml < SCC < 600 000 cells/ml for one udder quarter and SCC < 100 000 cells/ml for the other three udder quarters; Group 3 ( $n = 6$ ) – SCC > 700 000 cells/ml for one udder quarter and SCC < 100 000 cells/ml for the other three udder quarters. The cows in Groups 1, 2 and 3 had average lactation numbers 1.2, 1.4 and 1.0, respectively. The average lactation week was 29, 33 and 32 for Groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

The cows were kept in two different housing systems, one stanchion barn and one loose house barn equipped with automatic milking (AM). All cows were fed according to Swedish recommendations (Spörndly, 2003). The cows in the stanchion barn were milked twice daily with a milking interval of 9 h during the day and 15 h during the night. The cows in the AM barn were milked on average 2.3 times per day with an average milking interval of 10.4 h, and a range of 6.9 to 18.1 h. All cows included in the study were delivering milk to the dairy processor on the sampling occasion. None of the cows were treated for mastitis.

### Milking equipment

The cows in the AM barn were milked with a VMS™ (Voluntary Milking System, provided by DeLaval International AB, Tumba, Sweden) with monovac, pulsation ratio 70:30; pulsation rate 60 cycles/min; and system vacuum 42 kPa. The cows in the stanchion barns were milked with a special quarter milking machine (provided by DeLaval International AB) with monovac, pulsation ratio 70:30; pulsation rate 60 cycles/min; and system vacuum 42 kPa.

### *Milk sampling and registration*

In order to find cows which fulfilled the criteria for the three groups stated above, 42 cows were milked once, and the milk from each udder quarter was analyzed for content of SCC. New milk samples were collected on the following day from cows which fulfilled the criteria. In both milking systems and during all sampling, all the milk obtained during entire milking from each individual udder quarter was collected in separate containers. Quarter milk samples and cow composite milk samples were collected for analysis. The following sampling routine was used in both systems: after gentle stirring, milk samples were collected from each quarter container and then all milk from each separate quarter was poured in a new container and mixed, and a cow composite sample was taken after gentle stirring. Milk yield from each quarter was registered. The milk sampling tubes for SCC, fat, lactose, total protein, whey protein and citric acid analysis contained approximately 50 ml milk, and were treated with 50  $\mu$ l (20% w/v) Bronopol, 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol (VWR International AB, Stockholm, Sweden). This means that the milk samples contained 0.02% Bronopol. Milk samples for SCC, fat, lactose, total protein, whey protein and citric acid analysis were stored at +4°C and analyzed in the following day. The samples for all other parameters were frozen and stored at -70°C until analysis.

On the sampling day and the following day, bacteriological samples were collected directly after milking was complete from all udder quarters of the 17 cows. The milk used for bacteriological analysis was collected in sterile tubes directly from the teats. The milk for bacteriological analysis was collected according to the following routine: the teats were wiped with an udder towel, the first beams of milk were rejected and then the teats were disinfected with 70% ethanol and allowed to dry before milk collection.

### *Analysis of fat, total protein, lactose, citric acid and whey protein*

To estimate the content of milk fat, total protein, lactose, citric acid and whey protein, the mid-infrared spectroscopy method (Fourier Transform Instrument, FT 120, Foss, Hillerød, Denmark) was used. The proportion of casein was calculated from the whey protein and total protein proportions using a rennet casein method. In short, 60  $\mu$ l calcium chloride (48% w/v) was added to 40 ml milk, which was then incubated at 40°C in a water bath. When the temperature reached 40°C, 200  $\mu$ l rennet (180  $\pm$  10 international milk clotting units) was added. The samples were mixed and allowed to coagulate for 15 to 20 min. The curd was cut into small cubes and then filtered (42  $\mu$ m) to determine the whey protein fraction via mid-infrared spectroscopy. The casein number was calculated as the proportion of casein in relation to total protein.

### *Analysis of lactoferrin and LDH*

Lactoferrin was determined quantitatively, according to the producer's instruction, using a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Bovine Lactoferrin ELISA Quantification

Kit, Bethyl Laboratories Inc., Montgomery, TX, USA). The analysis of LDH was performed according to Larsen (2005).

### *Analysis of sodium and potassium*

Sodium and potassium content was determined by a flame photometric method. In short, 1 ml milk sample was mixed with 1 ml trichloroacetic acid and centrifuged (4193  $\times$  g) for 20 min at room temperature. A volume of 0.5 ml from the supernatant was added to the flame photometer (Flame Photometer FF-IL 943, ILS Instrumentation Laboratory S.p.A., Milano, Italy) and analyzed.

### *Analysis of FFAs*

Milk samples for FFA analysis were extracted directly after milking. The FFA content was determined using the Autoanalyzer II method (Lindqvist *et al.*, 1975).

### *Analysis of protein profile, proteolysis and non-protein nitrogen (NPN)*

The composition of individual milk proteins (protein profile),  $\alpha_{s1}$ -casein ( $\alpha_{s1}$ -CN),  $\beta$ -casein ( $\beta$ -CN),  $\kappa$ -casein ( $\kappa$ -CN),  $\alpha$ -lactalbumin ( $\alpha$ -la),  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin A ( $\beta$ -lg A) and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin B ( $\beta$ -lg B) in skimmed milk samples was determined using the reverse phase high-performance liquid chromatography method of Bordin *et al.* (2001) and modified according to Hallén *et al.* (2008).

The presence of proteolysis products in the milk samples was evaluated in skimmed milk samples using the fluorescamine method of Wiking *et al.* (2002) with one minor modification. This modification comprised a second centrifugation 14 000  $\times$  g for 30 min with a filter (10 kDa cutoff), in order to obtain a pure supernatant.

NPN content was analyzed according to International IDF Standard 20B:1993, determination of NPN content (IDF, 1993).

### *Analysis of SCC and bacteria*

The milk samples were analyzed for SCC using electronic fluorescence-based cell counting (Fossomatic 5000; A/S N. Foss, Hillerød, Denmark). Bacteriological analysis of the milk samples was performed by The National Veterinary Institute, Uppsala, Sweden, according to the quality assurance protocol SS-EN ISO/IEC 17025.

### *Statistical analyses*

For statistical analyses, the data were divided into three groups based on SCC in udder quarter milk samples. Numerical values of SCC were used for assigning cows to different groups, and logarithmic values of SCC were used for all statistical analyses. Least square means (LSmeans) and estimated standard errors for contents of total protein, casein, whey protein, casein number, fat, lactose, citric acid, lactoferrin, sodium, potassium, FFA, NPN, LDH, proteolysis, SCC,  $\alpha_{s1}$ -CN,  $\beta$ -CN,  $\kappa$ -CN,  $\alpha$ -la,  $\beta$ -lg A,  $\beta$ -lg B and yields of milk, total protein, casein, whey protein, fat, lactose, lactoferrin, potassium, sodium, NPN,  $\alpha_{s1}$ -CN,  $\beta$ -CN,  $\kappa$ -CN,  $\alpha$ -la,  $\beta$ -lg A and  $\beta$ -lg B in cow composite milk in the three

groups were calculated with the GLM procedure in SAS 9.1 (Statistical Analysis Systems Institute, 2004) using the following model:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma t_k + e_{ijkl},$$

$$\sum \alpha_i = 0, \sum \beta_j = 0, i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$j = 1, 2, k = 1, 2, \dots, n, l = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where,  $\mu$  is the overall mean effect,  $\alpha_i$  represents the group effect,  $\beta_j$  represents the effect of lactation stage,  $\gamma$  describes the effect of hours since last milking,  $t_k$  is known time point and  $e_{ijkl}$  is a random measurement error.

The lactation stage was modeled dichotomously: A = lactation week  $\leq 30$  and B = lactation week  $> 30$ . The effect of time since last milking was described using a continuous variable.

LSmeans and estimated standard errors for contents of total protein, casein, whey protein, casein number, fat, lactose, citric acid, lactoferrin, sodium, potassium, FFA, NPN, LDH, proteolysis, SCC,  $\alpha_{s1}$ -CN,  $\beta$ -CN,  $\kappa$ -CN,  $\alpha$ -la,  $\beta$ -lg A,  $\beta$ -lg B and milk yield in milk from affected quarters in Groups 2 and 3 were calculated with the GLM procedure in SAS 9.1 using the following model:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma t_k + e_{ijkl},$$

$$\sum \alpha_i = 0, \sum \beta_j = 0, i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$j = 1, 2, k = 1, 2, \dots, n, l = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where,  $\mu$  is the overall mean effect,  $\alpha_i$  represents the group effect,  $\beta_j$  represents the effect of front or rear udder quarter,  $\gamma$  describes the effect of hours since last milking,  $t_k$  is known time point and  $e_{ijkl}$  is a random measurement error.

The effect of front or rear udder quarter was described as a discrete variable with two levels: back udder quarter and front udder quarter. The effect of time since last milking was described as a continuous variable.

Udder quarters were defined as either healthy (SCC  $< 100\,000$  cells/ml) or affected (SCC  $> 100\,000$  cells/ml) (Hamann, 2003) in the three groups. The difference in composition parameters and milk yield between affected and opposite healthy quarters for individual cows was calculated and tested if they differed from zero with the paired  $t$ -test in SAS 9.1. In Group 1, opposite front and rear quarters were compared. Right and left sides were randomised.

## Results

### Comparisons between the groups

The only significant difference in cow composite milk between all three groups was seen in SCC. The SCC was higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) when Groups 2 and 3 were compared to Group 1. Group 3 also had higher ( $P = 0.008$ ) SCC than Group 2. LDH was significantly higher in Groups 2 and 3 compared to group 1 ( $P = 0.032$  and  $0.001$ , respectively). Casein number showed significantly higher ( $P = 0.050$ )

values in Group 2 compared to Group 3, and lower ( $P = 0.083$ ) values in Group 3 compared to Group 1 (Table 1). When the affected udder quarters (SCC  $> 100\,000$  cells/ml) in group 2 were compared to affected udder quarters in Group 3, LDH ( $P = 0.02$ ), sodium ( $P = 0.02$ ) and SCC ( $P = 0.001$ ) were significantly higher, whereas FFA ( $P = 0.07$ ) showed a tendency to be higher in Group 3 (Table 2). The casein number ( $P = 0.03$ ) and content of  $\alpha$ -la ( $P = 0.03$ ) was significantly lower in Group 3 compared to Group 2 (Table 2). No significant differences in cow composite milk between the three groups could be seen when yields of total protein, casein, whey protein, fat, lactose, lactoferrin, potassium, sodium, NPN,  $\alpha_{s1}$ -CN,  $\beta$ -CN,  $\kappa$ -CN,  $\alpha$ -la,  $\beta$ -lg A and  $\beta$ -lg B were compared.

### Comparisons within the groups

**Group 1.** In Group 1, in which all udder quarters were healthy, no significant differences between front and rear quarters could be seen. No bacterial growth was found in the udder quarters of the cows in this group. The range of cow composite SCC in this group was 7 000 to 30 000 cells/ml.

**Group 2.** In Group 2, casein number ( $P = 0.012$ ), content of lactose ( $P = 0.008$ ),  $\alpha_{s1}$ -CN ( $P = 0.094$ ) and  $\beta$ -CN ( $P = 0.042$ ) were lower in the affected udder quarters (Table 3). Content of whey protein ( $P = 0.041$ ), sodium ( $P = 0.047$ ), LDH ( $P = 0.007$ ), SCC ( $P < 0.001$ ) and  $\alpha$ -la ( $P = 0.032$ ) showed increased values in the affected udder quarters (Table 3). When yields of the different milk components were studied, casein, lactose,  $\alpha_{s1}$ -CN and  $\beta$ -CN yields showed a tendency to be lower in affected udder quarters, whereas yield of lactoferrin showed a tendency to be higher. Group 2 consisted of five cows, of which four exhibited bacterial growth of *Coagulase-negative staphylococci* (CNS) in the udder quarter with elevated milk SCC. The cow composite SCC in this group varied from 69 000 cells/ml to 157 000 cells/ml.

**Group 3.** The casein number ( $P = 0.002$ ) and content of lactose ( $P = 0.003$ ), fat ( $P = 0.091$ ), potassium ( $P = 0.013$ ),  $\alpha_{s1}$ -CN ( $P = 0.012$ ) and  $\beta$ -CN ( $P = 0.028$ ) had lower values in the affected udder quarters in Group 3 (Table 4). Higher contents of total protein ( $P = 0.087$ ), whey protein ( $P = 0.006$ ), lactoferrin ( $P = 0.008$ ), sodium ( $P < 0.001$ ), LDH ( $P < 0.001$ ) and SCC ( $P < 0.001$ ) were found in the affected udder quarters. The total yield of lactoferrin was also significantly ( $P = 0.018$ ) higher in the affected udder quarters. Of the six cows in Group 3, bacterial growth was found in udder quarters from three of them. Two cows had bacterial growth of *Staphylococci aureus* and one cow had CNS in the udder quarters with elevated milk SCC. The cows in this group had an SCC at cow composite level ranging between 105 000 and 362 000 cells/ml.

## Discussion

In this study, we found that milk from separate udder quarters in cow composite milk with low-to-moderate increase in SCC showed deteriorated milk composition.



**Table 1** Milk yield and composition (*LSmeans* ± *s.e.*) of cow composite samples from cows grouped according to somatic cell count

Parameter	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3
Milk yield/milking (kg)	10.41 ± 1.23	9.73 ± 1.35	9.41 ± 1.27
Total protein (%)	3.57 ± 0.14	3.72 ± 0.15	3.53 ± 0.14
Casein (%)	2.66 ± 0.10	2.77 ± 0.11	2.58 ± 0.11
Casein number	0.74 <sup>ab†</sup> ± 0.00	0.75 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.00	0.73 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00
Whey protein (%)	0.91 ± 0.04	0.95 ± 0.04	0.95 ± 0.04
Fat (%)	5.12 ± 0.42	5.13 ± 0.46	5.43 ± 0.43
Lactose (%)	4.59 ± 0.05	4.53 ± 0.05	4.49 ± 0.05
Citric acid (%)	0.15 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01
Lactoferrin (µg/ml)	92 ± 36	115 ± 39	145 ± 37
Na (mmol/l)	13.0 ± 1.2	15.1 ± 1.2	13.7 ± 1.1
K (mmol/l)	42.3 ± 1.6	42.7 ± 1.5	43.9 ± 1.4
FFA (mEqv/l)	0.33 ± 0.02	0.29 ± 0.03	0.36 ± 0.03
NPN (%)	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00
LDH (U/l)	2.20 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.37	3.51 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.40	4.49 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.38
Proteolysis (eq Leu)	0.73 ± 0.07	0.88 ± 0.07	0.89 ± 0.07
Log SCC (cells/ml)	4.31(20 <sup>§</sup> ) <sup>a</sup> ± 0.08	5.00(100 <sup>§</sup> ) <sup>b</sup> ± 0.09	5.33(214 <sup>§</sup> ) <sup>c</sup> ± 0.08
α <sub>s1</sub> -CN(mg/ml)	9.84 ± 0.85	9.82 ± 0.93	9.30 ± 0.88
β-CN (mg/ml)	17.38 ± 1.82	16.55 ± 2.00	15.13 ± 1.88
κ-CN (mg/ml)	4.37 ± 0.38	4.58 ± 0.41	3.89 ± 0.39
α-la (mg/ml)	0.89 ± 0.07	1.01 ± 0.08	1.02 ± 0.07
β-Ig A (mg/ml)	3.40 ± 0.94	2.85 ± 0.92	4.53 ± 0.86
β-Ig B (mg/ml)	2.38 ± 0.50	3.09 ± 0.55	2.07 ± 0.51

FFA = free fatty acid; NPN = non-protein nitrogen; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; eq Leu = equivalent mM leucine; SCC = somatic cell count; α<sub>s1</sub>-CN = α<sub>s1</sub>-casein; β-CN = β-casein; κ-CN = κ-casein; α-la = α-lactoalbumin; β-Ig A = β-lactoglobulin A; β-Ig B = β-lactoglobulin B.

<sup>†</sup>Different superscripts in a row mean significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ).

<sup>§</sup>× 1000, displayed as antilogarithmic values.

Group 1: all udder quarters with SCC < 50 000 cells/ml; Group 2: one udder quarter with SCC 101 000 to 600 000 cells/ml, three udder quarters with SCC < 100 000 cells/ml; and Group 3: one udder quarter with SCC > 700 000 cells/ml, three udder quarters with SCC < 100 000 cells/ml. Values corrected for lactation stage and time since last milking.

That includes indications of degradation or decreased synthesis of proteins and increased permeability of the milk–blood barrier.

#### Comparisons between the groups

Although the SCC at cow composite level was below 220 000 cells/ml in Group 3, the group with the highest SCC in one quarter, significant differences in casein number, LDH and SCC between this group and Group 2 were observed. This shows that the changes in milk composition are greater with increasing SCC even at a low level of composite milk SCC. This is in agreement with the study by Hamann (2002).

The expected SCC for a healthy cow has been discussed for a long time by different researchers, with various results. Doggweiler and Hess (1983) find that healthy first parity cows have an SCC of approximately 20 000 cells/ml in foremilk samples at udder quarter level. Hamann (2002) suggests that an SCC at udder quarter level of up to 100 000 cells/ml should be considered physiologically normal. At cow composite level, it is observed that milk SCC in bacteriologically negative cows was approximately 50 000 cells/ml (Laevens *et al.*, 1997; Ma *et al.*, 2000) and that neither lactation stage nor lactation number affect SCC (Laevens *et al.*, 1997). In this study, average cow composite SCC in Group 1 was 20 000 cells/ml and the average

lactation number was 1.2, strengthening the assumption that the cows in Group 1 of our study were healthy. In Group 2, in which cows had one affected udder quarter, the average cow composite SCC was 100 000 cells/ml, indicating that cow composite SCC of 100 000 cells/ml does not necessarily represent a cow with four healthy udder quarters.

The fact that Group 2 cows had affected udder quarters was further indicated by the observation that LDH was significantly higher in cow composite milk when compared to Group 1. LDH has recently been incorporated as a mastitis indicator in Herd Navigator<sup>TM</sup>, a new management system that performs automatic in-line milk analyses to monitor metabolic status, udder health and reproduction. Friggens *et al.* (2007) find LDH to be an accurate mastitis indicator as it reveals significant differences between healthy and mastitic cows at an early stage. In a study in which quarter foremilk from subclinical cows was collected and compared to foremilk from healthy udder quarters, LDH activity is found to be elevated in those quarters with subclinical mastitis (Batavani *et al.*, 2007). This is in accordance with our study. However, a study by Babaei *et al.* (2007) presents higher activity of LDH in milk from cows with subclinical mastitis, but the sensitivity for LDH tests is too low for early detection of subclinical mastitis. Hiss *et al.* (2007), who measured LDH using a method

**Table 2** Comparison of milk composition and yield between affected<sup>a</sup> udder quarter samples from Groups 2<sup>b</sup> and 3<sup>c</sup>

Parameter	Group 2	Group 3	Significance <sup>†</sup>
Total protein (%)	3.59 ± 0.14	3.55 ± 0.13	ns
Casein (%)	2.66 ± 0.11	2.56 ± 0.10	ns
Casein number	0.74 ± 0.01	0.72 ± 0.01	*
Whey protein (%)	0.92 ± 0.04	0.99 ± 0.03	ns
Fat (%)	4.81 ± 0.42	5.34 ± 0.40	ns
Lactose (%)	4.54 ± 0.07	4.37 ± 0.07	ns
Citric acid (%)	0.16 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01	ns
Lactoferrin (µg/ml)	270 ± 106	247 ± 101	ns
Na (mmol/l)	16.1 ± 0.8	19.4 ± 0.8	*
K (mmol/l)	43.1 ± 0.9	41.7 ± 0.8	ns
FFA (mEqv/l)	0.27 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.03	ns
NPN (%)	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	ns
LDH (U/l)	4.70 ± 0.95	8.54 ± 0.90	*
Proteolysis (eq Leu)	0.92 ± 0.06	0.93 ± 0.06	ns
Log SCC (cells/ml)	5.47(295 <sup>§</sup> ) ± 0.07	6.00(1 000 <sup>§</sup> ) ± 0.07	***
Milk yield/milking (kg)	2.49 ± 0.27	2.13 ± 0.26	ns
α <sub>s1</sub> -CN (mg/ml)	8.83 ± 0.65	8.92 ± 0.61	ns
β-CN (mg/ml)	13.89 ± 1.56	14.92 ± 1.49	ns
κ-CN (mg/ml)	4.49 ± 0.46	4.08 ± 0.44	ns
α-la (mg/ml)	1.12 ± 0.05	0.94 ± 0.05	*
β-Ig A (mg/ml)	2.58 ± 1.15	3.92 ± 1.09	ns
β-Ig B (mg/ml)	2.94 ± 0.57	2.07 ± 0.54	ns

ns = non-significant; FFA = free fatty acid; NPN = non-protein nitrogen; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; eq Leu = equivalent mM leucine; SCC = somatic cell count; α<sub>s1</sub>-CN = α<sub>s1</sub>-casein; β-CN = β-casein; κ-CN = κ-casein; α-la = α-lactoalbumin; β-Ig A = β-lactoglobulin A; β-Ig B = β-lactoglobulin B.

<sup>a</sup>SCC > 100 000 cells/ml.

<sup>b</sup>One udder quarter with SCC 101 000 to 600 000 cells/ml, three udder quarters with SCC < 100 000 cells/ml.

<sup>c</sup>One udder quarter with SCC > 700 000 cells/ml, three udder quarters with SCC < 100 000 cells/ml.

\*\**P* < 0.05, \*\*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.001.

<sup>§</sup>×1000, displayed as antilogarithmic values.

Results shown are LSmeans ± s.e. and significance calculated according to the statistical analysis in the text.

suitable for on-line systems, suggested LDH as a potential marker for subclinical mastitis. In our material, LDH seems to be a good indicator for subclinical mastitis. We found significant differences in cow composite milk even for SCC between 100 000 and 214 000 cells/ml, which is an SCC that would not draw much attention in practice. In addition, LDH also could act as a milk quality marker, as compositional differences were found when elevated LDH values were detected.

Although not significant, there are many parameters that show numerical differences in cow composite milk between the three groups. However, the experimental design of this study included a small number of cows and resulted thereby in a large variation, which might explain the small number of significant differences observed.

#### Comparisons within groups

In Group 1, in which all udder quarters were healthy, no differences could be seen when opposite front and rear quarters were compared. This indicates that the differences in composition between healthy udder quarters within the same cow are minimal, as has been observed in earlier studies (Berglund *et al.*, 2007).

In our experiment, lower content of lactose and higher content of sodium were found in milk from affected udder

quarters compared to healthy udder quarters in both Groups 2 and 3. In Group 3, milk from affected udder quarters also contained a lower content of potassium. It is well known that lactose content decreases during mastitis (Linzell and Peaker, 1972; Kitchen, 1981; Munro *et al.*, 1984) as a consequence of the lower blood–milk barrier caused by increased tight junction permeability and damaged epithelial cells. The osmotic pressure of milk is maintained by the balance of concentration of lactose and of soluble minerals (Ling *et al.*, 1961). Therefore, changes in the sodium and potassium content of milk will result in reduced lactose synthesis and thus milk production (Stelwagen *et al.*, 1999). Lactose will also decrease in milk due to losses into the circulation through damaged epithelial cells and leaky tight junctions (Allen, 1990). When the tight junctions become leaky, there is an influx of sodium and chlorine into the alveolar lumen, whereas potassium decreases (Kitchen, 1981; Allen, 1990). Bruckmaier *et al.* (2004) show that there is a numerical difference in sodium concentrations between infected and healthy quarters at SCC levels of 398 000 to 1 000 000 cells/ml, which is in accordance with our results. The differences in lactose between healthy and affected udder quarters is bigger in Group 2 compared to Group 3. In addition, the potassium level does not differ between healthy and affected quarters in Group 2. This indicates that the reduced blood–milk barrier is becoming

**Table 3** Comparison of milk composition and milk yield between healthy (<100 000 cells/ml) and affected (> 100 000 cells/ml) udder quarters in Group 2, n = 5

Parameter	Mean value of healthy quarters	Mean value of affected quarters	Significance <sup>†</sup>
Total protein (%)	3.62 ± 0.15	3.64 ± 0.13	ns
Casein (%)	2.71 ± 0.09	2.69 ± 0.08	ns
Casein number	0.75 ± 0.01	0.74 ± 0.01	*
Whey protein (%)	0.92 ± 0.06	0.95 ± 0.05	*
Fat (%)	4.98 ± 0.60	4.99 ± 0.53	ns
Lactose (%)	4.59 ± 0.08	4.54 ± 0.08	**
Citric acid (%)	0.16 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01	ns
Lactoferrin (µg/ml)	84 ± 19	286 ± 137	ns
Na (mmol/l)	14.8 ± 1.3	16.7 ± 1.1	*
K (mmol/l)	42.1 ± 2.4	42.0 ± 2.4	ns
FFA (mEqv/l)	0.28 ± 0.03	0.27 ± 0.02	ns
NPN (%)	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	ns
LDH (U/l)	2.86 ± 0.50	4.61 ± 0.41	**
Proteolysis (eq Leu)	0.87 ± 0.05	0.89 ± 0.07	ns
Log SCC (cells/ml)	4.17(15 <sup>§</sup> ) ± 0.09	5.45(285 <sup>§</sup> ) ± 0.08	***
Milk yield/milking (kg)	3.12 ± 0.56	2.74 ± 0.54	ns
α <sub>s1</sub> -CN (mg/ml)	10.36 ± 0.72	8.80 ± 0.31	ns
β-CN (mg/ml)	17.49 ± 1.41	13.74 ± 0.59	*
κ-CN (mg/ml)	4.38 ± 0.62	4.62 ± 0.49	ns
α-la (mg/ml)	0.90 ± 0.05	1.10 ± 0.05	*
β-Ig A (mg/ml)	2.99 ± 1.09	3.05 ± 1.14	ns
β-Ig B (mg/ml)	2.62 ± 0.44	2.82 ± 0.47	ns

ns = non-significant; FFA = free fatty acid; NPN = non-protein nitrogen; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; eq Leu = equivalent mM leucine; SCC = somatic cell count; α<sub>s1</sub>-CN = α<sub>s1</sub>-casein; β-CN = β-casein; κ-CN = κ-casein; α-la = α-lactalbumin; β-Ig A = β-lactoglobulin A; β-Ig B = β-lactoglobulin B.

<sup>†</sup>\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001.

<sup>§</sup>× 1000, displayed as antilogarithmic values.

Results shown are mean values of healthy and affected quarters ± s.e. and significance.

Group 2: One udder quarter with SCC 101 000 to 600 000 cells/ml, three udder quarters with SCC < 100 000 cells/ml.

worse with increasing SCC. The small and non-significant difference in potassium in Group 2 might be explained by the small number of cows, the small changes or the high variation between the samples in this group.

An increased permeability of the blood–milk barrier also results in an influx of serum proteins and enzymes (such as plasminogen) from the blood, which may lead to increased proteolysis. Both Groups 2 and 3 showed altered protein composition in milk from affected udder quarters when compared to milk from healthy opposite udder quarters. Casein number, α<sub>s1</sub>-CN and β-CN were lower in affected udder quarters, whereas the content of whey proteins was increased. These findings are in line with those of Urech *et al.* (1999). Haenlein *et al.* (1973) present significantly lower contents of casein, α<sub>s1</sub>-CN, β-CN, β-lactoglobulin, α-lactalbumin and whey proteins, and a lower casein number with increasing degrees of subclinical mastitis and SCC. A study by Le Roux *et al.* (1995) showed that protein composition starts to change even at low levels of SCC (>250 000 cells/ml) in udder quarter milk. In this study, protein composition was also influenced in milk from affected udder quarters in Group 2, for which the udder quarter mean SCC was 285 000 cells/ml. Plasmin and other proteolytic enzymes, such as cathepsin, elastase and collagenase, all contribute to the degradation of caseins in

milk (McSweeney *et al.*, 1995; Bastian and Brown, 1996; Le Roux *et al.*, 2003; Kelly *et al.*, 2006). Politis *et al.* (1989) find elevated plasmin concentrations in cow composite milk with SCC of 250 000 cells/ml. Lower levels of α<sub>s1</sub>-CN and β-CN in milk from affected udder quarters in our study indicate thus either proteolysis from present proteolytic enzymes or decreased casein synthesis. However, we could not find any significant difference in measured proteolysis when comparing milk from affected udder quarters with milk from healthy udder quarters. The reason may be that the total proteolysis is lower than the detection limit of the fluorescamine method we used (Haryani *et al.*, 2003). In conclusion, the content of lactose, sodium, and potassium and the protein composition changes even with a small increase in SCC.

The total protein content in milk from affected udder quarters was not affected by any degradation or altered synthesis of caseins in the study, as the total protein content was compensated by increased contents of whey proteins. Other studies have shown that the total protein content could be higher due to increased SCC and mastitis (Auldish *et al.*, 1995; Urech *et al.*, 1999; Nielsen *et al.*, 2005) because of an increased whey protein ratio. Using the total protein content as a milk quality marker is therefore questionable, whereas measuring the whey protein content,

**Table 4** Comparison of milk composition and milk yield between healthy (<100 000 cells/ml) and affected (>700 000 cells/ml) udder quarters in Group 3, n = 6

Parameter	Mean value of healthy quarters	Mean value of affected quarters	Significance <sup>†</sup>
Total protein (%)	3.54 ± 0.15	3.59 ± 0.14	ns
Casein (%)	2.61 ± 0.12	2.59 ± 0.11	ns
Casein number	0.74 ± 0.01	0.72 ± 0.01	**
Whey protein (%)	0.92 ± 0.04	0.99 ± 0.05	**
Fat (%)	5.49 ± 0.37	5.28 ± 0.29	ns
Lactose (%)	4.50 ± 0.05	4.34 ± 0.06	**
Citric Acid (%)	0.16 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01	ns
Lactoferrin (µg/ml)	102 ± 42	277 ± 46	**
Na (mmol/l)	13.6 ± 0.3	19.2 ± 0.9	***
K (mmol/l)	45.1 ± 0.8	42.9 ± 0.5	*
FFA (mEqv/l)	0.34 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.02	ns
NPN (%)	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	ns
LDH (U/l)	3.22 ± 0.45	8.45 ± 0.96	***
Proteolysis (eq Leu)	0.90 ± 0.03	0.94 ± 0.05	ns
Log SCC (cells/ml)	4.39 (25 <sup>§</sup> ) ± 0.15	6.06 (1152 <sup>§</sup> ) ± 0.07	***
Milk yield/milking (kg)	2.10 ± 0.23	1.87 ± 0.24	ns
α <sub>s1</sub> -CN (mg/ml)	10.26 ± 0.48	9.17 ± 0.69	*
β-CN (mg/ml)	17.66 ± 1.39	15.38 ± 1.64	*
κ-CN (mg/ml)	4.35 ± 0.40	4.23 ± 0.41	ns
α-la (mg/ml)	0.99 ± 0.04	0.97 ± 0.05	ns
β-Ig A (mg/ml)	3.57 ± 1.08	3.56 ± 1.06	ns
β-Ig B (mg/ml)	2.25 ± 0.58	2.38 ± 0.57	ns

ns = non-significant; FFA = free fatty acid; NPN = non-protein nitrogen; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; eq Leu = equivalent mM Leucine; SCC = somatic cell count; α<sub>s1</sub>-CN = α<sub>s1</sub>-casein; β-CN = β-casein; κ-CN = κ-casein; α-la = α-lactoalbumin; β-Ig A = β-lactoglobulin A; β-Ig B = β-lactoglobulin B.

<sup>†</sup>\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001.

<sup>§</sup>× 1000, displayed as antilogarithmic values.

Results shown as mean values of healthy and affected quarters ± s.e. and significance.

Group 3: One udder quarter with SCC > 700 000 cells/ml, three udder quarters with SCC < 100 000 cells/ml.

in addition to total protein, would be a more correct validation of the protein quality of milk.

The fact that the milk samples were taken directly after milking needs consideration. Any proteolysis and lipolysis in these milk samples occurred in the udder or shortly after milking and were probably difficult to detect. This could partially explain why we could not see any differences in FFA in these milk samples. However, most mammalian enzymes would have optimal conditions in the udder at 37°C (Kelly and Fox, 2006); therefore, conditions for proteolysis and lipolysis in milk are favourable during storage in the udder. The FFA level might already be increased at milk secretion due to the infection and disrupted milk synthesis (Deeth, 2006).

In our study, the lactoferrin values for milk from healthy and affected udder quarters in Group 3 were significantly different, and there were numerical differences both between the groups in cow composite milk and between healthy and affected udder quarters in Group 2. This is in accordance with earlier studies which show that lactoferrin is correlated to SCC (Lindmark-Månsson *et al.*, 2006; Cheng *et al.*, 2008). In another study, lactoferrin content was significantly higher in milk from udder quarters with subclinical mastitis than in milk from normal udder quarters (Hagiwara *et al.*, 2003). This study also finds that milk from cows infected with major pathogens, such as *Staphylococcus aureus* and different streptococci, contained

higher lactoferrin levels compared to milk infected with minor pathogens, such as CNS and *Corynebacterium bovis*.

As discussed previously, the bacteriological sampling may give false-negative results, as there is a cycling of bacteria and SCC during mastitis (Forsbäck *et al.*, 2009). In our study, we found bacteria in four out of five cows in Group 2 and in three out of six cows in Group 3. There were probably bacteria in the remaining affected quarters too, even though we were unable to detect them with only two sampling occasions.

## Conclusion

The major finding of this study was that milk quality deteriorated in individual udder quarters already when cow composite milk had a low level of SCC. Even at a low level of SCC increase in separate udder quarters, there were signs of a reduced blood–milk barrier, and hence influx of minerals and whey proteins, which consequently gave a lower protein quality and lactose level. The milk composition alterations were found to worsen with increasing SCC. Other alterations in the milk, such as lower β-CN and α<sub>s1</sub>-CN, indicated that there was probably higher enzyme activity and consequently protein degradation or decreased synthesis of caseins. Even when the SCC of cow composite



milk is low, increased enzyme activity will cause deterioration during storage and thus have a negative effect on the milk as a whole. This indicates the importance of detecting the level of quality deterioration and of separating milk at udder quarter level. Thus, there is a need for further experiments on the impact that separating milk at the udder quarter level has on milk quality before and after storage.

### Acknowledgement

The study was financially supported by the Swedish Research Council for Environment, Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning (FORMAS), and received as well strategic money from the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science. The authors thank Professor Dietrich von Rosen for statistical assistance.

### References

- Allen JC 1990. Milk synthesis and secretion rates in cows with milk composition changed by oxytocin. *Journal of Dairy Science* 73, 975–984.
- Auldust MJ, Coats S, Rogers GL and McDowell GH 1995. Changes in the composition in milk from healthy and mastitic cow during the lactation cycle. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 35, 427–436.
- Auldust MJ, Coats S, Sutherland BJ, Mayes JJ, McDowell GH and Rogers GL 1996. Effects of somatic cell count and stage of lactation on raw milk composition and the yield and quality of Cheddar cheese. *Journal of Dairy Research* 63, 269–280.
- Babaei H, Mansouri-Najand L, Molaei MM, Kheradmand A and Sharifan M 2007. Assesment of lactate dehydrogenase, alkaline phosphatase and aspartate aminotransferase activities in cow's milk as an indicator of subclinical mastitis. *Veterinary Research Communications* 31, 419–425.
- Barkema HW, Schukken YH, Lam TJGM, Galligan DT, Beiboer ML and Brand A 1997. Estimation of interdependence among quarters of the bovine udder with subclinical mastitis and implications for analysis. *Journal of Dairy Science* 80, 1592–1599.
- Bastian ED and Brown RJ 1996. Plasmin in milk and dairy products: an update. *International Dairy Journal* 6, 435–457.
- Batavani RA, Asri S and Naebzadeh H 2007. The effect of subclinical mastitis on milk composition in dairy cows. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research, University of Shiraz* 8, 205–211.
- Berglund I, Petterson G, Östensson K and Svennersten-Sjaunja K 2004. Frequency of individual udder quarters with elevated CMT scores in cow's milk samples with low somatic cell counts. *The Veterinary Record* 155, 213.
- Berglund I, Petterson G, Östensson K and Svennersten-Sjaunja K 2007. Quarter milking for improved detection of increased SCC. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals* 42, 427–432.
- Bordin G, Raposo FC, Calle B and Rodriguez AR 2001. Identification and quantification of major bovine milk protein by liquid chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography* 928, 63–76.
- Bruckmaier RM, Ontsouka CE and Blum JW 2004. Fractionized milk composition in dairy cows with subclinical mastitis. *Veterinárni Medicína* 49, 283–290.
- Cheng JB, Wang JQ, Bu DP, Liu GL, Zhang CG, Wei HY, Zhou LY and Wang JZ 2008. Factors affecting the lactoferrin concentration in bovine milk. *Journal of Dairy Science* 91, 970–976.
- Deeth HC 2006. Lipoprotein lipase and lipolysis in milk. *International Dairy Journal* 16, 555–562.
- Doggweiler R and Hess E 1983. Zellgehalt in der Milch ungeschädigter Euter. *Milchwissenschaft* 38, 5–8.
- Forsbäck L, Lindmark-Månsson H, Andrén A, Åkerstedt M and Svennersten-Sjaunja K 2009. Udder quarter milk composition at different levels of somatic cell count in cow composite milk. *Animal* 3, 710–717.
- Friggens NC, Chagunda MGG, Bjerring M, Ridder C, Højsgaard S and Larsen T 2007. Estimating degree of mastitis from time-series measurements in milk: a test of a model based on lactate dehydrogenase measurements. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90, 5415–5427.
- Haenlein GFW, Schultz LH and Zikakis JP 1973. Composition of protein in milk with varying leucocyte contents. *Journal of Dairy Science* 56, 1017–1024.
- Hagiwara S, Kawai K, Anri A and Nagahata H 2003. Lactoferrin concentrations in milk from normal and subclinical mastitis cows. *The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science* 65, 319–323.
- Hallén E, Wedholm A, Andrén A and Lundén A 2008. Effect of  $\beta$ -casein,  $\kappa$ -casein and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin genotypes on concentration of milk protein variants. *Journal of Animal Breeding Genetics* 125, 119–129.
- Hamann J 2002. Relationships between somatic cell count and milk composition. *Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation* 372, 56–59.
- Hamann J 2003. Definition of the physiological cell count threshold based on changes in milk composition. *Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation* 381, 9–12.
- Haryani S, Datta N, Elliott AJ and Deeth HC 2003. Production of proteinases by psychrotrophic bacteria in raw milk stored at low temperature. *The Australian Journal of Dairy Technology* 58, 15–20.
- Herd Navigator 2009. About Herd Navigator. Retrieved 14 September 2009, from <http://www.herdnavigator.com/pages/id35.asp>
- Hiss S, Mueller U, Neu-Zahren A and Sauerwein H 2007. Haptoglobin and lactate dehydrogenase measurements in milk for the identification of subclinically diseased udder quarters. *Veterinárni Medicína* 52, 245–252.
- Hortet P and Seegers H 1998. Loss in milk yield and related compositional changes resulting from clinical mastitis dairy cows. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 37, 1–20.
- IDF 1993. Milk – determination of nitrogen content. In *International IDF Standard 20B: 1993*, Brussel.
- Kelly AL and Fox PF 2006. Indigenous enzymes in milk: a synopsis of future research requirements. *International Dairy Journal* 16, 707–715.
- Kelly AL, O'Flaherty F and Fox PF 2006. Indigenous proteolytic enzymes in milk: a brief overview of the present state of knowledge. *International Dairy Journal* 16, 563–572.
- Kitchen BJ 1981. Review of the progress of dairy science: bovine mastitis: milk compositional changes and related diagnostic tests. *Journal of Dairy Research* 48, 167–188.
- Laevens H, Deluyker H, Schukken YH, De Meulemeester L, Vandermeersch R, De Muecaronlenaere E and De Kruif A 1997. Influence of parity and stage of lactation on the somatic cell count in bacteriologically negative dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 80, 3219–3226.
- Larsen T 2005. Determination of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity in milk by a fluorometric assay. *Journal of Dairy Research* 72, 209–216.
- Le Roux Y, Colin O and Laurent F 1995. Proteolysis in samples of quarter milk with varying somatic cell counts. 1. Comparison of some indicators of endogenous proteolysis in milk. *Journal of Dairy Science* 78, 1289–1297.
- Le Roux Y, Laurent F and Moussaoui F 2003. Polymorphonuclear proteolytic activity and milk composition change. *Veterinary Research* 34, 629–645.
- Lindmark-Månsson H, Bränning C, Aldén G and Paulsson M 2006. Relationship between somatic cell count, individual leukocyte populations and milk components in bovine udder quarter milk. *International Dairy Journal* 16, 717–727.
- Lindqvist B, Roos T and Fujita H 1975. Auto-analyzer determination of free fatty acids in farm milk. Modification of present method to simplify transportation of the sample. *Milchwissenschaft* 30, 12–17.
- Ling ER, Kon SK and Porter JWG 1961. The composition of milk and the nutritive value of its components. In *Milk: the mammary gland and its secretion* (ed. SK Kon and AT Cowie), Academic Press Inc., London.
- Linzell JL and Peaker M 1972. Day-to-day variations in milk composition in the goat and cow as a guide to the detection of subclinical mastitis. *British Veterinary Journal* 128, 284–295.
- Ma Y, Ryan C, Barbano DM, Galton DM, Rudan MA and Boor KJ 2000. Effects of somatic cell count on quality and shelf-life of pasteurized fluid milk. *Journal of Dairy Science* 83, 264–274.
- McSweeney PLH, Fox PF and Olson NF 1995. Proteolysis of bovine caseins by cathepsin D: preliminary observations and comparison with chymosin. *International Dairy Journal* 5, 321–336.
- Munro GL, Grieve PA and Kitchen BJ 1984. Effects of mastitis on milk yield, milk composition, processing properties and yield and quality of milk products. *The Australian Journal of Dairy Technology* 39, 7–16.

Nielsen NI, Larsen T, Bjerring M and Ingvarsten KL 2005. Quarter health, milking interval, and sampling time during milking affect the concentration of milk constituents. *Journal of Dairy Science* 88, 3186–3200.

Politis I, Lachance E, Block E and Turner JD 1989. Plasmin and plasminogen in bovine milk: a relationship with involution? *Journal of Dairy Science* 72, 900–906.

Statistical Analysis Systems Institute (SAS) 2004. SAS/STAT® 9.1 User's Guide. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

Sordillo LM, Shafer-Weaver K and DeRosa D 1997. Immunobiology of the mammary gland. *Journal of Dairy Science* 80, 1851–1865.

Spörndly R 2003. Feed Tables for Ruminants 2003 (Fodertabeller för idisslare 2003). Department of Animal Nutrition and Management, SLU Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden.

Stelwagen K, Farr VC and McFadden HA 1999. Alteration of the sodium to potassium ratio in milk and the effect on milk secretion in goats. *Journal of Dairy Science* 82, 52–59.

Urech E, Puhan Z and Schällibaum M 1999. Changes in milk protein fraction as affected by subclinical mastitis. *Journal of Dairy Science* 82, 2402–2411.

Wiking L, Frøst MB, Larsen LB and Nielsen JH 2002. Effects of storage condition on lipolysis, proteolysis and sensory attributes in high quality raw milk. *Milchwissenschaft* 57, 190–194.