

# Customer Relationship Management and Customer Retention in Jordanian Bank

Mohammad Fayez Qasem<sup>1</sup>, Mohammed Abdallah Abukhadijeh<sup>2</sup>, Mohammad "Abd Alaffo" AlAdham<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sales & Marketing Director, Masader for Student Services, Amman, Jordan

<sup>2</sup>General Manager, Al Ittihad Schools, Jordan

<sup>3</sup>Operations Director, Masader for Student Services, Amman, Jordan

Correspondence: Mohammad "Abd Alaffo" AlAdham, Masader for Student Services, P. O. Box 211324, Amman 21111, Jordan. E-mail: info@masaderjo.com

Received: June 20, 2016

Accepted: July 5, 2016

Online Published: July 18, 2016

doi:10.5539/ibr.v9n9p41

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v9n9p41>

## Abstract

This study examined the impact of CRM Activities on bank's customer retention in Jordan. In order to collect the data of study the researchers designed a survey, the sample was collected from (405) customers of Jordanian banks' as respondents for the research questionnaire in 2016, by using a random sampling method, using Google form and survey monkey. 388 questionnaires were usable, incomplete returned questionnaires had been eliminated. The statistical results provide evidence on the significant positive relationship between CRM Activities and Attitudes of bank's customers. the significant analysis revealed that there is a significant positive relationship between the independent variables ( i.e CRM Activities represented Solving customers, Quality of service, Behavior of the employees, CRM technology; and Activities to retain) and Attitudes of Banks Customer as a dependent variable (trust, affective commitment, and customer retention), also the statistical analysis revealed that there is a no significant relationship between behavior of the employees and affective commitment, and activities to retain and customer retention.

**Keywords:** CRM, customer retention, Jordanian banks

## 1. Introduction

Meeting the desires, needs and expectations of customers or make the product or service is always at their fingertips which allowing them the way for the re-purchase and customer retention, this is called customer satisfaction (Anton, 1996). While lemon et al, (2002) defined customer satisfaction as comparing the expectations of the customers of the product before purchasing with the results obtained after purchasing the product. On the other hand, there are several things to ensure customer satisfaction by the persistence of the company to produce new products and improving existing products, and this is what makes the customer continue shopping for a long period of time, speaks about the company products with pride, and does not care for trademarks for companies that compete with the company's products, and indifferent to the price, in addition to offer the ideas and proposals for better products and services (Kotler, 2000).

As for Tarhan (2004), the capacity to deal with customers in different ways and the practices which focus on the needs and values of each customer, this enables companies to maintain their customers; and this subject is one of the most important topics in these days because of the lack of customer satisfaction. Companies should maintain a relationship with customers to maintain them for a longer period, and this can only be done through the provision of services that meet and exceed their needs (Ang & Buttle, 2006).

Winer (2001) focuses on the need to establish successful reciprocal relationships with customers through understanding their behaviors and focus on customer retention who achieve a profit in the long term for the company. While Egan (2004) contradict him, because he said that no company can continue the retention to all of its customers. This is due to several factors, the most important is that the customer can choose another product or service, whether temporary or permanent period in highly competitive markets. Egan (2004) also said that the issue of maintaining the customers for a long time is likely to be prohibitive and not profitable for companies. He also believes that firms must know when to 'cut and run' (Egan, 2004). Thus, the companies consider the idea of customer retention as a strategy. Moreover, Egan (2004) defined customer retention strategies as the strategies that aimed at maintaining the existing customers of the company in order to ensure customer loyalty over time.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 CRM

In 1920s, the concept of “Relationship Marketing” has emerged, in the late of 1990s, it has begun attention to the concept of “Customer Relationship Management” (CRM) clearly among academics and partitions. The last name has focused on different vital sides, especially on the importance of having a direct relationship between the clients and the markets, in addition to maintaining the current customers, and the most important is to build strong relationships in the long term with customers instead of dealing with them only in order to achieve the profitability of the company in the light of the growing economy nowadays (Ismail & et. al., 2007, Jayachandran & et. al., 2015). So that, the organization drew attention to manage customer relationship management and investment abundance in the necessary infrastructure with particular attention to the significant progress in the area of information technology (Ismail & et al., 2007; Jayachandran & et. al., 2015). Therefore, Egan (2004) believed that the improvement of performance can be developed by focusing on the customer relationship management.

CRM is one of the most important objectives in at least 60% of the projects in the world. The great advances in technology was an important reason for the division of territories in the market, strengthen relationships with customers, and provide adequate information that will help in finding effective methods of dealing with customers. From the perspective of Ang & Buttle, (2006), CRM would be realization and understanding in terms of beneficiaries if they have flexibility in the use and implementation.

Researchers disagree in determining the definition of customer relationship management. This difference is caused by a difference of scientific information for each one of those researchers. The first definition was by Swift (2000), he defined CRM as a tool to realize the behavior of customers by the great communication with them to increase the effectiveness of performance that can be achieved only by attracting customers, retaining them and increasing loyalty and profitability to them. Based on the above, we can see that CRM definition is that the most successful way to understand the behavior of customers is the communication itself with the organization. As for Stone et al., (2011), the definition of CRM was gathering a lot of information about customers and keeping them in order to divide the territories, analysis and reuse. This definition of CRM focuses on gathering and keeping information. Another definition to this concept was the use of company's capacity in the area of research methodology and e-commerce technology for customer relationships management (Stone et al., (2011). Here we see that the definition depends on the skill of the use of technology in order to deal with customers. Moreover, the definition of Parvatiyar and Sheth (2012) showed that CRM is an integrated plan that can be used in order to collect customers, keep them and deal with them to achieve a great relationship between the company and the customer. In this plan, it should be combining the functions of marketing, sales, series of exposition and customer service in order to reach a better level of efficiency and effectiveness in the provision of added value for customers. CRM is also considered as a strategy aims to provide excellent value to customers by improving productivity, marketing and satisfaction.

### 2.2 Customer Retention

There are many researchers considered that CRM should utilize technology to achieve customers' satisfaction. For instance, Stone et al., 2011 defined the term CRM as the way to manage customer relationship by the use of research, technology, and e-commerce.

In addition, Feinberg & Kadam 2002 stressed on the importance of using technology such as online business and internet as tools in CRM. They proved their point of view by conducting a study on 42 different e-CRM features used by retailers. They found that there is a link between using the features of CRM in retailers' websites and the satisfaction of the customers.

Moreover, Azzam (2014) inspected the effect of CRM on consumer satisfaction in Jordanian banks. The study recommended that there is no statistical relationship between customer relationship management components and consumer satisfaction as a dependent variable. The statistical analysis uncovered that there is a significant relationship between (CRM components represented by service quality, employee's behavior, customer data base, solving customer problems, physical environment, and social network interaction) as independent variables and the dependent variable consumer satisfaction in the banking industry.

To get a deep understanding of the effect of customer loyalty programs on customer retention, the study of Ang & Buttle, (2006) was connected on Jordanian clients, exploring the effect of loyalty programs: (point system, tier system reward, charges an upfront fee for VIP benefits and nonmonetary programs), on (customer retention) using a survey. The results showed a significant impact of all loyalty programs on building and keeping up customer retention. The major impact was for Tier framework reward followed after by charge Up-front fee for VIP benefits, and after that point frame point system work, the weakest impact was for non-monetary programs

### 3. Research Methodology

The current study is an exploratory management research. It tends to adopt an analytical methodology. In terms of the research methods applied in this study, a quantitative research approach has been adopted where a research randomly questionnaire has been specially designed for a purpose of data collection from the targeted population.

#### 3.1 Research Method Design

The study questionnaire survey has basically two sections, the first section is used to gather demographic information of the respondents, while the other one is used to measure the constructs of the research model using a 5-point Likert scale, with options ranging from “very unimportant” to “very important” and “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree”.

#### 3.2 Hypotheses

According to previous studies the researchers developed the following hypotheses:

- H1: Solving customer’s problems and complaints is positively related to trust from the point of view of banks’ customers.
- H2: Quality of services is positively related to trust from the point of view of banks’ customers.
- H3: Behavior of the employees is positively related to customer retention from the point of view of banks’ customers.
- H4: Behavior of the employees is positively related to affective commitment from the point of view of banks’ customers.
- H5: CRM technology is positively related to customer retention from the point of view of banks’ customers.
- H6: CRM technology is positively related to trust from the point of view of banks’ customers.
- H7: Activities to retain customers is positively related to customer retention from the point of view of banks’ customers.
- H8: Activities to retain customers is positively related to affective commitment from the point of view of banks’ customers.

#### 3.3 Research Population and Sample

The study was conducted in 2016 using the means of questionnaires. The questionnaire was drafted and then reviewed by research supervisors for refinement. The questionnaires were distributed randomly among Jordanian banks customers by using Google form. A total of 405 responses have been received, of which 388 are usable, incomplete returned questionnaires customers have been eliminated.

#### 3.4 Research Model




Figure 1. Model of the study

3.5 Data Analysis Methods

After the data collection and research based on Structural Equation analysis, it was thoroughly analyzed by researchers in order to elicit the results using the statistical analysis (SPSS 22 Amos).

4. Results and Discussion

After the data collecting and for research based on Statistics techniques used in the preceding chapter, the researchers has analyzed these data that was collected to elicit the results of The Effect of CRM on Customer retention. This Chapter includes two main parts; the first part is concerned with the methodology. The second one is concerned with data analysis and results, including four main aspects; the first of which is concerned with the research tool reliability testing. The second one is concerned with the descriptive analysis. The third aspect is a further assurance for validation of data. As for the fourth one, it represents testing the research hypotheses using Structural Equation analysis.

4.1 Research Tool Reliability Testing

To obtain the necessary data for this research, the researchers have designed a questionnaire using it as a tool for the research, in order to be answered by the customers of Jordanian banks.

The questionnaire consisted of two sections as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Research Tool Components

|  |  |
|--|--|
| First Section: Demographical Information:        | Genders, Age, Degree, and Do you have bank account?<br>How frequently you do transaction on your bank account? |
| Second Section: CRM on Customer retention        |  |
| Solving Customers Problems and Complaints (SCPC) | 4 Questions  |
| Quality of Services (QS)                         | 4 Questions  |
| Behavior of the Employees (BE)                   | 4 Questions  |
| Customer retention (CR)                          | 5 Questions  |
| Trust (T)  | 4 Questions  |
| CRM Technology(CRMT)                             | 5 Questions  |
| Activities to retain customers (ARC)             | 4 Questions  |
| Affective commitment (AC)                        | 4 Questions  |

One of the most essential elements should be taken into consideration when preparing a questionnaire is testing it and making sure it has the reliability element, by which we mean the stability of the scale and that it does not contradict itself. In other words, it has to give the same results if it is re-applied to the same sample. To conduct reliability test for the questionnaire questions, the researchers has used the internal consistency equation by using the Cronbach's Alpha coefficient whose value reached as follows:

Table 2. Study Tool Testing

| Item   | Cronbach's Coefficient | Alpha | Sum of questions |
|--|------------------------|-------|------------------|
| Solving Customers Problems and Complaints (SCPC) | 0.87                   | 4     |                  |
| Quality of Services (QS)                         | 0.86                   | 4     |                  |
| Behavior of the Employees (BE)                   | 0.86                   | 4     |                  |
| Customer retention (CR)                          | 0.82                   | 5     |                  |
| Trust (T)  | 0.90                   | 4     |                  |
| CRM Technology (CRMT)                            | 0.82                   | 5     |                  |
| Activities to retain customers (ARC)             | 0.80                   | 4     |                  |
| Affective commitment (AC)                        | 0.81                   | 4     |                  |
| All Variables                                    | 0.841                  | 34    |                  |

As given in Table 2, it is noted that Cronbach's Alpha coefficient ratios indicate there is a high degree of reliability for all of the questionnaire questions, where alpha coefficient was statistically acceptable for the whole components of the questionnaire since all of the variables ratios were higher than (60%) (Aladham et al., 2015), in which the questionnaire had, as a whole, a reliability degree of 0.841.

4.2 Descriptive Analysis

The researcher has distributed 405 questionnaires on the research sample; (17) were excluded due to the lack of seriousness from respondents when filling out the sections of questionnaire. Thus, the final sample of the research is represented by (388) questionnaires. Table 3 illustrates the demographic distribution of the research sample.

Table 3. Sample Characteristics (N=388)

| Genders                   |     |      |
|---------------------------|-----|------|
| Male                      | 148 | 38%  |
| Female                    | 240 | 62%  |
| Age                       |     |      |
| From 18-24                | 103 | 27%  |
| From 25-29                | 146 | 38%  |
| From 30-34                | 87  | 22%  |
| Above 35                  | 52  | 13%  |
| Degree                    |     |      |
| Diploma                   | 44  | 11%  |
| Bachelor                  | 243 | 63%  |
| Masters                   | 61  | 16%  |
| Doctorate                 | 40  | 10%  |
| Do you have bank account? |     |      |
| Yes                       | 347 | 89%  |
| No                        | 41  | 11%  |
| Sum                       | 388 | 100% |

Sample Characteristics is shown in Table 3. As reported, most of the respondents (35%) are greater than 30 years, most of them (89%) have a Bachelor degree.

Table 4 views the descriptive analysis for the answers of the questions which are related to the variables of the study. It is notable from table 4 That the lowest variable in terms of the answers average is "CRM Technology", whilst the highest one is "Solving Customers Problems and Complaints" It is also clear from the table that the average of most of the answers is ranging between agree and mostly agree.

Table 4. Descriptive Analysis of the study Variables

| Item   | Mean | Result         | S - Deviation |
|--|------|----------------|---------------|
| Solving Customers Problems and Complaints (SCPC) | 4.24 | Very important |               |
| Q1   | 4.23 |                | 0.315         |
| Q2   | 4.16 |                | 0.698         |
| Q3   | 4.32 |                | 0.507         |
| Q4   | 4.25 |                | 0.431         |
| Quality of Services (QS)                         | 4.10 | Agree          |               |
| Q1   | 3.94 |                | 0.571         |
| Q2   | 4.03 |                | 0.619         |
| Q3   | 4.35 |                | 0.599         |
| Q4   | 4.09 |                | 0.583         |
| Behavior of the Employees (BE)                   | 3.78 | Agree          |               |
| Q1   | 3.43 |                | 0.756         |
| Q2   | 4.07 |                | 0.641         |
| Q3   | 3.90 |                | 0.613         |
| Q4   | 3.71 |                | 0.676         |
| Customer retention (CR)                          | 4.23 | Strongly Agree |               |
| Q1   | 4.22 |                | 0.765         |
| Q2   | 4.26 |                | 0.639         |
| Q3   | 4.31 |                | 0.520         |
| Q4   | 4.12 |                | 0.592         |
| Q5   | 4.29 |                | 0.322         |
| Trust (T)  | 4.07 | Strongly Agree |               |
| Q1   | 4.14 |                | 0.533         |
| Q2   | 4.04 |                | 0.563         |
| Q3   | 3.97 |                | 0.573         |
| Q4   | 4.16 |                | 0.596         |
| CRM Technology (CRMT)                            | 3.46 | Agree          |               |
| Q1   | 3.51 |                | 0.538         |
| Q2   | 1.66 |                | 0.431         |
| Q3   | 4.02 |                | 0.617         |
| Q4   | 3.76 |                | 0.701         |
| Q5   | 4.34 |                | 0.746         |
| Activities to retain customers (ARC)             | 4.23 | Strongly Agree |               |
| Q1   | 4.26 |                | 0.638         |
| Q2   | 4.31 |                | 0.521         |
| Q3   | 4.12 |                | 0.582         |
| Q4   | 4.29 |                | 0.323         |
| Affective commitment (AC)                        | 4.23 | Strongly Agree |               |
| Q1   | 4.24 |                | 0.312         |
| Q2   | 4.15 |                | 0.696         |
| Q3   | 4.36 |                | 0.503         |
| Q4   | 4.19 |                | 0.436         |

4.3 Validation

The proposed model was evaluated using Path model (Structural Equation analysis) which consists of measurement model and structural model assessment. The measurement model represents how measured variables come together to represent constructs while structural model shows how constructs are associated with each other (Hair et al., 2006). SPSS AMOS 16.0 was the statistical software used in the study.

Table 5 shows various fit indices. We do not use  $\chi^2$  statistics because of its high sensitivity to number of sample size (Hong et al., 2003; Levesque et al., 2004). Instead we used the ratio of  $\chi^2$  and degree of freedom and obtained the value of 2.181 which is within the value of 3.00 recommended by Hayduk (1987). Moreover, in order to test fitness of model, Comparative Fit Index (CFI) is used. The range of this value is from 0.00 to 1.00. In general, a reasonable model represents the value of CFI which is larger than 0.90 Bentler, (1990). While we obtained the value of 0.921 for CFI which is bigger than the value of 0.90 recommended, we admitted that our constructs are usable. On the other hand, the value of Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) is 0.039 which is within 0.05 recommended by Hu and Bentler (1999). The value of (GFI) is 0.907 which is larger than 0.8 recommended by Bagozzi and Yi, (1988). The value of (AGFI) is 0.834 which is larger than 0.8 recommended by Hu and Bentler (1999). The value of (NFI) is 0.946 which is larger than 0.9 recommended by Bentler (1990). For this reason, we conclude that our model fitness is reasonable and usable to explain the relationship between CRM elements and customer retention.

Table 5. Fitness of measurement model (N=388)

| Fit Index | Recommended Value | Structural Model |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------|
| DF        | < 3               | 2.181            |
| GFI       | > 0.8             | 0.907            |
| AGFI      | > 0.8             | 0.834            |
| NFI       | > 0.9             | 0.946            |
| CFI       | > 0.9             | 0.921            |
| RMSEA     | < 0.05            | 0.039            |

Moreover, we tested convergent validity as shown in Table 6. Firstly, all of factor loadings are larger than the value of 0.50 recommended by Hair et al. (1998). Secondly, the values of average variance extracted (AVE) for each construct are larger than 0.50. Thirdly, the composite reliability (CR) is higher than 0.7 (Alsheikh et al., 2016). Thus, we could conclude that our constructs had the convergent validity.

Table 6. Result of convergent validity

| Factor | Item | Factor Loading | Average Variance Extracted (AVE) | Construct Reliability (CR) | Cronbach's Alpha |
|--------|------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| SCPC   | Q1   | 0.83           | 0.693                            | 0.870                      | 0.87             |
|        | Q2   | 0.87           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q3   | 0.85           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q4   | 0.84           |                                  |                            |                  |
| QS     | Q1   | 0.78           | 0.689                            | 0.867                      | 0.86             |
|        | Q2   | 0.77           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q3   | 0.71           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q4   | 0.74           |                                  |                            |                  |
| BE     | Q1   | 0.72           | 0.680                            | 0.864                      | 0.86             |
|        | Q2   | 0.74           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q3   | 0.77           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q4   | 0.75           |                                  |                            |                  |
| CR     | Q1   | 0.76           | 0.613                            | 0.825                      | 0.82             |
|        | Q2   | 0.87           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q3   | 0.81           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q4   | 0.52           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q5   | 0.87           |                                  |                            |                  |
| T      | Q1   | 0.86           | 0.767                            | 0.907                      | 0.90             |
|        | Q2   | 0.77           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q3   | 0.71           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q4   | 0.70           |                                  |                            |                  |
| CRMT   | Q1   | 0.84           | 0.696                            | 0.820                      | 0.82             |
|        | Q2   | 0.88           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q3   | 0.83           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q4   | 0.75           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q5   | 0.82           |                                  |                            |                  |
| ARC    | Q1   | 0.88           | 0.675                            | 0.805                      | 0.80             |
|        | Q2   | 0.90           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q3   | 0.86           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q4   | 0.77           |                                  |                            |                  |
| AC     | Q1   | 0.87           | 0.530                            | 0.770                      | 0.81             |
|        | Q2   | 0.75           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q3   | 0.78           |                                  |                            |                  |
|        | Q4   | 0.72           |                                  |                            |                  |

We tested the discriminant validity by comparing the correlation coefficients of the construct and the square roots of average variance extracted for the construct Table 7. The correlation coefficients of the construct should be less than square roots of average variance extracted. Thus, our model in this study shows satisfactory discriminant validity.

Table 7. Result of discriminant validity

| Variable | SCPC | QS   | BE   | CR   | T    | CRMT | ARC  | AC   |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SCPC     | 0.83 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| QS       | 0.49 | 0.83 |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| BE       | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.82 |      |      |      |      |      |
| CR       | 0.36 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.78 |      |      |      |      |
| T        | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.87 |      |      |      |
| CRMT     | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0.84 |      |      |
| ARC      | 0.40 | 0.61 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.82 |      |
| AC       | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.73 |

Note: \* Diagonal value is the square roots of average variance extracted

#### 4.4 Testing Hypothesis (Structural Model)




Figure 2. Result of research model (N=388)

Figure 2 shows the result of testing hypotheses, Note that three hypotheses, H1, H2, and H6 are positively significant to customer trust. Two hypotheses, H3 and H5 are positively significant to customer retention. One hypothesis, H8 is positively significant to affective commitment. While H4 have no significant relationship with affective commitment, H7 also have no significant relationship with customer retention. In H1 SCPC has a positive significant effect on T (path coefficients = 0.61,  $p < 0.0023$ ). H2 indicates that QS has positive significant effect on T, where path coefficients is 0.36 and  $p$  is 0.0036. in H3 BE also has a positive significant effect on CR (path coefficients = 0.16,  $p < 0.0001$ ). on other had H4 indicates that BE has no significant effect on AC. while H5 indicates that CRMT has positive significant effect on CR, where path coefficients is 0.37 and  $p$  is 0.0001. in H6 CRMT has positive significant effect on T, where path coefficients is 0.18 and  $p$  is 0.0001. H7 indicates that ARC has no significant effect on CR. while H8 indicates that ARC has positive significant effect on AC, where path coefficients is 0.28 and  $p$  is 0.0001.

## 5. Results & Conclusion

### 5.1 Summarized Results

1. Solving customers' problems and complains is positively related to trust.

2. Quality of service is positively related to trust.
3. Behavior of the employees is positively related with customer retention.
4. Behavior of the employees is not related with affective commitment.
5. CRM technology is positively related to customer retention.
6. CRM technology is positively related to trust.
7. Activities to retain customers is not related with customer retention.
8. Activities to retain customers is related positively with affective commitment.

### 5.2 Discussion

**H1** finds those solving customers' problems and complains periodically and in a short time leads to increasing trust between the customers and the bank, it finds also that when the banks dealing effectively with customer problem, review their complaints periodically, and tackle their problems in a short time affect their trust. **H2** indicates that the quality of service is positively related to trust. It indicates also that when the bank provides services quickly, and Confidentiality in dealing with customers, it plays a significant role in customer trust. one of the most important issues related to the quality of services the bank provides when serving customers is confidentiality and accuracy on which customer satisfaction depends leading to increasing his/her trust in the bank. Besides, the speed of service delivery to the customer, along with developing the provided services and products and facilitating the access process to accounts through opening more branches; all together increase customer's trust in the bank. **H3** shows that the kind behavior of employees, in addition to their rich skills and experiences are positively related to customer retention, it shows also that sincere treatment by bank employees, being helpful, Kindness, and providing banking services by highly skillful and experienced employees is important to customer retention. **H4** finds that the behavior of employees is not related to affective commitment, it finds also that Sincere treatment by bank employees, being helpful, Kindness, and Providing banking services by highly skillful and experienced employees is important to affective commitment. researchers refers this to the fact that despite the importance of the bank's employees attitudes with their customers on customers commitment to dealing with the bank; yet, with reference to the descriptive analysis findings, as found in table (3), the majority of the ages in the study sample is young, particularly from university students whom their biggest dealing with the bank is through the (ATM) and their dealing with the bank's employees is very small compared to others who do many procedures that require a constant dealing with the bank's stuff; the matter of which leads, from the perspective of this study, to reducing the relationship between the behavior of employees and affective commitment.

Customer relationship management technology is considered to be one of the important issues that banks need to employ in order to be able to retain as many customers as possible. The findings of **H5** & **H6** indicate that CRM technology is positively related to both customer retention and trust as having developed technological systems that manage customers' relationships, provide personal services, and are capable of creating contacts with customers help increase customer's trust and customer retention.

The bank's activities that aim at retaining customers increase their customer's commitment to the bank and may also cause them to promote for this bank to others. **H8** finds that there is a statistically significant relationship between the activities to retain customers and affective commitment. On the contrary, **H7** finds that there is no relationship between the activities to retain customers and affective commitment. **H8** & **H7** Find also that when The bank maintaining interactive communication, customizing products and services based on customers' needs, attempt to build a long term relationship with customers, and working to meet their service expectations, it affect customer retention and their affective commitment. The reason for this may go to the fact that the bank's activities to retain customers lead to customers' commitment of dealing with that bank; however, this does not necessary mean that the customer is so satisfied of the bank that he/she will positively talk about it and well promote it to other people. Besides, the majority of the study sample illustrated in the descriptive analysis table represents young ages of university students. Therefore, their promotion for a certain bank would be small since they have not yet reached the stage of promoting a bank to anyone else, especially that the dealing of such sort of customers is limited on certain procedures and thus, they lack the full knowledge of the bank.

### 5.3 Concluding Remarks

The process of effectively integrating the management of customer groups, head of an organization, and business is called Customer Relationships Management (CRM). It is actually a means used in facilitating business and therefore enhancing the relationship between customers and banks. The findings of this research, which has been supported by various data and quantitative analysis, indicate the importance of CRM in banks as it will benefit these banks by optimizing profitability and income and helping meet customers' needs. In fact, the most important thing an effective



CRM provides to banks is customer retention as when the customer is satisfied, he/she will be loyal to the bank and will positively talk about it to others. As a result, the business will be improved and more profits as well as revenues will be generated. Accordingly, through this research, the researchers acknowledge three important variables affecting CRM: Customer retention, Trust and Affective commitment.

#### 5.4 Limitations

Although the findings are encouraging and useful, the present study has a certain limitation. The questionnaire was distributed to a small sample, which means that the answers were, somehow, similar. In order to overcome this limitation, we could have broadened our sample to different age groups.

Also the researchers believe that the time frame to conduct the research was not enough. Therefore, if the questionnaire was distributed to people at different times, the results might have slightly been altered as the opinions of people differ with any minimal change in vision and sight, especially that the opinion about a bank of any customer have a new account differ when he\ she deal with the bank later.

#### References

- AlAdham, M., Qasem, M., Al-Nimer, M., & Yousef, A. A. (2015). The Impact of Marketing Strategy on Profitability in Medical Jordanian Corporations. *International Business Research*, 8(11), 61. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v8n11p61>
- Alsheikh, E. Y., Aladham, M. A., Qasem, M. F., & Yousef, A. A. (2016). Factors Affecting Corporate Tax Evasion: Evidence from Jordan. *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research*, 4(6).
- Ang, L., & Buttle, F. (2006). Customer retention management processes-a quantitative study. *European Journal of Marketing*, 40(1/2), 83-99.
- Anton, J. (1996). *Customer Relationship Management*, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc.
- Azzam, K. (2014). The Impact of Customer Relationship Management on Customer Satisfaction in the Banking Industry –A Case of Jordan. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 6(32).
- Bagozzi, R., & Yi, Y. (1988). On the evaluation of structural equation models. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 16, 74-94. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02723327>
- Bentler, B. (1990). Comparative Fit Indices in Structural Models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107(2), 238-246. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.107.2.238>
- Egan, J. (2004). *Relationship marketing: exploring relational strategies in marketing*, 2nd edition. Pearson education limited: England.
- Feinberg, R., & Kadam, R. (2002). E-CRM Web service attributes as determinants of customer satisfaction with retail Web sites. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 13(5), 432-451. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09564230210447922>
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2006). *Multivariate Data Analysis*, Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Hair, J. J., Anderson, R., Tatham, R., & Black, W. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis* (5th editions), United States: Practice-Hall.
- Hayduk, L. (1987). *Structural equation modeling with LISREL*, Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Hong, S., Malik, M., & Lee, M. (2003). Testing configural, metric, scalar, and latent mean invariance across genders in sociotropy and autonomy using non-western sample, *Educational and Psychology Measurement*, 63, 636-654.
- Hu, L., & Bentler, P. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1-55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>
- Ismail, H. B., Talukder, D., & Panni, M. F. A. K. (2007). Technology dimension of CRM: the orientation level and its impact on the business performance of SMEs in Malaysia. *International Journal of Electronic Customer Relationship Management*, 1(1), 16-29. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJECRM.2007.014423>
- Jayachandran, S., Sharma, S., Kaufman, P., & Raman, P. (2015). The role of relational information processes and technology use in customer relationship management. *Journal of marketing*, 69(4), 177-192. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.2005.69.4.177>
- Kotler, P. (2000). *Marketing Management* "(Millennium Edition), (Nejat Muallimoğul that turned the tenth edition),

Beta Press, Publication No. 1035.

- Lemon, K. N., White, T., & Winer, R. S. (2002). Dynamic Customer Relationship Management: Incorporating Future Considerations into The Service Retention Decision. *Journal of Marketing*, 66. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.66.1.1.18447>
- Levesque, C., Zuehlke, A., Stanek, L., & Ryan, R. (2004). Autonomy and competence in German and American university students: A comparative study based on self-determination theory. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96, 68-84. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.96.1.68>
- Parvatiyar, A., & Sheth, J. N. (2012). Customer relationship management: Emerging practice, process, and discipline. *Journal of Economic and Social research*, 3(2), 1-34.
- Stone, M., Findlay, G., Evans, M., & Leonard, M. (2011). Data chaos-A court case waiting to Happen. *International Journal of Customer Relationship Management*, 4, 167-183.
- Swift, R. S. (2000). CRM-Creating New Customer Value and High Return on Investment, Presentation at Northwestern University's Kellogg Graduate School of Management, Executive Education.
- Tarhan, B. (2004). *Marketing Turkey*, Editor, 17.
- Winer, R. S. (2001). A framework for customer relationship management. *California management review*, 43(4). <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/41166102>

### Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).