animal

Comparison of flock characteristics, journey duration and pathology between flocks with a normal and a high percentage of broilers 'dead-on-arrival' at abattoirs

K. E. Kittelsen[1†], R. O. Moe[2], K. Hoel[3], Ø. Kolbjørnsen[3], O. Nafstad[1] and E. G. Granquist[2]

[1]*Animalia – Norwegian Meat and Poultry Research Center, NO-0513 Oslo, Norway;* [2]*Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, NO-0033 Oslo, Norway;* [3]*Norwegian Veterinary Institute (NVI), NO-0454 Oslo, Norway*

This study investigated high mortality in broilers transported to slaughter in Norway by comparing data from flocks with normal and high mortality during transportation. The data sources consisted of necropsy findings in 535 broilers dead-on-arrival (DOA), production data and slaughterhouse data, along with average journey duration for the 61 associated flocks. The mean Norwegian DOA% for 2015 was 0.10. In this study, normal-mortality flocks were defined as flocks with a mean DOA% up to 0.30 and high mortality as flocks with a mean DOA% above 0.30. DOA% was calculated per flock. The most frequent pathological finding was lung congestion which was observed in 75.5% of the DOA broilers. This postmortem *finding was significantly more common in broilers from high-mortality flocks (89.3%) than in DOA broilers from normal-mortality flocks (58%). The following variables had a significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher median in the high-mortality flocks: flock size, 1st week mortality, foot pad lesion score, carcass rejection numbers and journey duration. The results indicate that high broiler mortality during transportation to the abattoir may be linked to several steps in the broiler production chain. The results suggest that preventive measures are to be considered in improvement of health and environmental factors during the production period and throughout the journey duration.*

Keywords: dead-on-arrival, broiler, high-mortality flocks, *postmortem* findings, animal welfare

Implications

This paper compared flocks with normal and high dead-on-arrival (DOA) numbers. The results showed that there are differences in the *postmortem* findings in DOA broilers from flocks with normal and high DOA%. In addition, the following variables had a significantly higher frequency in the high-mortality flocks: flock size, 1st week mortality, foot pad lesion (FPL) score, carcass rejection numbers and journey duration. It is important to reduce high mortality during transportation for both animal welfare and economic reasons. Aspects to consider for future improvements are health and environmental factors during the production period and journey duration.

Introduction

The broiler meat industry is one of the largest livestock sectors worldwide; the annual production is estimated to comprise ~60 billion slaughtered broiler chickens (The Poultry Site, 2014).

The majority of these broilers are transported from farm to the abattoir before slaughter. Mortality during the journey is a recognized problem, both due to animal welfare issues, but also due to the considerable economic losses resulting from the large number of animals involved (Ritz *et al.*, 2005). Although the term welfare is relevant only when an animal is alive, mortality during the journey is likely preceded by a period of poor welfare and the percentage of broilers DOA can possibly be used as a quick indication of pre-slaughter welfare (Jacobs *et al.*, 2016).

Reports of broiler mortality during transportation vary greatly between countries and studies; from 0.12% to 0.46% (Haslam *et al.*, 2008 , Lund *et al.*, 2013; Jacobs *et al.*, 2016). A wide range of risk factors associated with DOA have been identified. These include catching-methods, the duration and length of the journey, lairage duration, thermal stress and density of birds in transport containers (Warriss *et al.*, 2005; Vecerek *et al.*, 2006; Mitchell and Kettlewell, 2009; Watts *et al.*, 2011). The welfare implication of these stressors and their combinations may range from mild discomfort to death (Mitchell and Kettlewell, 2009). Dead-on-arrival may also be linked to factors that are not directly related to the

† E-mail: kathe.kittelsen@animalia.no

transportation process *per se*; for example farm characteristics, such as flock size, mortality rates during the production period and BW (Nijdam *et al.*, 2004; Drain *et al.*, 2007; Whiting *et al.*, 2007; Chauvin *et al.*, 2011; Jacobs *et al.*, 2016). The most common *postmortem* findings in DOA broilers are signs of cardiac arrest and circulatory disorder, infections, ascites and traumas like liver ruptures and fractures (Ritz *et al.*, 2005; Nijdam *et al.*, 2006; Lund *et al.*, 2013).

The DOA% in Norway is continuously monitored by the industry and by the official veterinarians at the abattoirs. The DOA% have shown a decreasing trend over the last 5 years and in 2015, the mean DOA% was 0.10 for all flocks transported to Norwegian abattoirs (Animalia, 2015). In the same year, 4.9% of the broiler flocks transported in Norway were defined as high mortality (i.e. DOA above 0.30%, range 0.32 to 5.60%) by the Norwegian poultry industry. Few scientific studies have compared broiler flocks with normal and high mortality during transportation. The overall aim of this study was to gain more knowledge of factors contributing to high mortality during transportation by comparing *postmortem* findings in DOA broilers from normal- and high-mortality flocks (DOA numbers above 0.30%) and to compare production data and journey characteristics from the associated flocks. This study may aid in designing future epidemiological studies on risk factors and causal relationships associated with high- and normal-mortality transportations.

Material and methods

A retrospective cohort study was established to study normal- and high-mortality broiler transportations by comparing *postmortem* findings in DOA broilers from these transportations, along with farm characteristics, production data and journey data from the associated flocks. The statistical unit for calculation of DOA% was the mortality for all vehicles from the same flock and the flocks were assigned to two groups according to the mortality during transit. These two groups were treated as exposed (high mortality) and unexposed (normal mortality), as the two exposure groups displayed clearly distinct journey characteristics. A flock was comprised of broilers from the same barn, of the same age and hybrid and slaughtered at the same day. All flocks were of the hybrid Ross 308, mixed gender and fed *ad libitum*. Descriptive statistics for the two groups are listed in Table 1.

For flocks with normal DOA%, the current study sampled *postmortem* findings, production data and journey characteristics from a database collected in a previous study (Kittelsen *et al.*, 2015). These data were collected from February 2012 to February 2013, according to a predesigned scheme (236 broilers from 32 different flocks, median DOA% 0.08, range 0.01 to 0.30%). High mortality was defined by the Norwegian broiler industry as flocks with mean DOA% above 0.30 (Atle Løvland, personal communication). For the high-mortality group, data were collected from January 2013 to September 2014. Abattoir personnel collected DOA broilers when the DOA% exceeded 0.30. Accordingly, a total of 299 broilers from 29 high-mortality

flocks were sampled (median DOA% 0.67, range 0.32 to 2.26%), representing 9.5% (*n* = 304) of all high-mortality transportations in Norway during that specific period.

Catching were performed manually in 57 flocks and four flocks were caught by machine ('Chicken Cat'; JTT Conveying A/S Bredsten, Denmark). All four machine caught flocks had high transportation mortality. All transport containers had a firm metal frame containing eight drawers (the modular Marel Poultry GP Live Bird Handling System) with room for ~40 broilers at the median Norwegian broiler slaughter age of 31 days for both groups; that is one container held ~320 broilers.

From all 61 journeys (32 normal and 29 high mortality) a maximum of 10 DOA broilers were collected at random by the slaughterhouse personnel and sent fresh by express mail service to the Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Pathology Section, Oslo for *postmortem* examination. For some of the normal-mortality flocks, a number of 10 DOA broilers were not reached, due to low DOA% for the flock.

Source of data

(1) *Postmortem* examinations: a total of 236 DOA broilers from normal-mortality flocks and 299 DOA broilers from high-mortality flocks were subjected to gross *postmortem* examination by five trained veterinary pathologists according to a standard procedure at the Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Pathology Section, Oslo. Inter-observer reliability was not tested between the pathologists. All gross *postmortem* findings and diagnosis were considered and reported. Virology, bacteriology and histology were not performed. Broilers were allocated to pathological categories according to the *postmortem* findings. The diagnoses with the criteria were: lung congestion (congested and edematous lungs, with or without congestion of the liver and spleen, with or without mottled red and white pectoral muscles), trauma (fractures, liver rupture), ascites (accumulation of serous fluid in the abdominal cavity), tibial dyschondroplasia (TD) (a large mass of cartilage originating from the growth plate, primarily in the proximal tibiotarsus) endocarditis (irregular vegetation on the heart valves/walls of the cardiac chambers) and hepatitis (enlarged liver with gray and yellow foci). All pathological findings were registered and therefore, some of the birds received more than one diagnosis.

(2) Production data: farm and slaughterhouse data were collected for the respective 61 flocks. These included flock size, 1st week mortality, total mortality on farm, FPL, daily weight gain and slaughter weight. Production and slaughterhouse data were obtained from the abattoirs which collected it from the producers. Foot pad lesion were scored on 100 feet from each flock. The feet were scored from 0 to 2; 0 = no lesions, 1 = small, superficial lesions, 2 = deep lesions, then the scores were added to a total FPL score for the flock in the range of 0 to 200.

(3) Journey data: for all the 61 flocks in the study, information regarding the journey duration and distance were collected from the abattoirs. One flock consisted of two to four

Table 1 *Descriptive statistics for the normal- (n = 32 flocks) and high-mortality (n = 29 flocks) group*

	Mean numbers from the normal-mortality group			Mean numbers from the high-mortality group			Coeff. high-mortality transports	SE	P-value
		Median	Min./max.		Median	Min./max.			
Flock size	18 621	18 800	11 250/25 500	17 858	18 000	11 250/25 800	−763.6[4]	300.3	0.01
1st week mortality (%)	1.1	0.93	0.33/3.02	1.25	1.21	0.45/3.2	0.28[5]	0.08	0.00
Total mortality on farm (%)	3.0	3.04	1.26/4.96	3.1	3	0.92/6.4	0.07[4]	0.10	0.51
Slaughter age (days)	31.3	31	30/34	31.4	31	27/34	0[5]	0.14	1.00
Journey duration (min)	99.8	53	5/480	190.1	210	35/370	157[5]	7.22	0.00
Dead-on-arrival	0.09	0.08	0.01/0.3	0.85	0.67	0.32/2.26	0.59[5]	0.01	0.00
Carcass weight (g)[1]	1 238.2	1230	1 080/1 347	1 244.4	1242	1 025/1 605	1.00[4] [6]	0.01	0.83
Total rejection (%)[2]	1.4	1.47	0.59/3.48	2.5	2.21	0.67/7.83	0.74[5]	0.12	0.00
Foot pad lesion score[3]	9.49	4	1/40	21.4	10	0/85	6[5]	1.55	0.00

[1] Weight, without head, feet, feathers and internal organs.
[2] Carcass rejection by the official veterinarians at the abattoirs.
[3] Scored on 100 feet in each flock, score from 0 to 2.
[4] Linear regression.
[5] Quantile (median) regression.
[6] Log transformed variable; $e^{(0.002)} = 1.00$.

separate transported loads, three loads being the most common. All loads from the same flock were transported on the same day. All journeys took place during night and early mornings to avoid rising temperatures and traffic. Journey duration was registered per load and a median duration was calculated for the entire flock.

Statistical analysis of results
All data were continuously collected in a database (Microsoft Excel 2010) and reviewed for errors. The database was transferred to the statistical package Stata version 14 SE (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). Dead-on-arrival was categorized as either normal or high. Summary statistics included the calculation of, means, median, ranges and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the diagnoses obtained from pathological examinations. All continuous variables were checked for missing data, outliers, normality, linearity and co-linearity by graphical methods (quantile–quantile plot, scatter diagrams, histogram and residual plots) as well as correlation analyses. Normally distributed variables were directly analyzed by simple linear regression (parametric) or after logarithmic transformation, with DOA% (mortality group) being the independent predictor variable (<0.3% or >0.3%). Mean and median values were displayed as percentages and the ranges were displayed as either percentages or natural numbers. As the two data sets technically represent two different populations (normal and high DOA%), sampled at different time periods, they were considered strictly as statistically unrelated. The design of the study was equal for both samples, but adjustment for time of sampling was not possible, as time was considered to confound the classification of flocks with normal and high transportation mortality. The displayed results of the statistical analyses are mainly descriptive. However, 95% confidence limits and *P*-values are generally provided in tables to aid the comparison of flock characteristics and diagnoses between normal- and high-mortality flocks. The distribution DOA% was positively skewed with a high density around 0 for normal mortality, whereas the distribution of DOA% in high-mortality flocks were more widely distributed, with the high density of observations in the interval of 0.5% to 1.0%. The median DOA was placed to the left of the mean for both mortality groups, thus the median was regarded as a better measure of the central tendency for such distributions. This approach also applied to 1st week mortality, slaughter age, journey duration, total rejection and FPL scores, for which non-parametric quantile regression (median regression) was used to identify differences in descriptive characteristics between the normal- and high-mortality groups. The statistical tests and transformation of variables are indicated as footnote to Table 1. Binary variables (diagnoses) were analyzed by univariable logistic regression, mortality groups being independent and estimates are displayed as proportions and 95% CI (Table 2). *P*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. A fraction of diagnoses, contributing to the DOA% in each group was calculated by multiplying the prevalence of diagnoses with the DOA prevalence for each mortality group

Table 2 *Proportion of birds with the diagnoses in the normal- (n = 236 broilers) and high-mortality (n = 299 broilers) flocks*

Diagnoses	No. of individuals in normal mortality[1]	Proportion in normal mortality ($n = 236$)	Normal mortality (95% CI)	No. of individuals in high mortality[1]	Proportion in high mortality ($n = 299$)	High mortality (95% CI)
Lung congestion	137	0.58	0.52, 0.64	267	0.89	0.86, 0.93
Trauma	51	0.22	0.16, 0.27	22	0.07	0.04, 0.10
Ascites	24	0.10	0.06, 0.14	22	0.07	0.04, 0.10
Tibial dyschondroplasia	13	0.06	0.03, 0.08	24	0.08	0.05, 0.01
Endocarditis	10	0.04	0.02, 0.07	4	0.01	0.00, 0.03
Hepatitis	1	0.004	−0.004, 0.01	5	0.02	0.002, 0.03

CI, confidence intervals.
[1]Broilers may have been given more than one diagnosis

Table 3 *The fraction of diagnoses contributing to mortality in the study populations*

Diagnoses	Fraction in the normal-mortality group[1]	Fraction in the high-mortality group[2]	Coefficients in high-mortality transports	SE	*P*-value
Lung congestion	0.05	0.60	1.80	0.23	0.000
Trauma	0.02	0.05	−1.24	0.27	0.000
Ascites	0.008	0.05	−0.35	0.25	0.251
Tibial dyschondroplasia	0.004	0.06	0.40	0.36	0.257
Endocarditis	0.003	0.009	−1.18	0.60	0.048
Hepatitis	0.0003	0.01	1.39	1.10	0.207

DOA, dead-on-arrival.
[1]DOA% 0.08 (median).
[2]DOA% 0.67 (median).

separately (Table 3). The excess of incidents that can be attributed to the exposure (high or normal mortality) in the high-mortality flocks and in the population, the risk of disease in either mortality group and the total risk were calculated for each diagnosis. The fractions are given as attributable or preventive according to which diagnosis is predominant in either high- or normal-mortality flocks.

Results

A total of 535 DOA broilers from 61 flocks were included in this study. The normal-mortality group was represented by 236 DOA broilers from 32 flocks, whereas the high-mortality group represented by 299 DOA broilers from 29 flocks. The DOA% ranged from 0.01 to 2.26 among the 61 journeys. Descriptive statistics of the transport mortality groups are provided as mean, median, range and 95% CI in Table 1.

Postmortem *findings*
Descriptive statistics on the frequency of diagnoses in the flocks with normal and high mortality are presented with 95% CI in Table 2. Fractions and regression outputs for *postmortem* findings are given in Table 3. Significant differences in the occurrence of diagnoses between the normal- and high-mortality flocks are indicated by $P < 0.05$. Lung congestion was the most prevalent diagnosis in the sample. There was a significant difference in the prevalence of lung congestion between the two groups ($P < 0.01$). The risk of lung congestion was 0.89 and 0.58 in the flocks with high and normal mortality, respectively. The total risk of lung congestion was 0.76. The attributable risk (AR) in the high-mortality group was 0.35 and AR in the population was 0.35. Trauma was significantly ($P < 0.01$) more common in normal-mortality flocks than in high-mortality flocks. The risk of trauma was 0.07 in the high-mortality group and 0.22 in the normal-mortality group. The total risk was 0.14. The preventable fraction (PF) of trauma in the high-mortality group was 0.66 and in the population, 0.36. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of ascites between normal and high flocks ($P = 0.25$). The risk of ascites was 0.07 in the high-mortality group and 0.10 in the normal-mortality group. The total risk of ascites was 0.08. The PF was 0.28 in the high-mortality group and 0.15 in the population. Tibial dyschondroplasia was relatively uncommon in both mortality groups, however more frequently observed in the high-mortality DOA broilers. The difference between the two groups was not significant ($P = 0.26$). The risk of TD was 0.08 and 0.06 in the high- and normal-mortality group, respectively. The total risk of TD was 0.07, the AF was 0.34 in the high-mortality broilers and 0.22 in the population. Endocarditis was more common in the normal-mortality DOA broilers than in the high-mortality group. The prevalence was low in both groups and the difference in frequency was barely significant ($P = 0.048$). The risk of endocarditis was 0.01 and 0.04 in the high- and normal-mortality DOA broilers, respectively. The PF was 0.68 for the high-mortality group and 0.38 for the population. Hepatitis was rarely found in both groups and the difference in frequency between the two groups was not significant

(P = 0.21). The risk of hepatitis was 0.02 and 0.004 in the high- and normal-mortality flocks, respectively. The AF of hepatitis was 0.75 in the high-mortality group and 0.62 in the population. The causal relationship between DOA and recorded diagnoses were not established due to diagnoses being determined when the broilers were already dead and transported. Hence, the diseases or traumas may have occurred before or after the transportation commenced.

Several diagnoses were given to 62 birds (11.6%). In the normal-mortality group, 22 individuals (9.3%) received either two (n = 20) or three (n = 2) diagnoses. In this group, the multiple diagnoses originated from nine flocks out of which two flocks contributed with two and five cases respectively (two and four cases were circulatory disorders and trauma respectively). The combinations of dual diagnoses from the normal-mortality flocks were; lung congestion and trauma (n = 6, 31.6%), lung congestion and TD (n = 6, 31.6%), TD and ascites (n = 2, 10.5%), TD and trauma (n = 2, 10.5%), lung congestion and ascites (n = 2, 10.5%) and lung congestion and endocarditis (n = 2, 10.5%). The combination of diagnoses in the individuals with three diagnoses were; TD, lung congestion and trauma (n = 1), and ascites, lung congestion and trauma (n = 1). In the high-mortality group, 40 individuals (13.4%) received multiple diagnoses out of which eight broilers received a combination of three diagnoses (20.0%). The multiple diagnoses originated from 11 flocks which contributed with one to nine cases of multiple diagnoses each. The combinations of dual diagnoses were; lung congestion and TD (n = 16, 40.0%), lung congestion and ascites (n = 5, 12.5%), TD and trauma (n = 3, 7.5%), lung congestion and trauma (n = 3, 7.5%), ascites and trauma (n = 3, 7.5%), TD and ascites (n = 1; 2.5%), lung congestion and endocarditis (n = 1, 2.5%) and lung congestion and hepatitis (n = 1, 2.5%). Of the triple diagnoses, three individuals were diagnosed with ascites, lung congestion and hepatitis, whereas one broiler was diagnosed with lung congestion, endocarditis and hepatitis.

The difference in the DOA% between normal- and high-mortality transportations was substantial (P < 0.01) with a median difference of 0.59 and a mean difference of 0.76. Although being the selection criterion for grouping, the difference in DOA between the two groups made it necessary to adjust the frequencies of diagnoses to the magnitude of DOA in each group for relative comparison. This fraction is calculated from the median values (0.08 and 0.67, respectively) (Table 3).

Production and journey data
The flock size was significantly larger in the normal-mortality flocks (P < 0.01). The 1st week mortality was higher in flocks classified as high mortality during transportation *v.* normal-mortality flocks (P < 0.01). There was however, no significant difference in total mortality (P = 0.51) and slaughter age (P = 1.00) between the two groups. The journey duration and the journey distance showed nearly perfect linear correlation (Pearson's correlation coefficient = 0.97), therefore only duration (min) will be discussed. The average journey duration was ~1.5 h longer in the high-mortality group than in the normal-mortality group, a significant difference (P < 0.001). The rejection number at the slaughterhouse was significantly higher in high-mortality flocks (P < 0.001) and high-mortality flocks had a significantly higher FPL score than normal-mortality flocks (P < 0.01).

Discussion

This study aimed at investigating how broiler flocks with high mortality during transportation differ from flocks with normal mortality with regards to *postmortem* findings in DOA broilers, production data and journey characteristics. In brief, significant differences in several *postmortem* findings, production data and journey characteristics between the two groups were identified.

The most common necropsy finding among all examined broilers was lung congestion, but the diagnosis was significantly more frequent in DOA broilers from high-mortality flocks than from normal-mortality flocks. Lung congestion is characterized by massive congestion of the veins and arterioles in the lungs (Aengwanich and Simaraks, 2004), an indication of a circulatory collapse and circulatory disturbance. Lung congestion, circulation disorders and other signs of acute heart failure have frequently been observed in previous studies of DOA broilers (Nijdam *et al.*, 2006; Petracci *et al.*, 2006; Lund *et al.*, 2013). Sudden Death Syndrome (SDS) can give *postmortem* findings equivalent to the congested lungs, observed in both normal- and high-mortality DOA broilers, with congested lungs and mottled red and white pectoral muscles (Siddiqui *et al.*, 2009). A known trigger for SDS is stress; modern broilers are highly susceptible to stress-induced cardiac arrhythmia and mortality may occur after sudden stress (Jones and Hughes, 1981; Olkowski *et al.*, 2008). Previous studies have shown that the pre-slaughter chain, including the transportation, can cause severe stress that ranges from discomfort to death for the birds (Delezie *et al.*, 2006; Schwartzkopf-Genswein *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, it may be possible that the stress generated by catching, crating and transportation resulted in a cardiac arrest and SDS/DOA. As significantly more birds with lung congestions were found in the high-mortality group, it may be suggested that factors associated with these transportations caused more stress resulting in more SDS and more mortality as compared with the normal-mortality transportations. Such stress factors may for instance be the individual catcher's handling of the birds, thermal stress during transportation and the duration of the journey. The potential effect of stress in regards to DOA% needs further investigation.

The relationship between *postmortem* findings in DOA broilers and thermal stress has not been determined in this study, due to lack of temperature records for the vehicles in transit. This was unfortunate, as it has been claimed that elevations in DOA values above the mean is almost solely due to thermal stress (Mitchell and Kettlewell, 2009). Heat stress on the vehicle has long been recognized as a major risk factor for DOA (Warriss *et al.*, 2005; Whiting *et al.*, 2007; Mitchell and Kettlewell, 2009) and high temperatures may

lead to heart failure (Elrom, 2001). It could therefore be hypothesized that thermal stress is an important factor contributing to the elevated mortality observed in the high-mortality flocks. In addition, it has been presumed that thermal stress on long distance journeys may have a great impact on DOA (Ritz *et al.*, 2005), an important aspect, considering that high-mortality flocks had a significantly longer journey duration. This is in accordance with previous studies that found a positive relationship between duration/distance and DOA% (Warriss *et al.*, 1992; Nijdam *et al.*, 2004; Vecerek *et al.*, 2006). Presumably, exposure to various physical stressors during journey, including thermal conditions, are magnified by the time spent in transit and thus, more broilers succumb due to SDS, congestive heart failure or generalized circulatory collapse, leading to the *postmortem* findings of lung congestion, more common in the group with long journey duration. However, the duration of the journey varied substantially within the two groups. Further studies are therefore needed to investigate DOA broilers with the most common *postmortem* finding, lung congestion, in relationship to transit temperature and journey duration.

Traumas, and especially fractures, represent conditions of compromised welfare as they usually are associated with pain (Nasr *et al.*, 2012). The occurrence of injuries in DOA broilers from flocks with normal mortality (22.0%) is in accordance with the traumas reported in other studies, ranging from 22% to 35% (Elrom, 2001; Nijdam *et al.*, 2006; Lund *et al.*, 2013). The high-mortality broiler group had a lower percentage of traumas (7.4%). However, as the median DOA% was 8.37 times higher in the high-mortality group, the fraction and contribution of trauma to mortality is higher in the high-mortality group compared with the normal-mortality group, even though the percentage of trauma was three times higher in the normal transportations than in the high-mortality transportations. A well-known cause of trauma is the catching process (Knierim and Gocke, 2003), that can cause stress for the birds (Elrom, 2000; Delezie *et al.*, 2006). Data on catching method were collected, however only four flocks were caught by machine; they were all high mortality and from the same abattoir. The low number of flocks caught by machine therefore makes catching method not applicable as a predictor for high mortality in this study. Catching method and differences in catching teams in regard to high-mortality transportations should be explored in more detail in further studies. In addition, the reason for a higher DOA% related to trauma in the normal-mortality group needs further investigation in the future. It has been reported that birds with heavy TD are predisposed to fractures during catching and transportation (Dinev, 2012). However, none of the broilers in our study exhibited TD along with fractures or hemorrhages. In addition, there was no significant difference in TD-prevalence between normal- and high-mortality flocks in this study.

Only two conditions with gross *postmortem* signs of infection were reported in this study: endocarditis and hepatitis. Endo-carditis in broilers can be caused by the attachment of bacteria to the heart valves (Chadfield *et al.*, 2005). Endocarditis was significantly more common among normal-mortality DOA broilers than in high-mortality DOA broilers. However, the total number of DOA broilers with endocarditis in the source population may be higher in the high-mortality group due to the higher DOA% in this group. The number of endocarditis diagnoses was overall low (a total of 14 cases), therefore, the result should be evaluated with caution. Hepatitis was reported in six DOA broilers. Totally, only 20 reported cases (3.7%) of infectious *postmortem* findings indicate that infections are not the major contributor to DOA% in this study. This is in contrast to the findings of Nijdam *et al.,* (2006), who found infectious diseases in 64.9% of the investigated DOA broilers. However, only gross pathological examinations were performed in this study and microbial infectious factors of DOA could perhaps have been revealed, if microbiological culturing was attempted. Generally, the proportion of cases (diagnoses) in the entire study population that can be attributed to the exposure (high mortality), reflected the observed frequencies between the two groups. The attributable fraction among the exposed (high mortality), reflected the univariable regression analyses. The factors that are directly linked to different diagnoses in transport mortality settings, are not clearly defined. Further research is required to point out what factors should be eliminated to prevent the respective diagnoses and their relative importance in mortality during transportation of broilers.

Mortality during the 1st production week on farm was significantly higher in the flocks with high DOA%. However, there was no difference in the total mortality rate during the production period on farm. This contrasts with a previous study (Chauvin *et al.*, 2011), were an increasing on-farm mortality has been associated with an increasing DOA%. Mortality during the 1st week of production is a measure of chick quality and health (Chou *et al.*, 2004), and even though the total mortality rate during production not affected DOA% it can be hypothesized that a poor chick quality may persist thorough out the production period and give increased mortality in transit.

Foot pad lesion is a common and important welfare issue in broiler flocks (Haslam *et al.*, 2007), caused by necrotic dermatitis on the plantar surface of the foot. In this study, the FPL scores were relatively low and heavily right skewed. However, the median FPL score was significantly higher in high-mortality flocks, compared with normal-mortality flocks. As mentioned, litter quality, wet litter in particular, is a major risk factor for developing FPL (De Jong *et al.*, 2014). Foot pad lesion and litter quality is affected by, for example management of the microclimate in the broiler house, the ventilation system, stocking density, feed composition, drinkers design and digestive disorders (Bruce *et al.*, 1990; Haslam *et al.*, 2007; Allain *et al.*, 2009). It may be speculated that broilers from farms with poor ventilation system are wet before transport and therefore are less fit for transport and may succumb during the journey. Likewise, it could also be speculated that digestive disorders affect fitness for transport and make the broilers less robust to cope with the catching and transportation process. Further studies are needed to

investigate why flocks with high mortality have higher FPL scores than normal-mortality flocks.

There was a significant difference in the total carcass rejection numbers at the abattoirs between high- and normal-mortality flocks in this study. The high-mortality flocks had a median rejection percentage of 2.21% *v.* 1.47% in the normal-mortality flocks. There are several reasons for carcass rejection, for example disease, fecal contamination, small and emaciated individuals. An association between DOA and carcass rejection numbers have previous been stated by Haslam *et al.* (2008), who, for example found increasing numbers of small and emaciated broilers to be associated with increasing DOA%. The higher rejection numbers in the high-mortality group may for instance indicate the importance of the animal's condition before the journey and that fitness for transport may affect DOA%.

In conclusion, the aim of this study was to gain more knowledge of flocks with high-mortality during transportation by comparing normal- and high-mortality flocks in Norway. An improved understanding and identification of characteristics representative for high-mortality flocks may aid in targeted improvement of animal welfare and increase profits in broiler production. The results indicate that high mortality during transportation may be linked to several steps in the broiler production chain.

Acknowledgment

References

Aengwanich W and Simaraks S 2004. Pathology of heart, lung, liver and kidney in broilers under chronic heat stress. Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology 26, 417–424.

Allain V, Mirabito L, Arnould C, Colas M, Le Bouquin S, Lupo C and Michel V 2009. Skin lesions in broiler chickens measured at the slaughterhouse: relationships between lesions and between their prevalence and rearing factors. British Poultry Science 50, 407–417.

Animalia 2015. Status report on Norwegian meat and egg production. *Kjøttets Tilstand* 2015, pp. 86–87.

Bruce DW, McIlroy SG and Goodall EA 1990. Epidemiology of a contact dermatitis of broilers. Avian Pathology 19, 523–537.

Chadfield MS, Bojesen AM, Christensen JP, Juul-Hansen J, Nielsen SS and Bisgaard M 2005. Reproduction of sepsis and endocarditis by experimental infection of chickens with *Streptococcus gallinaceus* and *Enterococcus hirae*. Avian Pathology 34, 238–247.

Chauvin C, Hillion S, Balaine L, Michel V, Peraste J, Petetin I, Lupo C and Le Bouquin S 2011. Factors associated with mortality of broilers during transport to slaughterhouse. Animal 5, 287–293.

Chou C, Jiang D and Hung Y 2004. Risk factors for cumulative mortality in broiler chicken flocks in the first week of life in Taiwan. British Poultry Science 45, 573–577.

De Jong I, Gunnink H and van Harn J 2014. Wet litter not only induces footpad dermatitis but also reduces overall welfare, technical performance, and carcass yield in broiler chickens. Journal of Applied Poultry Research 23, 51–58.

Delezie E, Lips D, Lips R and Decuypere E 2006. Is the mechanisation of catching broilers a welfare improvement? Animal welfare 15, 141–147.

Dinev I 2012. Leg weakness pathology in broiler chickens. The Journal of Poultry Science 49, 63–67.

Drain ME, Whiting TL, Rasali DP and D'Angiolo VA 2007. Warm weather transport of broiler chickens in Manitoba. I. Farm management factors associated with death loss in transit to slaughter. Canadian Veterinary Journal-Revue Veterinaire Canadienne 48, 76–80.

Elrom K 2000. Review: handling and transportation of broilers – welfare, stress, fear and meat quality. Part III: fear; definitions, its relation to stress, causes of fear, responses of fear and measurement of fear. Israel Journal of Veterinary Medicine 55, 79–81.

Elrom K 2001. Review: handling and transportation of broilers – welfare, stress, fear and meat quality. Part VI: the consequences of handling and transportation of chickens (*Gallus domesticus*). Israel Journal of Veterinary Medicine 56, 41–44.

Haslam S, Knowles TG, Brown SN, Wilkins L, Kestin SC, Warriss PD and Nicol CJ 2007. Factors affecting the prevalence of foot pad dermatitis, hock burn and breast burn in broiler chicken. British Poultry Science 48, 264–275.

Haslam SM, Knowles TG, Brown SN, Wilkins LJ, Kestin SC, Warriss PD and Nicol CJ 2008. Prevalence and factors associated with it, of birds dead on arrival at the slaughterhouse and other rejection conditions in broiler chickens. British Poultry Science 49, 685–696.

Jacobs L, Delezie E, Duchateau L, Goethals K and Tuyttens FA 2016. Broiler chickens dead on arrival: associated risk factors and welfare indicators. Poultry Science 96, 259–265.

Jones R and Hughes B 1981. Effects of regular handling on growth in male and female chicks of broiler and layer strains. British Poultry Science 22, 461–465.

Kittelsen K, Granquist E, Kolbjørnsen Ø, Nafstad O and Moe R 2015. A comparison of post-mortem findings in broilers dead-on-farm and broilers dead-on-arrival at the abattoir. Poultry Science 94, 2622–2629.

Knierim U and Gocke A 2003. Effect of catching broilers by hand or machine on rates of injuries and dead-on-arrivals. Animal Welfare 12, 63–73.

Lund VP, Kyvsgaard NC, Christensen JP and Bisgaard M 2013. Pathological manifestations observed in dead-on-arrival broilers at a Danish abattoir. British Poultry Science 54, 430–440.

Mitchell M and Kettlewell P 2009. Welfare of poultry during transport – a review. In Proceedings of the 8th European Symposium on Poultry Welfare, World Poultry Science Association (WPSA), 18–22 May 2009, Cervia, Italy, pp. 90–100.

Nasr MA, Nicol CJ and Murrell JC 2012. Do laying hens with keel bone fractures experience pain? PloS one 7, e42420.

Nijdam E, Arens P, Lambooij E, Decuypere E and Stegeman JA 2004. Factors influencing bruises and mortality of broilers during catching, transport, and lairage. Poultry Science 83, 1610–1615.

Nijdam E, Zailan ARM, van Eck JHH, Decuypere E and Stegeman JA 2006. Pathological features in dead on arrival broilers with special reference to heart disorders. Poultry Science 85, 1303–1308.

Olkowski AA, Wojnarowicz C, Nain S, Ling B, Alcorn JM and Laarveld B 2008. A study on pathogenesis of sudden death syndrome in broiler chickens. Research in Veterinary Science 85, 131–140.

Petracci M, Bianchi M, Cavani C, Gaspari P and Lavazza A 2006. Preslaughter mortality in broiler chickens, turkeys, and spent hens under commercial slaughtering. Poultry Science 85, 1660–1664.

Ritz CW, Webster AB and Czarick M 2005. Evaluation of hot weather thermal environment and incidence of mortality associated with broiler live haul. The Journal of Applied Poultry Research 14, 594–602.

Schwartzkopf-Genswein K, Faucitano L, Dadgar S, Shand P, González L and Crowe T 2012. Road transport of cattle, swine and poultry in North America and its impact on animal welfare, carcass and meat quality: a review. Meat Science 92, 227–243.

Siddiqui M, Patil M, Khan K, Khan L and MAFSU AM 2009. Sudden Death Syndrome – an overview. Veterinary World 2, 444–447.

The Poultry Site 2014. Global poultry trends 2014. Retrieved on 20 September 2014 from http://www.thepoultrysite.com.

Vecerek V, Grbalova S, Voslarova E, Janackova B and Malena M 2006. Effects of travel distance and the season of the year on death rates of broilers transported to poultry processing plants. Poultry Science 85, 1881–1884.

Warriss P, Bevis E, Brown S and Edwards J 1992. Longer journeys to processing plants are associated with higher mortality in broiler chickens. British Poultry Science 3, 201–206.

Warriss PD, Pagazaurtundua A and Brown SN 2005. Relationship between maximum daily temperature and mortality of broiler chickens during transport and lairage. British Poultry Science 46, 647–651.

Watts J, Graff L, Strawford M, Crowe T, Burlinguette N, Classen H and Shand P 2011. Heat and moisture production by broilers during simulated cold weather transport. Poultry Science 90, 1890–1899.

Whiting TL, Drain ME and Rasali DP 2007. Warm weather transport of broiler chickens in Manitoba. II. Truck management factors associated with death loss in transit to slaughter. The Canadian Veterinary Journal 48, 148.