



A Qualitative Study of Web-Based Knowledge Communities: Examining Success Factors

Hui Lin, DePaul University, USA

Weiguo Fan, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, USA

Zhongju Zhang, University of Connecticut, USA

ABSTRACT

Web-based knowledge communities (WKC)s have become prevalent in recent years for individuals with similar interests to collectively engage in knowledge acquisition and exchange in a global context. The growing popularity of WKC)s and their fast penetration into almost all aspects of life call for more research on this important area. In this study, we explore and examine success factors of WKC)s. To accomplish this research objective, we conducted a qualitative study to uncover a list of success factors that would affect the success of WKC)s. Through open and axial data coding techniques using a grounded theory approach, we identified four main success factors: information quality, system quality, community governance, and pro-sharing norms. We further categorized dimensions of information quality, system quality, and community governance. Based on the results, we proposed a conceptual framework to examine WKC success. The implications are also discussed. [Article copies are available for purchase from InfoSci-on-Demand.com]

Keywords: Community Governance; Information Quality; Pro-Sharing Norms; Sense of Community; Success Factors; System Quality; Web-Based Knowledge Communities

INTRODUCTION

As computer-mediated communication (CMC) technologies become increasingly ubiquitous in our daily lives, virtual communities have gained increasing popularity (Ridings & Gefen, 2004). Virtual communities have allowed individuals with similar interests to exchange information collectively to fulfill common goals for social, professional, educational, or other purposes

(Rheingold, 1993). Virtual communities can be established for different purposes such as interests, e-commerce, fantasy, and social support (Armstrong & Hagel, 1996). This study focuses on a type of virtual community of interest called Web-based knowledge communities (WKC)s. WKC)s have become prevalent and useful tools for knowledge acquisition, exchange, and collaborative decision making. We define a WKC as a virtual community comprised of

individuals who share common interests for a knowledge domain and who work together to expand their understanding of the knowledge domain through ongoing knowledge sharing and acquisition. WKC's rely on community members to voluntarily collaborate and share knowledge to build a knowledge repository within the community. As a notable example, Experts-Exchange.com, a global knowledge sharing community for IT professionals, has a searchable knowledge base of over 17 million postings by more than 230,000 expert members.

Virtual communities are considered as sociotechnical systems which are comprised of both technical and social components (e.g., de Moor, 2005; Kling & Courtright, 2003). From a social perspective, WKC's are considered Web-based collaboration systems for knowledge exchange. They differ from other types of virtual communities such as e-commerce and fantasy communities in that members participate mainly to expand their knowledge base and seek or provide help to others with similar interests rather than for entertainment purposes. From a technical perspective, with the advance of Web technologies, WKC's often offer Web-based discussion forums and interactive communication systems that go beyond traditional e-mail-based or listserv-based community systems. In other words, WKC's have become more common and offer a richer set of system features and functionalities, such as multiple communication channels via discussion forums, private messages, online chatting tools, and a dedicated search engine to allow people to search the archived contents, member profile information, online polls, and so forth.

Due to the phenomenal growth of virtual communities, there has been an increasing body of research on virtual communities. Previous virtual community research has examined their roles in building social capital (Kankanhalli, Tan, & Wei, 2005; Wasko & Faraj, 2005), seeking and sharing knowledge (Ardichvili, Page, & Wentling, 2003; Wasko & Faraj, 2005; Wenger, 2004; Zhang & Watts, 2003), relationship building (Maloney-Krichmar & Preece,

2002; Preece, 2000), and virtual community success (Ginsburg & Weisband, 2004; Leimeister & Krcmar, 2004; Lin & Lee, 2006; Preece, 2001; Sangwan, 2005). However, the community type, theoretical grounding, and research objectives in these studies vary considerably. The majority of these studies focus either on technical properties or the social characteristics of the communities. Knowledge communities have been viewed as complex social-technical systems which are built to support members' social interaction and knowledge exchange (Kling, McKim, & King, 2003; Lu & Cai, 2000; Mumford, 2006). Despite the numerous studies on online knowledge sharing and social interaction, research specifically related to WKC's has been limited. There has also been a lack of qualitative research on the underlying factors that affect the success of WKC's.

In this article, we took a qualitative approach to identify and examine success factors of WKC's. Our research addresses the following research question: What factors influence the success of Web-based knowledge communities? To answer this research question, we conducted a qualitative study to uncover a list of success factors through open and axial data coding techniques using a grounded theory approach. We also triangulated our findings with the IS success model (DeLone & McLean, 1992, 2003) and Preece's community success framework of sociability and usability (Lazar & Preece, 2002; Preece, 2001) to build a conceptual framework for WKC success.

CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND

Measuring WKC Success

Measuring the success of a WKC can be a complicated task because the meaning of success varies depending on the perspectives of the different stakeholders (Preece, 2001). Because the primary goal of a WKC is to facilitate knowledge acquisition and exchange among users, we define success from the perspective of end users and we use WKC continued usage

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the product's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/article/qualitative-study-web-based-knowledge/3933?camid=4v1

This title is available in InfoSci-Journals, InfoSci-Journal Disciplines Communications and Social Science, InfoSci-Select, InfoSci-Select, InfoSci-Communications, Online Engagement, and Media eJournal Collection, InfoSci-Journal Disciplines Business, Administration, and Management.

Recommend this product to your librarian:

www.igi-global.com/e-resources/library-recommendation/?id=2

Related Content

Supporting Synchronous Collaboration with Heterogeneous Devices

Axel Guicking, Peter Tandler and Thomas Grasse (2008). *International Journal of e-Collaboration* (pp. 1-19).

www.igi-global.com/article/supporting-synchronous-collaboration-heterogeneous-devices/1968?camid=4v1a

Impact of Collaborative Delivery of Enterprise ICT Services

Jiri Vorisek and George Feuerlicht (2008). *Encyclopedia of E-Collaboration* (pp. 355-362).

www.igi-global.com/chapter/impact-collaborative-delivery-enterprise-ict/12450?camid=4v1a

How do Collaborative Technologies Affect Innovation in SMEs?

Angel Luis Meroño-Cerdán, Pedro Soto-Acosta and Carolina López-Nicolás (2008). *International Journal of e-Collaboration* (pp. 33-50).

www.igi-global.com/article/collaborative-technologies-affect-innovation-smes/1981?camid=4v1a

Collaborative Writing in E-Learning Environments

Neide Santos, Flávia Maria Santoro and Marcos R.S. Borges (2008). *Encyclopedia of E-Collaboration* (pp. 81-86).

www.igi-global.com/chapter/collaborative-writing-learning-environments/12408?camid=4v1a