This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP)*. Please refer to the corresponding final paper in *ACP* if available.

Influence of aerosols on the formation and development of radiation fog

J. Rangognio¹, P. Tulet^{1,3}, T. Bergot¹, L. Gomes¹, O. Thouron¹, and M. Leriche²

¹GAME/CNRM (Météo-France, CNRS), Toulouse, France

²Laboratoire d'Aérodynamique/UMR 5560/CNRS-UPS, Toulouse, France

³Lacy/UMR 8105/Université de la Réunion, Saint-Denis, France

Received: 4 August 2009 – Accepted: 14 August 2009 – Published: 1 September 2009

Correspondence to: J. Rangognio (jerome.rangognio@laposte.net)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

17963

Abstract

This paper assesses the impact of aerosol properties on the formation and the development of radiation fog. Simulations were performed using the Meso-NH meteorological model including the ORILAM aerosol scheme coupled with a two-moment microphysical cloud scheme (number concentration of cloud droplets and cloud water content). The activation scheme used was taken from the work of Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2004). “Off-line” sensitivity analysis of CCN (Cloud Condensation Nuclei) activation was performed on number, median diameter and chemical compounds of aerosols. During this “off-line” study, the interactions with the other physical processes (e.g. radiative) were not taken into account since the cooling rate was imposed. Different regimes of CCN activation and a critical value of aerosol number concentration were found. This critical aerosol number corresponds to the maximum of activated cloud droplets for a given cooling rate and given aerosol chemical properties. As long as the aerosol number concentration is below this critical value, the cloud droplet number increases when the aerosol number increases. But when the aerosol number concentration exceeds this critical value, the cloud droplet number decreases when aerosol number increases. A sensitivity study on aerosol chemical composition showed that the CCN activation was limited in the case of hydrophilic aerosol composed of material with a solubility in the 10% range. An event observed during the ParisFOG field experiment was simulated. This case took place in the polluted sub-urban area of Paris (France) characterized by particle concentrations of 17 000 aerosols per cm³. 1D simulations successfully reproduced the observed temporal evolution of the fog layer. Beyond the initial fog formation at the surface, cloud droplet formation occurred at the top of the fog layer where the cooling rate was maximum, reaching more than -10 K h^{-1} . These simulations confirm that the aerosol particle number concentration is a key parameter for the accurate prediction of the microphysical properties of a fog layer and also influences the vertical development of fog. The importance of the interaction between microphysical and radiative processes is illustrated, showing how the life cycle of a fog

17964

layer is determined by the CCN number concentration and chemical properties.

1 Introduction

Aerosols and clouds play a major role in environmental, meteorological and climatic processes, and the aerosol-cloud interactions are now identified as a key challenge for the understanding of climate change. Vautard et al. (2009) estimates that the reduction in low-visibility conditions could have contributed about 20% on average to Europe's recent daytime warming and about 50% to eastern European warming. More locally, fog has a significant impact on economic and safety aspects. Timely and accurate forecasts of reduced visibility are necessary for the agencies responsible for road safety, search and rescue operations and air traffic management. Nevertheless, fog forecasting by meteorological services is still a difficult issue. For instance, Pagowski et al. (2004) studied a fog episode related to a major traffic accident in Canada and pointed out that the forecasting of fog was very difficult because of its high variability and because of the complex interactions between physical processes occurring inside a fog layer. The fog life cycle is determined by thermodynamic, dynamic, radiative, and microphysical processes, and by surface conditions. One of the particularities of fog is its development within the surface boundary layer, in contact with the ground, where aerosol concentrations are the highest and surface heterogeneities imply complex heat, water, radiative and chemical fluxes.

Radiation fog is mainly formed during the night above continental surfaces under high pressure systems. The radiative cooling of the surface can lead to saturated conditions and hence to cloud droplet formation. The life cycle of radiation fog can be decomposed into three steps according to the behaviour of the turbulent kinetic energy: onset, vertical development and dissipation (e.g. Nakanishi 2000). Only the two first steps will be studied in this work.

Cloud droplet formation occurs with heterogeneous nucleation onto aerosol particles (e.g. Pruppacher and Klett 1997). Particles that serve as nuclei upon which water

17965

vapour condenses are called cloud condensation nuclei (CCN). The parametrization of CCN activation is well developed (e.g. Twomey 1959; Ghan et al. 1993; Abdul-Razzak et al. 1998; Cohard and Pinty 2000a,b; Nenes and Seinfeld 2003; Cheng et al. 2007), since the activation process directly links microphysical aerosols and clouds. The size distribution and chemical composition of aerosols heavily influence CCN activation. Atmospheric aerosols are largely composed of soluble inorganic species but it is now known that a significant proportion of soluble matter (ranging from 20% up to 70% of the total soluble mass in some cases) also consists of organic compounds (e.g. Saxena and Hildemann 1996; Zappoli et al. 1999). Some organic compounds are surface active (surfactants) and their presence within particle solutions can significantly affect CCN activation (e.g. Shulman et al. 1996; Li et al. 1998; Facchini et al. 2000).

Numerous numerical models have been developed for the description of fog physics (e.g. Brown and Roach 1976; Brown 1980; Duynkerke 1991; Bergot and Guedalia 1994). Some studies also show that the interaction between aerosol and fog is an important issue (Pandis and Seinfeld, 1989a,b; Seinfeld et al., 1992; Bott, 1991; Bott and Carmichael, 1993). In the fog life cycle, the condensation process and cloud droplet sedimentation are crucial. Detailed understanding and modelling of the fine microphysical processes taking place inside a fog layer has been limited by the lack of suitable sets of dynamic, turbulent, radiative and microphysical measurements. Nevertheless, during the last few decades, several studies have observed and studied the chemistry of fog, in particular the interaction of fog with black carbon (Badarinath et al., 2007; Husain et al., 2007) and with sulphate (Seinfeld et al., 1992; Reilly et al., 2001), and the chemistry of cloud droplets in fog (Fisak et al., 2002; Blando and Turpin, 2000; Moore et al., 2004; Aikawa et al., 2007).

Many previous works have studied CCN activation inside stratocumulus or cumulus, i.e. associated with a significant cooling rate mainly forced by a vertical velocity. The specificity of this work is to study CCN activation in foggy conditions. Therefore, the framework is relatively different to past studies on activation. Firstly, the fog is a cloud in contact with the ground where aerosol concentrations are the highest. Secondly,

17966

the cooling rate is mainly associated with radiative cooling and, consequently, is different from the cooling of other boundary layer clouds associated with vertical velocity. Moreover, this cooling rate is maximum at the top of the fog layer, leading to maximum production of liquid water near the top of the fog.

5 Aerosols influence the fog life cycle in a complex way. The number, size and chemical properties of aerosol particles are key properties in the activation processes. Consequently, the aerosol characteristics directly affect the microphysical properties of a fog layer (number and size of the fog droplets). But the microphysical properties of a fog layer indirectly influence the life cycle of the fog through the interactions between
10 microphysical, dynamic and radiative processes.

The primary objective of this work was to analyse CCN activation in foggy conditions and to quantify the effects of the aerosol size distribution, concentration and chemical composition on the formation and the evolution of the vertical structure of fog.

In a first step, sensitivity tests of the activation processes only were performed. The
15 goal of these so-called “off-line” simulations was to isolate the influences of aerosol properties and atmospheric cooling rates on the CCN activation. In this approach, the interactions with the other physical processes (such as radiative) were not taken into account since the cooling rate was imposed. This study focused on the sensitivity of aerosol activation to differences in the air mass encountered above a continental sur-
20 face. In the second step, an observed fog case was modelled in order to study the complex interactions involved in a fog layer. To achieve this objective, a series of simulations were performed, based on data gathered during the ParisFOG field experiment (Bergot et al., 2008). Comprehensive 1-D simulations were made with the Meso-NH numerical mesoscale model (Lafore et al., 1998), in which the ORILAM aerosol scheme (Tulet
25 et al., 2005) was coupled with the two-moment microphysical scheme from the work of Khairoutdinov and Kogan (2000) through the activation scheme of Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2004). This set of 1-D simulations served as the basis for a comprehensive study of the interactions between aerosol properties, and microphysical and dynamic processes taking place during the life cycle of fog. Complex interactions between short

17967

wave radiation, aerosols and cloud droplets occur during the dissipation stage. The influence of aerosol on the dissipation of a fog layer is not studied in this work, and will be the subject of a future study.

The numerical models used in this study are described in Sect. 2 while observations
5 are described in Sect. 3. Section 4 illustrates the sensitivity of activation processes to aerosol properties obtained from the “off-line” simulations. Results from 1-D simulations of a radiation fog event observed during the ParisFOG experiment are discussed in section 5. And, finally, Sect. 6 summarizes the results.

2 Numerical tools

10 2.1 Meso-NH Model and the 2-moment warm microphysical parameterization

Meso-NH is a meteorological model jointly developed by CNRM/GAME (Météo-France) and the Laboratoire d’Aérodynamique (CNRS) (Lafore et al., 1998). Meso-NH simulates small-scale (Large Eddy Simulation, LES type, horizontal resolution of a few meters) to synoptic-scale (horizontal resolution of several tens of kilometres) features and can
15 be run in a two-way nested mode involving up to eight nested grids. Different sets of parameterizations have been introduced for the representation of various physical influences (for details, see <http://mesonh.aero.obs-mip.fr>). For this study, the sub-grid scale turbulence was parameterized with a 1.5 closure for the vertical fluxes using the prognostic turbulent kinetic energy. The turbulence scheme used was that of Cuxart
20 et al. (2000) and the turbulent mixing length was from Bougeault and Lacarrère (1989). Details of the shortwave radiation code are given in Morcrette (1989) while the long-wave radiation scheme was the Rapid Radiation Transfer Model (Mlawer et al., 1997). The cloud shortwave optical properties are determined following Fouquart (1987) and the cloud longwave optical properties were treated with Smith and Shi (1992)’s for-
25 mulation. The coupling between the atmosphere and the underlying surface was based on the ISBA scheme (Interactions between the Soil Biosphere and Atmosphere,

17968

Noilhan and Planton 1989), extended by the TEB (Town Energy Balance) parameterization (Masson, 2000) for urban-atmosphere interaction. The numerical model has been validated previously for several cases of fog and low-level cloud (e.g. Bergot et al. 2007; Sandu et al. 2008).

5 The cloud microphysics were computed using a bulk microphysics parameterization based on the Kessler scheme (Kessler, 1969). The liquid water was separated into cloud water and precipitation. The 2-moment warm microphysical parameterization was taken from the work of Khairoutdinov and Kogan (2000), and extended by Geoffroy (2007). The number concentration of cloud droplets (N) and the cloud water
10 content (q) were prognostic. The parameterization of microphysical processes (drizzle droplet sedimentation, autoconversion, accretion, condensation and vaporisation) are detailed in Khairoutdinov and Kogan (2000) and Geoffroy (2007). A key aspect of the parameterization was the assumption that the cloud droplet distribution followed the generalized gamma distribution:

$$15 \quad n(D) = N \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha} D^{\alpha-1} \exp(-(\lambda D)^{\alpha}), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{\pi}{6} \rho_w \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+3/\alpha)}{\Gamma} \frac{N}{q} \right)^{1/3} \quad (2)$$

Γ is the gamma function (Press et al., 1992), N the cloud droplet concentration, D the diameter of the cloud droplet, q the liquid water content, and ρ_w the density of liquid
20 water. α and ν are empirical coefficients set to 1 and 3 respectively, as derived from observations.

2.2 The Organic Inorganic Log-normal Aerosol Module (ORILAM)

ORILAM (Tulet et al., 2005) is a three-moment log-normal aerosol scheme based on number, mass composition and standard deviation. This aerosol module is coupled

17969

with the ReLACS2 chemical scheme (Tulet et al., 2006) based on the CACM scheme (Griffin et al., 2002). ORILAM takes a range of internally mixed aerosol compounds into account, such as BC (black carbon, viewed as soot in our study), OC (primary organic aerosol), H₂O (water), NH₄⁺ (ammonium), NO₃⁻ (nitrates), SO₄²⁻ (sulphates) and ten
5 different SOA types as defined by Griffin et al. (2003). The log-normal approach is given for each mode by:

$$n(r_{ap}) = \frac{N_r}{\sqrt{2\pi} \ln \sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\ln^2\left(\frac{r_{ap}}{r_m}\right)}{2 \ln^2(\sigma)}\right), \quad (3)$$

where N_r is the aerosol number concentration (in particles cm⁻³), r_{ap} is the aerosol particle radius, r_m is the geometric mean radius, and σ is the geometric standard deviation. The multimodal aerosol distribution computed by ORILAM is used by the CCN
10 activation scheme described in the next section.

2.3 The CCN activation scheme

The coupling between Meso-NH and ORILAM consists of using the atmospheric cooling rate computed in Meso-NH and the aerosol chemical composition and size distribution computed by ORILAM to estimate the supersaturation and the number of activated CCN. The aerosol activation scheme used is that of Abdul-Razzak and Ghan
15 (2004), which is an extension of Abdul-Razzak et al. (1998) and Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2000). A detailed description of the activation scheme can be found in Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2004) and only the main characteristics of this parameterization will be described here.

20 The purpose of this parameterization, based on the Köhler theory (Köhler, 1936) is to determine the fraction $\frac{N}{N_a}$ of activated aerosol particles composed of an internal

chemical mixture of organic and inorganic compounds (Eq. 4):

$$\frac{N}{N_a} = \frac{1}{2} \exp \left[f \cdot c \left(\frac{2 \ln \left(\frac{S_m}{S_{\max}} \right)}{3\sqrt{2} \ln \sigma} \right) \right] \quad (4)$$

where S_m and $S_{\max}$ are defined further.

In this activation scheme, the influence of organic surfactants is considered by modifying the Köhler curve decreasing the value of surface tension. According to Shulman et al. (1996), the Raoult term (noted B) for internal chemical mixtures can be expressed by assuming additive behaviour of the two compounds and can be reformulated as follows:

$$B = \frac{M_w \rho_{ap}}{\rho_w} \left[\frac{\nu_{\text{sal}} \phi_{\text{sal}} (1 - \epsilon_m)}{M_{\text{sal}}} + \frac{\nu_{\text{sft}} \phi_{\text{sft}} \epsilon_m}{M_{\text{sft}}} \right] = B_{\text{sal}} + B_{\text{sft}} \quad (5)$$

where ϵ_m is the soluble mass fraction of the organic surfactants over the total soluble mass of aerosol, M_w is the molecular weight of H_2O , ρ_w is the density of H_2O , ϕ_{sal} and ϕ_{sft} are the osmotic coefficients of the salts and the surfactants respectively, ν_{sal} and ν_{sft} are the number of equivalent ions into which a molecule dissociates into salts and surfactants respectively, ρ_{ap} is the density of dry aerosol particles and M_{sal} and M_{sft} are the molecular weight of salts and surfactants respectively. B_{sal} and B_{sft} are the contributions of the salt and the surfactant to the Raoult term B.

This parameterization takes account of the effect of aerosol composition (both inorganic and organic) on hygroscopicity (Raoult term, B) and on surface tension (Kelvin term, A). The activated aerosol concentration calculated by Eq. 4 corresponds to the concentration of cloud droplets formed. The critical supersaturation of the smallest aerosol particle activated is equal to the maximum supersaturation $S_{\max}$:

$$S_{\max} = \sqrt{\frac{S_m^2}{f(\ln \sigma) \left(\frac{\zeta}{\eta} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + g(\ln \sigma) \left(\frac{S_m^2}{(\eta + 3\zeta)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right)}} \quad (6)$$

17971

where

$$S_m = \sqrt{\frac{4A^3}{27Br_m^3}} \quad (7)$$

is the critical supersaturation for the activation of particles with dry radius r_m . $f(\ln \sigma)$ and $g(\ln \sigma)$ are two functions of σ ; ζ and η are two dimensionless terms depending on the atmospheric cooling rate (due to updraft velocity of an air parcel rising adiabatically in Abdul-Razzak and Ghan 2004 for details). For radiation fog, radiative cooling is the main mechanism driving the supersaturation. In order to take this effect into account, an additional term depending on the diabatic cooling rate is added.

The critical supersaturation (Eq. 7), and consequently the CCN activation, is driven by the chemical composition (effect on the Raoult term, B) and by the aerosol size (effect on the Kelvin term, A). For a given cooling rate, bigger aerosol particles or the presence of salt compounds decrease the critical supersaturation and hence increase the fraction activated.

3 Observations

3.1 ParisFOG Field Experiment

The six-month ParisFOG fog field experiment was carried out during the 2006–2007 winter season in a suburban area 20 km south of Paris, France (Bergot et al. 2008 and <http://sirta.ipsl.polytechnique.fr/parisfog/>). The objectives of ParisFOG were to observe and describe, as thoroughly as possible, several fog events during a winter season and to provide complete information on the various processes taking place during the life cycle of fog (turbulence, microphysics, radiation and surface exchanges). The overall aim of this experiment was thus to improve our understanding and our ability to simulate the life cycle of fog in order to enhance the quality of fog forecasts. The

17972

present work mainly focuses on the influence of microphysical processes taking place during an episode of dense radiation fog which occurred during the night of 18 to 19 February 2007 (corresponding to the Intensive Observation Period, IOP 13, of the experiment). Given the focus of the study, only the microphysical instruments will be presented here. A complete description of ParisFOG instrumentation is given in Bergot et al. (2008) or on the web site dedicated to this experiment.

3.2 Microphysical instrumentation

The particle number size distribution within a diameter between 10 and 500 nm was obtained from a Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS) composed of a long Differential Mobility Analyzer (DMA, model TSI 3081) connected to a Condensation Particle Counter (CPC, model TSI 3025 High Flow) and from an optical particle counter (OPC, model GRIMM 1.109) for a diameter range of 0.25 to 32 μm . These measurements were performed in an air-conditioned mobile laboratory. The aerosol was sampled via a low-flow $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ inlet at a height of approximately 2 m and measured for relative humidity below 50% with a 10-min resolution. Thus, both instruments were considered to operate in dry conditions at a flow rate of 0.5 l min⁻¹ and 1.2 l min⁻¹ for the DMA and the OPC respectively. Both instruments were calibrated before the field campaign by using known concentrations of mono-disperse ammonium sulphate aerosols and PSL aerosols.

Aerosol samples were also collected on filters within two size fractions (fine mode with particle diameters smaller than 2 μm and coarse mode with particle diameters larger than 2 μm) before, during and after the fog event. Aerosol mass concentration was determined by gravimetric measurements and chemical analysis of the major components (ions, carbon, metals) were performed for these two size fractions using the procedure reported by Sciare et al. (2005).

The fog droplet number size distribution was measured using another OPC (model Pallas Welas 2000) especially designed for environmental monitoring. The optical sensing volume was set-up outdoors at a height of about 2 m and connected to the

17973

rest of the device via an optical fibre. The Pallas Welas OPC was regularly calibrated using mono-disperse polystyrene latex (PSL) aerosols. The calibration curve was corrected to take the refractive index of water into account. The droplet number distribution was measured within a diameter range of 0.4–40 μm .

3.3 The intensive observation period 13: 18–19 February 2007

3.3.1 Synoptic situation

During this IOP, the Paris region was in a ridge of high pressure. The atmosphere cooled rapidly between 15:00 and 23:00 UTC (-2 K h^{-1} on average at 2 m, Fig. 1a). The fog appeared over the site at around 22:30 UTC (horizontal visibility less than 1000 m) and became dense (horizontal visibility less than 70 m) after 23:00 UTC (Fig. 1b). The vertical radiosounding carried out at 03:00 UTC suggests a rapid vertical development of fog with an observed height of 130 m, characterized by a well-developed mixed layer within the fog layer. After 23:00 UTC, the temperature at 2 m remained around 268 K (Fig. 1a) until sunrise. The visibility remained below 150 m during the whole fog event (Fig. 1b). The dissipation of the fog layer occurred around 08:00 UTC (Fig. 1b).

3.3.2 Aerosol properties

The number concentration of aerosol particles measured by the integration of the SMPS data for a diameter between 10 and 500 nm is presented in Fig. 2. During the period studied, the total number concentration of particles (solid line) varied between 5000 (at 12:00 UTC) and 20 000 cm⁻³ just before the onset of the fog episode. Log-normal functions were fitted to the observed size distributions according to Eq. 3 to provide the modal parameters (i.e. amplitude, median diameter and standard deviation) of the various populations constituting the aerosol population before fog (Table 1).

The fitted size distribution brings out the presence of two distinct modes of submicron particles: an Aitken mode ($D_p < 50$ nm) and an accumulation mode ($D_p > 50$ nm), typical of an urban aerosol. A preliminary analysis of these observations showed that the aerosol properties during IOP 13 were highly influenced by anthropogenic emissions, with the presence of ultra fine particles (UFP) smaller than 100 nm (Fig. 2). These particles came from local sources and were either directly emitted from combustion sources (mainly from vehicles) or formed by chemical reactions resulting in gas-to-particle conversion. These processes are generally followed by a growth of particles by condensation, mostly from volatile organic compound (VOC) oxidation products (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998). These processes, along with the decreases of the boundary layer thickness, can explain the high aerosol number concentrations observed between 18:00 UTC and 00:00 UTC. The influence of coarse particles (like sea-salt or mineral dust) did not appear significant, as confirmed by measurements carried out with the Grimm OPC for the fraction of particles larger than 500 nm (not shown).

Table 1 also shows that the characteristics of the aerosol accumulation mode changed rapidly. At the beginning of the night, during strong radiative cooling; the median diameter increased from 78.5 nm at 17:00 UTC to 110 nm at 21:00 UTC. During this period, the aerosol number concentration increased rapidly from 7400 cm^{-3} to $11\,400 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ between 17:00 UTC and 18:00 UTC, then after 19:00 UTC decreased to 8300 cm^{-3} . Since the aerosol size distribution was measured for a constant value of RH ($< 50\%$), the increase of median diameter can be attributed to the condensational growth of particles.

The analysis of aerosol chemical composition was based on filter samples collected between 20:00 UTC (18 February 2006) and 09:00 UTC (19 February 2006). Consequently, only an average mass of chemical compounds was determined, indicating that the overall aerosol composition was dominated by organic carbon (38.6%) including Secondary Organic Aerosols (SOA) and Primary Organic Aerosol (POA). Unfortunately, the measurement system was not able to separate SOA from POA in the observed total organic mass. The total particulate organic matter (POM) was estimated

17975

to be $[\text{POM}] = 1.6 [\text{OC}]$ (Putaud et al., 2000). The inorganic salts (ammonium sulphate and ammonium nitrate) were derived from the chemical analysis, which measured the mass of sulphates, nitrates and ammonium. The inorganic aerosol fraction mass was also large, with 25% of ammonium sulphate and 20% of ammonium nitrate. Soot (BC) formed 16.4% of the total mass. This chemical mass composition of aerosol indicates that aerosol particles observed during the period of interest were rather hydrophilic.

The aerosol characteristics (chemical composition and size distribution obtained for the two fitted modes) observed at 21:00 UTC and described above, were taken for the initialization of the so-called “reference” numerical simulation in Sects. 4 and 5. The size distribution of the two modes corresponds to median diameters of 33 nm and 110 nm and standard deviations of 1.57 and 1.59 for the Aitken and the accumulation modes respectively.

4 Sensitivity of activation to aerosol properties

This section focuses on the sensitivity of CCN activation to aerosol properties, such as the aerosol number concentration, the aerosol size distribution and their chemical composition. In order to isolate the sensitivity of activation processes, all the other physical processes were ignored. In these so-called “off-line” simulations, the atmospheric conditions and the aerosol characteristics were imposed, and only the activation module was used. All the numerical simulations presented here had stationary atmospheric conditions typical of fog during winter (278 K for temperature, 995 hPa for pressure and 100% relative humidity). The sensitivity of CCN activation was studied for different air masses encountered above continental surface. The physical and chemical properties of the aerosols studied are summarized in Table 2. The reference case corresponds to the observed aerosol discussed in the previous section. The sensitivity of CCN activation to aerosol number concentration, aerosol size and aerosol chemical composition were studied independently. This allowed us to determine the most sensitive aerosol characteristics. In a first step, we studied the effect of aerosol number concentration for

17976

the aerosol size distribution and the chemical composition corresponding to the reference case. In the second step, the effect of the aerosol median diameter was studied for fixed chemical composition and three aerosol number concentrations corresponding to clean, rural and polluted areas. Finally, the effect of chemical composition was studied for different aerosol sizes. The aerosol density ρ_{ap} was set to 1.8 g cm^{-3} and the osmotic coefficient ϕ was taken equal to 1 for all chemical compounds following Mircea et al. (2002). Sensitivity studies were restricted to the accumulation mode in this section because activated aerosols in the Aitken mode were negligible due to their small size.

4.1 Sensitivity tests on aerosol concentration

The sensitivity tests presented here focused on the impact of aerosol concentration on the CCN activation. Different cases were considered according to the cooling rate. The studied aerosol concentrations were representative of polluted urban areas where aerosols are freshly emitted to a plume spreading from polluted urban areas to rural or clean areas. In the latter case, the air mass becomes diluted during the transport, leading to a decrease in the aerosol number concentration. We assumed that the aerosol chemical composition and size distribution did not change with dilution and remained equal to the reference case (median diameter of 110 nm, geometric standard deviation of 1.59 and the reference chemical composition given in Table 3).

Figure 3a shows the activated aerosol fraction as function of aerosol number concentration for a cooling rate of -10 K h^{-1} . Three environments can be distinguished according to aerosol number concentration. For the first environment, corresponding to a polluted area ($N_a > 8000 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$) as observed during IOP 13, the activated aerosol fraction is very small (less than 5%). The second environment corresponds to a rural area ($100 < N_a < 8000 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$), where the fraction of activated aerosol increases linearly with the aerosol number concentration. The third environment is a clean environment ($N_a < 100 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$) in which more than 75% of particles are activated. When aerosol concentration increases, the maximum supersaturation $S_{\max}$

17977

decreases, and the critical diameter to activate aerosols increases. Consequently, the activated fraction decreases with an increase of aerosol concentrations. However, it should be pointed out that, due to the large number of aerosols in the polluted case, the number of activated aerosols will be greater than for the clean case.

The influence of cooling rates in contrasting environments are further investigated here. To represent these three environments, we considered a clean area with $83 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$, a rural area with $830 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$ and a polluted area with $8300 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$. The cooling rates imposed were representative of the cooling rate observed near the ground during fog formation (cooling rate by 0 K h^{-1} to -4 K h^{-1}) and that found at the top of the fog layer during the development phase (cooling rate by -4 K h^{-1} to -15 K h^{-1}), e.g. Brown and Roach (1976); Bergot and Guedalia (1994). For the three environments described previously, the fraction of activated aerosol increased with the cooling rate (Fig. 3b). However, it increased more rapidly for the clean case than for a polluted one. For a cooling rate of -2 K h^{-1} , the fraction activated was insignificant for a polluted area, was 10% for a rural area and 40% for a clean area. For a cooling rate of -10 K h^{-1} , the fraction activated was 4% for a polluted area, 40% for a rural area and 80% for a clean area. Whatever the aerosol number concentration, the number of activated aerosols (i.e. cloud droplets) was higher during the development phase (at the top of the fog), when the cooling rate became stronger than during the fog formation (at the ground). The cooling rate is a crucial factor, which changes during the life cycle of fog and acts directly on the number of cloud droplets. In a fog layer, the cooling rate depends strongly on the divergence of the radiative fluxes, which depends on the liquid water content (LWC) and, consequently on the microphysical properties of the fog layer. This point will be studied in Sect. 5.

4.2 Sensitivity tests on the aerosol median diameter

The sensitivity tests presented here focus on the impact of the median diameter of the aerosol distribution on CCN activation. The aerosol chemical composition and the shape of the size distribution correspond to the reference case and the cooling rate

17978

is imposed at -10 K h^{-1} . Figure 4a and Fig. 4b show the number of activated CCN and the maximum supersaturation respectively for the three environments defined previously (urban polluted, rural and clean areas) as a function of aerosol particle median diameter. It can be seen from Fig. 4a that, in a clean area, all aerosols having a median diameter greater than 120 nm are activated into cloud droplets. But the activated number stays smaller in the clean area than in rural or polluted areas whatever the aerosol size. In the case of small aerosol number concentration (i.e. clean area), the maximum supersaturation reached by the air mass is high (more than 0.2%, Fig. 4b). For polluted and rural areas, two behaviours can be distinguished in Fig. 4a according to the aerosol median diameter. Firstly, for a median diameter of less than 100 nm, the number of activated CCN is higher in a polluted area than in a rural one. The maximum supersaturation decreases with the aerosol median diameter (Fig. 4b). Secondly, for a median diameter bigger than 100 nm in the case under study, the number of activated aerosols is higher in the rural area than in urban polluted areas. For a high aerosol number concentration, the available water is distributed among all the aerosols and each aerosol takes a smaller amount of water in polluted areas than in rural ones. In the urban polluted area, more big aerosols are preferentially activated preventing the maximum supersaturation of the air mass from increasing. Thus, the number of activated aerosols decreases. On the contrary, in rural areas, fewer big aerosols are activated, the maximum supersaturation can become greater and higher proportion of the aerosol spectrum can be activated. The characteristics of the aerosol size distribution act on the maximum supersaturation and on the activation process. For example, for an aerosol mean diameter of 200 nm, 80 cloud droplets per cm^3 are formed for a clean area ($S_{\text{max}}=0.3\%$) whereas there are 500 cloud droplets per cm^3 for a rural area ($S_{\text{max}}=0.1\%$) and 400 cloud droplets per cm^3 for a polluted area ($S_{\text{max}}=0.03\%$).

17979

4.3 Sensitivity tests on the aerosol chemical composition

The sensitivity tests presented here focus on the impact of the aerosol chemical composition on CCN activation. The aerosol concentration and the size distribution correspond to the reference case and the cooling rate imposed is -10 K h^{-1} . Numerical computations were performed for six aerosol chemical compositions representative of different environments as detailed in Table 3. Following the study of Saxena et al. (1995), atmospheric organics are differentiated in terms of their interactions with water, into: a hydrophobic function having a surfactant behaviour (represented in our study by SOA8 hydrophobic type) and a hydrophilic function (represented by SOA1 hydrophilic type) which is condensable and water soluble, therefore behaving like inorganic compounds.

Figure 5a shows the activated aerosol fraction and number and Fig. 5b the maximum supersaturation as a function of aerosol median diameter for the six aerosol chemical compositions. As previously shown for the reference case, the fraction activated increases with the aerosol median diameter for all the chemical compositions studied (Fig. 5a). Considering chemical compositions corresponding to near combustion (NC with 5% of soluble material), dust (with 10% of soluble material), and marine (completely soluble) as described in Table 3, the fraction activated increases mainly due to the salt fraction (Raoult effect). Sulphates (marine cases) activate more easily than dust and NC because the sulphate particle mass is entirely dissociated in the aqueous phase while only 10% of dust particle mass and 5% of NC are considered soluble here. These three compositions do not affect the surface tension, which is 75.2 dyn cm^{-1} . This value corresponds to the surface tension of pure water. From a median diameter larger than 150 nm, the aerosol number activated is 420 cm^{-3} for the marine case. The effect of sulphates becomes insignificant for large aerosol sizes and only 4.8% of the spectrum is activated.

Looking at the effect of surfactants (represented by the reference case, Reference_SOA1 and Reference_SOA8 compositions) on surface tension (at aerosol median

17980

diameter of 110 nm), the results show that organic compounds reduce surface tension from $\tau=75 \text{ dyn cm}^{-1}$ (Reference_IOP_13), to $\tau=74.5 \text{ dyn cm}^{-1}$ (Reference_SOA8) and to $\tau=70.7 \text{ dyn cm}^{-1}$ (Reference_SOA1). This effect reduces the particle critical supersaturation (S_m) and thus increases the fraction activated. Organic compounds SOA1, SOA8 and POM contained in aerosols have a different impact on particle activation. Only the difference between the compounds SOA1 and SOA8 will be discussed here. Unlike the SOA1 compound, which is soluble, the SOA8 compound acts only on the Kelvin effect because it is undissolved matter. As a result, the interaction of SOA1 and SOA8 with water is totally different. As mentioned previously, the chemical composition with SOA1 has a more important impact on surface tension than the one associated with the SOA8 compound. Moreover, the SOA1 compound absorbs more water than SOA8, increasing the salt fraction (SOA1 is dissociated), thus adding an amount of additional water absorbed by inorganic salts which are also included in the aerosol composition (see Table 3). Consequently, the Reference_SOA1 composition leads to a larger fraction of activated particles than Reference_SOA8 and Reference cases.

4.4 Summary of off-line simulations

These sensitivity tests are summarized in Table 4 and in Fig. 6. This study highlights the role of the atmospheric cooling rate and aerosol properties such as their number concentration, size distribution and chemical composition, on CCN activation. The magnitude of the cooling rate is a key factor in the aerosol activation process. Moreover, the aerosol number concentration is a strong driver of the activation process. The aerosol number influences the supersaturation of the atmosphere and thus the CCN activation. It is an important factor to be considered as shown in Fig. 6, where the number of cloud droplets formed is plotted against aerosol number concentration for two contrasting cooling rates, -2 K h^{-1} (solid line) and -10 K h^{-1} (dashed line). Two behaviours can be observed on the number of aerosols activated according to aerosol number concentration. Firstly, for an aerosol number concentration less than a critical value, mainly depending on the cooling rate, the cloud droplet concentration increases

17981

when the aerosol number increases. The maximum activation rate occurs at this critical value. Secondly, if the aerosol concentration exceeds the critical value, then the number of activated CCN decreases when aerosol number increases. If aerosols become too numerous in the air parcel and reach this critical value (varying according to the cooling rate considered), aerosols absorb a large quantity of water vapour, significantly decreasing the supersaturation within the air mass. This behaviour is found whatever the cooling rate. Frank et al. (1998) and Kokkola et al. (2003) studied fogs from observations made in the Po Valley, a rather polluted region of Italy. They characterized these types of events as “unactivated fogs”.

Finally, aerosol chemical composition also influences the activation process. The water-soluble properties of compounds and the presence of organics compounds determine the ability of aerosols to capture water during the hygroscopic growth phase. This influences the supersaturation of the air mass and consequently the number of activated CCN.

5 1-D Numerical results

Off-line simulations were an efficient way to isolate aerosol influences on the activation process for various environmental conditions often encountered in continental fog situations. However, to gain further insights, it is necessary to take into account the interactions among aerosols, and the microphysical and dynamic processes taking place in the life cycle of fog. For example, the cooling rate varies strongly during the life cycle of fog: during the formation phase, cooling occurs near the ground and is relatively weak, while it is stronger and located near the top of the fog layer during the development phase. Consequently, the number of activated CCN should be greater during the development phase than during the initial phase. This topic is investigated in the following section with 1-D simulations.

5.1 Model configuration

The numerical simulations were initialized at 21:00 UTC on 18 February 2006. In this study, we will focus on the formation (before 00:00 UTC) and development phase (after 00:00 UTC) of the fog layer, and only the results of the night-time simulation will be studied. The characteristics of the boundary layer (temperature, relative humidity, wind vertical profiles) at initial time were given by the 21:00 UTC radiosounding (Fig. 7). The soil type was determined from soil texture analysis and corresponded mainly to sandy loam. The surface cover was composed of 80% of temperate pastures, 15% of temperate sub-urban and 5% of lake surface-types. The initial vertical distribution of aerosol properties for all these simulations was set constant with height. The vertical grid spacing was set to 1 m and kept constant with height up to 50 m. Such fine resolution is required to simulate a fog layer (Tardif, 2007). Above 50 m, the vertical grid was gradually stretched.

5.2 Validation of the reference simulation for intensive observation period 13: 18–19 February 2007

For this reference simulation, the specified size distribution corresponded to the observed size distribution at 21:00 UTC. The aerosol total number concentration was initialized at 17 000 aerosols per cm^{-3} with 8700 aerosols per cm^{-3} for the Aitken mode and 8300 aerosols per cm^{-3} for the accumulation mode as shown in Table 1. The specified aerosol chemical composition corresponds to the observation at 21:00 UTC (see Sect. 3).

Figure 7 compares the observed and simulated vertical profiles of the potential temperature θ during the fog event at 21:00 UTC, 03:00 UTC and 06:00 UTC. The simulation underestimates θ by about 2 K inside the fog layer (i.e. below the thermal inversion). This difference is usual in 1-D simulations of fog. It can be explained by the idealized nature of the surface, which only roughly represents the actual heterogeneity of the site, and also by the limitation of 1-D simulations (e.g. the effect of horizontal

17983

advection is not taken into account). It is also worth noting that the thermal inversion is weaker and higher in the sounding than in the simulation at 03:00 UTC. The development of the fog layer is more rapid in the observation than in the simulation, leading to too cold a simulated fog layer. The height of fog is, however, well represented at 06:00 UTC, even though the intensity of the thermal inversion is stronger than observed. It should be noted that the ascending velocity of the radiosonde (10 m s^{-1}) which prevented an accurate estimation of the top of the fog layer.

The evolution of long-wave downward radiative flux at 2 m is illustrated in Fig. 8. Before the formation of fog (before 23:00 UTC), the simulation seems to slightly underestimate the downward long-wave flux by 5 W m^{-2} . However, this value is within the range of the measurement error. During the development phase, the long-wave downward flux is well-represented and reaches a value of 330 W m^{-2} . Consequently, it seems that the liquid water content inside the fog layer is well simulated. In spite of the problems previously described, the model represents the formation and the development of the fog layer relatively well.

Figure 9 represents the evolution of the observed and simulated cloud droplets number concentration at 2 m. The formation phase is relatively well-simulated but the cloud droplet number concentrations are overestimated compared to measurements made during the development phase. The simulated maximum value is about 500 cloud droplets cm^{-3} near the ground instead of the 250 cloud droplets cm^{-3} observed. The simulated values are also higher than values obtained during other field experiments like, for example, the Po Valley experiment, in which values of cloud droplets varied between 50 and 300 (Gultepe and Isaac, 1997; Wendish et al., 1998). This could be partially explained by the fact that the parameterization of Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2004) does not take kinetic limitations into account. Chuang et al. (1997) have shown that under certain circumstances growth kinetics may retard the growth of CCN sufficiently to limit the number of activated droplets formed. By comparing the time scale for particle growth at equilibrium with that for actual condensational growth, Chuang et al. (1997) conclude that particles with critical supersaturation less than a threshold value

17984

do not have time to grow larger than their critical size, and thus do not activate. This suggests that equilibrium models that diagnose droplet formation from maximum supersaturation may systematically overestimate the number of activated droplets formed (Nenes et al., 2001). Consequently, the maximum supersaturation and the number of activated aerosols are slightly overestimated. Unfortunately, it is not possible to validate the diagnosed supersaturation against observations. It seems that it is difficult to measure the supersaturation in a fog layer because of the weak value and the localization (at the top of the fog layer). This could be the subject of future research. Moreover, rapid temporal fluctuations of cloud droplet number concentration are observed during the development phase (Fig. 9). These fluctuations can be explained by complex interaction between microphysical and dynamic processes (e.g. gravity waves at the top of the fog layer leading to increased mixing) or by local modification of the physical properties of the air parcel (e.g. advection of more polluted aerosols from the Paris area). In the simplified 1-D simulation, it is not possible to take these processes into account.

The numerical results of the simulation for the cloud droplet number concentration are plotted in Fig. 10a. Fog formation takes place around 23:00 UTC, in good agreement with observations. At the beginning, the fog rises rapidly and then grows gradually to reach a height of 110 m at 03:00 UTC as mentioned before. The maximum number of cloud droplets is located at the top of the fog where the cooling rate is the greatest during the development phase. Figure 11 illustrates an example of a simulated vertical profile of the cooling rate at 03:00 UTC. The cooling rate is maximum near the top of the fog (-9 K h^{-1} for the reference case, thick solid line) and decreases rapidly to become very weak within the fog and close to zero at the ground. From 02:00–03:00 UTC, the fog continues to develop vertically and the sedimentation process of cloud droplets becomes important (see accumulated precipitation for cloud droplets in Table 5). The liquid water path (LWP) diminishes slightly and the radiative cooling decreases (Table 5 for the so-called “polluted reference” case). As a result, the activation process becomes weaker during this development phase and the cloud droplet number concentration gradually decreases. Moreover, one can see in Fig. 10a that the

17985

cloud droplet concentration is relatively constant with height within the fog layer. This is explained by the turbulent mixing acting inside the fog layer. Consequently, the number of cloud droplets at the ground depends strongly on the history of the fog layer and on the number of cloud droplets formed at the top of the fog layer (sedimentation of fog droplets).

For the case studied, the microphysical parameterization (Khairoutdinov and Kogan 2000, microphysical scheme and the CCN activation parameterization of Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2004)) used have realistic behaviour despite an overestimate of the cloud droplet number. The sensitivity of the life cycle of fog to aerosol type will now be studied. These sensitivity simulations differ in the specification of the aerosol concentration and aerosol chemical composition from the reference simulation described previously.

5.3 Evolution of a fog layer with aerosol number concentration: a non-linear relation

The previous section allowed us to validate the behaviour of the model for the reference case. In the following, numerical simulations results will be shown, with special emphasis on differences in microphysical structure of the fog layer. For the sensitivity experiments presented here, only the number concentration of the accumulation mode was changed. Three simulations were then performed using an aerosol concentration of 1000, 5000 and 12 000 particles per cm^3 in the accumulation mode, varying from the slightly polluted case to a heavily polluted case.

The evolution of the cloud droplet number concentrations is plotted in Fig. 10. Independently of the aerosol number concentration, fog forms approximately at the same time (around 23:00 UTC) which is due mainly to the thermodynamic conditions associated with the local air mass. But the vertical development and the characteristics of the fog layer are significantly different. Table 5 details the evolution of the maximum height of the fog, the maximum cooling rate, the highest value of S_{max} , the maximum cloud droplet number concentration, the LWP, the accumulated precipitation at the surface for the cloud droplets and the effective droplet radius for the four

17986

types of aerosol concentrations considered. At 06:00 UTC, the fog layer exhibits a greater vertical development in the case of 5000 particles cm^{-3} (180 m, Fig. 10 c) and in the reference simulation (170 m, Fig. 10a) than for the cases of 1000 cm^{-3} (150 metres, Figure 10b) and 12 000 cm^{-3} (150 m, Fig. 10d). However, the number of cloud droplets varied during the life cycle of fog for the four cases studied. At 00:00 UTC, the cloud droplet number reached a value of 120 droplets per cm^3 for the slightly polluted case (1000 cm^{-3}), 400 cm^{-3} for the more polluted case (5000 cm^{-3}), 380 cm^{-3} for the polluted case (8300 cm^{-3} , reference) and only 220 cm^{-3} for the heavily polluted case (Table 5). At 06:00 UTC, the concentration was off by 35 droplets per cm^3 for the slightly polluted case (1000 cm^{-3}), 200 cm^{-3} for the more polluted case (5000 cm^{-3}), 100 cm^{-3} for the reference case (8300 cm^{-3}) and 50 cm^{-3} for the heavily polluted case (12 000 particles cm^{-3}). The number of aerosols strongly influences the supersaturation of the atmosphere and, in consequence, directly influences number of cloud droplets. As previously mentioned, the maximum activation rate is located at the top of the fog where the radiative cooling is the strongest.

Figure 12 describes the LWC vertical profiles at 23:00, 00:00, 03:00 and 06:00 UTC for the four cases studied. Whatever the sensitivity simulations, liquid water is mostly condensed at the top of the fog layer where the cooling rate is the greatest (Fig. 11). However, LWC profiles depend strongly on the aerosol number concentration and consequently on the droplet number concentration. The interactions between the droplet number concentration and the LWC are quite complex: an increase in LWC does not necessarily change the droplet number concentration. During the formation stage (23:00 UTC), the LWC is greatest (0.2 g kg^{-1}) in the slightly polluted case compared to 0.1 g kg^{-1} for the reference case and only 0.07 g kg^{-1} for the most polluted case. These values are in accordance with the study of Wendish et al. (1998). During the development phase, after 00:00 UTC, the behaviour is quite different. Feedback occurs between the radiative and microphysical processes. If the LWP increases (i.e. the LWC increases for a given height of fog), the radiative cooling at the top of the fog will also increase, promoting aerosol activation (Table 5). The LWC at the top of the fog layer is

17987

0.41 g kg^{-1} for an aerosol concentration of 5000 cm^{-3} whereas it is only 0.15 g kg^{-1} in the most polluted case (concentration of 12 000 particles cm^{-3}). The LWC is influenced not only directly by the cooling rate but also indirectly by the aerosol number concentration. Thus, the aerosol number concentration seems to be an important factor to be taken into account when the life cycle of fog is represented.

Figure 13 shows the evolution of the LWP during the simulation for the four cases studied. Two behaviours are apparent. Firstly, during the formation phase, the LWP is highest in the slightly polluted case (5.8 g m^{-2} at 23:00 UTC) compared with the other cases. The cooling rate is highest (-8.5 K h^{-1} , see Table 5) in the slightly polluted case. Secondly, during the phase, from 02:00 UTC, the slightly polluted case produces the weakest LWP among all sensitivity simulations, whereas the case with 5000 aerosols per cm^3 leads to the highest LWP (46 g m^{-2} at 06:00 UTC). This is explained by the difference of accumulated precipitation for the cloud droplets (Table 5) due to the difference of settling velocity of the fog droplets. The accumulated precipitation for rain is negligible. The aerosol number concentration influences the cloud droplet concentration and size, which themselves affect the settling velocity and thus the accumulated precipitation at the ground. This affects the LWP and, indirectly, the height of the fog. Under very polluted conditions, the decrease of S_{max} leads to a reduction of the cloud droplet number concentration and consequently the droplet size becomes larger (increase in effective droplets radius, Table 5). The difference of LWC at the top of the fog layer among the cases studied affects the divergence of radiative fluxes at the top of the fog layer and thus the radiative cooling. This interaction between the microphysical and the radiative processes explain the reduction of the cloud droplet concentration during the development of fog.

17988

5.4 Sensitivity of fog formation and development to aerosol chemical composition

Two additional 1-D simulations were performed to study the impact of the aerosol chemical composition (with different solubilities) on the formation and the development of radiative fog. To perform these sensitivity simulations, the chosen chemical composition corresponded to a mineral aerosol case (with 10% solubility) and to Reference_SOA1 (with 85% solubility) (see Table 3). The results of the numerical simulations are shown in Fig. 14, in which the evolution of the cloud droplet number concentration is plotted for the mineral aerosol case and the Reference_SOA1 case. The aerosol number concentrations were fixed at 8700 cm^{-3} and 8300 cm^{-3} for the Aitken and accumulation modes respectively.

The fog again forms approximately at the same time (23:00 UTC) for these three chemical compositions. As for the sensitivity to the aerosol number concentration, the aerosol chemical composition does not affect the time of fog formation. In contrast, the cloud droplet number concentration is quite different during the fog event. For the 10% soluble case (referred to as the mineral aerosol case), at 06:00 UTC, the number of cloud droplets is 50 cm^{-3} lower at the ground and the height of fog only reaches 70 m. In comparison, the 85% soluble case (named Reference_SOA1 case) produces $600\text{ cloud droplets cm}^{-3}$ at the top of the fog layer, a value slightly higher than for the Reference_IOP 13 case (effect of surfactants, see Sect. 4.3).

Table 6 shows the evolution of the maximum height of fog, the maximum cooling rate, the highest value of S_{max} , the maximum cloud droplet number concentration, the LWP, the accumulated precipitation and the effective droplets radius for the two aerosol chemical compositions considered. As for the aerosols number concentration, the aerosol chemical composition strongly influences the LWC. During the formation phase, at 23:00 UTC, the height of the fog layer is the same for both cases. But during the development phase, between 00:00 and 03:00 UTC, the behaviour is quite different. In the Reference_SOA1 case, the height of fog increases more than in the mineral

17989

aerosol case, and the radiative cooling at the top of the fog also increases, -18 K h^{-1} at 00:00 UTC, promoting aerosol activation and leading to a cloud droplet concentration of $1050\text{ cloud droplets cm}^{-3}$ at the top of the fog layer.

As for simulations of sensitivity to aerosol number concentration, the aerosol chemical composition influences the cloud droplet concentration and size, which themselves affect the settling velocity and thus the accumulated precipitation at the ground (Table 6). This indirectly affects the LWP and depth of the fog layer. Thus, the amount of condensed water is smaller at the top of the fog for the mineral aerosol case than for the Reference_SOA1 case. The maximum value of LWP is 8.8 g m^{-2} for mineral aerosols whereas it reaches 44 g m^{-2} in the Reference_SOA1 case. The fog layer reaches a height of 180 m in the Reference_SOA1 case whereas it only reaches 70 m in the case of mineral aerosols at 06:00 UTC.

6 Conclusions and recommendations

This study evaluated the impact of aerosols (number concentration, size distribution and chemical composition) during the formation and development stages of radiation fog. The particularity of fog in opposition to other boundary layer clouds is that the cooling rate (responsible of the CCN activation into cloud droplets) is related to the radiative cooling rather than the adiabatic cooling associated with updrafts. Fogs also form near the ground, where the variability of aerosol number concentration is the highest.

A simplified off-line framework allowed us to study the sensitivity of CCN activation to different key parameters such as the cooling rate, the aerosol number concentration, the aerosol size and its chemical composition. The results of these simulations underline the key role played by radiative cooling in the activation process. Radiative cooling is the main source of condensed water and aerosols, by their capabilities to act as CCN from the sink of water vapour. The cloud droplets formed in radiation fogs are the net budget of these two processes. It has been shown that water transfer to aerosols can limit the activation processes under polluted conditions. In the case,

17990

where aerosols are numerous, the maximum supersaturation reached within the air mass remains weak, preventing particles from reaching their critical activation diameter. For a fixed aerosol size distribution, it is possible to determine a critical value of aerosol number concentration, which primarily depends on the cooling rate. If the aerosol number concentration is lower than this critical value, the number of activated CCN increases with the aerosol number. The maximum of activation rate is reached at this critical value. Afterwards, the number of CCN activated decreases when the aerosol number concentration increases.

Complementing the off-line sensitivity simulations, a radiation fog event (IOP 13 of the ParisFOG field experiment) was studied in detail using results from 1-D simulations. Comprehensive simulations of this radiation fog event were performed using an on-line coupling of the Meso-NH meteorological model with the ORILAM aerosol scheme. This configuration takes account of the interactions between aerosol size distribution, their chemical properties and the microphysical and dynamic processes taking place during the fog life cycle. Comparisons between a baseline simulation and observations show that the model can realistically simulate the event of interest. However, the cloud droplet number concentration is slightly overestimated. The parameterization of Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2004) does not take kinetic limitations into account. Growth kinetics may retard the growth of CCN sufficiently to limit the number of activated droplets formed.

Sensitivity studies on the aerosol number concentration and chemical composition were then performed. It was found that the aerosol number concentration or chemical composition did not influence the time of fog formation for this case. But an impact on the microphysical properties and on the vertical development of fog was found. Under polluted conditions, the aerosol number concentration limited the increase of maximum supersaturation of the air mass. This led to a greater number of larger cloud droplets and increased the deposition at the ground. In the strongly polluted case, the fraction of activated CCN decreased when the aerosol number increased and the amount of water created at the top of the fog was reduced. The decreased LWC led to a reduction

17991

of the radiative cooling at the top of the fog layer during the development phase. This interaction between the microphysical and the radiative processes also explains the reduction of the cloud droplet concentration. The development of dense fog is then limited under heavily polluted conditions, in which so called “unactivated fog” seems more frequent. For these cases, it seems necessary to take the wet aerosol, and not only the cloud droplets, into account for the interactions with the other physical processes (e.g. visibility computation). These unactivated aerosols are also very important during the life cycle of fog, as they limit the activation processes.

The influence of aerosol characteristics on the dissipation phase has not been studied and will be the subject of a future work. The particularity of the dissipation phase is the interaction between the fog microstructure and its interaction with shortwave radiation. Future research efforts will also take the interstitial aerosol characteristics into consideration along with their optical properties as a function of their chemical composition. The impact of these non-activated-aerosols on the dissipation of fog needs to be studied.

In cases of strong pollution, the number of activated aerosols is less dependent on their chemical composition if their percentage of soluble material is sufficient. In the observed aerosol of ParisFOG, the aerosol mixture was composed of 55% of soluble inorganic material, 16.4% of soot and the 38.6% of organics. In this case, sensitivity studies on the type of organic matter (primary organic, hydrophobic or hydrophilic SOA) do not modify the fraction of activated aerosols by more than 10%. Nevertheless, a stronger sensitivity appears where the aerosol matter is mostly composed of hydrophobic matter that is able to inhibit activation through the Raoult term. The amount of condensed water is then smaller at the top of the fog and the cloud droplet number concentration decreases.

Another aspect which has not been addressed in this work is the effect of the cloud droplet number concentration on visibility computations. Gultepe et al. (2006) and Gultepe et al. (2007) show that the visibility predictions are directly based on the cloud droplet number concentration and the liquid water content depending on environmental

17992

conditions. The role of aerosol characteristics in determining visibility variations within fog layers should be clarified further in order to establish a comprehensive parameterization suitable for operational applications.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank our colleagues at IPSL (Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace), CERECA (Centre d'Enseignement et de Recherche en Environnement Atmosphérique) and CNRM/GAME/GMEI/4M for their collaboration and their contributions during the ParisFOG field experiment. We would also like to thank V. Masson, C. Lac for their assistance during the field modelisation and J. Sciare and P. Chazette for carrying out the aerosol chemical analysis. ParisFOG benefited from the funding of the Lefe programme by CNRS-Insu.

The publication of this article is financed by CNRS-INSU.

References

- Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 2, Multiple aerosol types, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 6837–6844, 2000. 17970
- Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S.: Parameterization of the influence of organic surfactants on aerosol activation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, 1–11, 2004. 17964, 17967, 17970, 17972, 17984, 17986, 17991
- Abdul-Razzak, H., Ghan, S., and Rivera-Carpio, C.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 1, Single aerosol type, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 6123–6131, 1998. 17966, 17970
- Aikawa, M., Hiraki, T., Shoga, M., Tamaki, M., and Sumitomo, S.: Seven-year trend and the time and seasonal dependence of fog water collected near an industrialized area in Japan, *Atmos. Res.*, 83, 1–9, 2007. 17966
- 17993
- Badarinath, K., Madhavi Latha, K., Kiran Chand, T., Reddy, R., Rama Gopal, K., Siva Sankara Reddy, L., Narasimhulu, K., and Raghavendra Kumar, K.: Black carbon aerosols and gaseous pollutants in an urban area in North India during a fog episode, *Atmos. Res.*, 85, 209–216, 2007. 17966
- Bergot, T. and Guedalia, D.: Numerical forecasting of radiation fog, Part1: Numerical model and sensitivity tests, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 122, 1218–1230, 1994. 17966, 17978
- Bergot, T., Terradellas, E., Cuxart, J., Mira, A., Liechti, O., Muller, M., and Nielsen, N.: Inter-comparison of single-column numerical models for the prediction of radiation fog, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim.*, 46, 504–521, 2007. 17969
- Bergot, T., Haeffelin, M., Musson-Genon, L., Colomb, M., Boitel, C., Bouhours, G., Bourriane, T., Carrer, D., Challet, J., Chazette, P., Drobinski, P., Dupont, E., Dupont, J., Elias, T., Fesquet, C., Garrouste, O., Gomes, L., Guerin, A., Lapouge, F., Lefranc, Y., Legain, D., Pietras, C., Plana-Fattori, A., Rangognio, J., Remy, S., Romand, B., and Zhand, X.: Paris-FOG: des chercheurs dans le brouillard, *La Météorologie*, 62, 48–58, 2008. 17967, 17972, 17973
- Blando, J. D. and Turpin, B. J.: Secondary organic aerosol formation in cloud and fog droplets: a literature evaluation of plausibility, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 1623–1632, 2000. 17966
- Bott, A.: On the influence of the physico-chemical properties of aerosols on the life cycle of radiative fogs, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 56, 1–31, 1991. 17966
- Bott, A. and Carmichael, G.: Multiphase chemistry in a Microphysical radiation fog model – A numerical study, *Atmos. Environ.*, 27A, 503–522, 1993. 17966
- Bougeault, P. and Lacarrère, P.: Parameterization of Orography – Induced Turbulence in a Mesobeta-Scale Model, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 117, 1872–1890, 1989. 17968
- Brown, R.: A numerical study of radiation fog with an explicit formulation of the microphysics, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 106, 781–802, 1980. 17966
- Brown, R. and Roach, W.: The physics of radiation fog: II: a numerical study, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 102, 335–354, 1976. 17966, 17978
- Cheng, C., Wang, W., and Chen, J.: A modelling study of aerosol impacts on cloud microphysics and radiative properties, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Society*, 133, 283–297, 2007. 17966
- Chuang, P., Charlson, R., and Seinfeld, J.: Kinetic limitations on droplet formation in clouds, *Nature*, 393, 594–596, 1997. 17984
- Cohard, J. and Pinty, J.: A comprehensive two-moment warm microphysical bulk scheme, I: Description and tests, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 126, 1815–1842, 2000a. 17966
- Cohard, J. and Pinty, J.: A comprehensive two-moment warm microphysical bulk scheme, II:

- 2-D experiments with a non hydrostatic model, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 126, 1843–1859, 2000b. 17966
- Cuxart, J., Bougeault, P., and Redelsperger, J.-L.: A turbulence scheme allowing for mesoscale and large-eddy simulations, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 126, 1–30, 2000. 17968
- 5 Duynkerke, P.: Radiation fog: A comparison of model simulation with detailed observations, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 119, 324–341, 1991. 17966
- Facchini, M., Decesari, S., Mircea, M., Fuzzi, S., and Loglio, G.: Surface tension of atmospheric wet aerosol and cloud/fog droplets in relation to their organic carbon content and chemical composition, *Atmos. Res.*, 24, 4853–4857, 2000. 17966
- 10 Fisak, J., Tesar, M., Rezacova, D., Elias, V., Weignerova, V., and Fottova, D.: Pollutant concentrations in fog and low cloud water at selected sites of the Czech Republic, *Atmos. Res.*, 64, 75–87, 2002. 17966
- Fouquart, Y.: Radiative transfer in climate modeling, M. E. Schlesinger, 1987. 17968
- Frank, G., Martinsson, B. G., Cederfelt, S., Berg, O. H., Swietlick, E., Wendisch, M., Yuskiewicz, B., Heitzenberg, J., Wiedensohler, A., Orsini, A., Stratmann, F., Laj, P., and Ricci, L.: Droplet formation and growth in polluted fogs, *Contr. Atmos. Phys.*, 71, 65–85, 1998. 17982
- 15 Geoffroy, O.: LES modeling of precipitation in boundary layer clouds and parameterization for general circulation model, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France, 2007. 17969
- 20 Ghan, S., Chuang, C., and Penner, J.: A parameterization of cloud droplet nucleation, part I, Single aerosol type, *Atmos. Res.*, 30, 197–221, 1993. 17966
- Griffin, R., Dabdub, D., and Seinfeld, J.: Secondary organic aerosol: 1, Atmospheric chemical mechanism for production of molecular constituents, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4332, 2002. 17970
- 25 Griffin, R., Nguyen, K., Dabdub, D., and Seinfeld, J.: A coupled hydrophobic-hydrophilic model for predicting secondary organic aerosol formation, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 44, 171–190, 2003. 17970
- Griffin, R., Dabdub, D., and Seinfeld, J.: Development and initial evaluation of a dynamic species-resolved model for gas phase chemistry and size-resolved gas/particle partitioning associated with secondary organic aerosol formation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D05304, doi:10.1029/2004JD005219, 2005. 18001
- 30 Gultepe, I. and Isaac, G.: Scale effects on averaging of cloud droplet and aerosol number concentrations: Observations and models, *J. Climate*, 12, 1268–1279, 1997. 17984

17995

- Gultepe, I., Muller, M., and Boybeyi, Z.: A new visibility parameterization for warm fog applications in numerical weather prediction models, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim.*, 45, 1469–1480, 2006. 17992
- 5 Gultepe, I., Tardif, R., Michaelides, S. C., Cermak, J., Bott, A., Bendix, J., Muller, M. D., Pagowski, M., Hansen, B., Ellrod, G., Jacobs, W., Toth, G., and Cober, S. G.: Fog Research: A Review of Past Achievements and Future Perspectives, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 164, 1121–1159, 2007. 17992
- Husain, L., Khan, A., Ghauri, B., Dutkiewicz, V. A., and Farhana, B. K.: Carbonaceous and sulfate aerosols in highly polluted urban fog and clear air in South Asia, in: Fourth International Conference on fog, fog collection and dew, La Serena, Chile, 2007. 17966
- 10 Kessler, E.: On the distribution and continuity of water substance in atmospheric circulation, *Meteor. Mon.*, 32, 84 pp, 1969. 17969
- Khairoutdinov, M. and Kogan, Y.: A new cloud parameterization in a Large-Eddy Simulation Model of Marine Stratocumulus, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 128, 229–243, 2000. 17967, 17969, 17986
- 15 Köhler, H.: The nucleus in and the growth of hygroscopic droplets, *T. Faraday Soc.*, 32, 1152–1161, 1936. 17970
- Kokkola, H., Romakkaniemi, S., and Laaksonen, A.: On the formation of radiation fogs under heavily polluted conditions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 581–589, 2003, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/3/581/2003/>. 17982
- 20 Lafore, J. P., Stein, J., Asencio, N., Bougeault, P., Ducrocq, V., Duron, J., Fischer, C., Hérelil, P., Mascart, P., Masson, V., Pinty, J. P., Redelsperger, J. L., Richard, E., and Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, J.: The Meso-NH Atmospheric Simulation System, Part I: adiabatic formulation and control simulations, *Ann. Geophys.*, 16, 90–109, 1998, <http://www.ann-geophys.net/16/90/1998/>. 17967, 17968
- 25 Li, Z., Willmans, A., and Rood, J. M.: Influence of Soluble Properties on the Activation of Aerosol Particles Containing Inorganic Solute, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 55, 1859–1866, 1998. 17966
- Masson, V.: A physically-based scheme for the urban energy balance in atmospheric models, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 94, 357–397, 2000. 17969
- 30 Mircea, M., Facchini, M., Decesari, S., Fuzzi, S., and Charlson, R.: The influence of the organic aerosol component on CCN supersaturation spectra for different aerosol types, *Tellus*, 54B, 74–81, 2002. 17977
- Mlawer, E., Taubman, S., Brown, M., Iacono, M., and Clough, S.: Radiative transfer for inhomogeneous

17996

- geneous atmospheres: RRTM, a validation correlated-k model for the longwave, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102D, 16662–16682, 1997. 17968
- Moore, K. F., Eli Sherman, D., Reilly, J. E., Hannigan, M., Lee, T., and Collett Jr., J. L.: Drop size-dependent chemical composition of clouds and fogs, Part II: Relevance to interpreting the aerosol/trace gas/fog system, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 1403–1415, 2004. 17966
- Morcrette, J.-J.: Physical parametrization, ECMWF Forecast Model, ECMWF Tech. Memo. 165, 1989. 17968
- Nakanishi, M.: Large-eddy simulation of radiation fog, *Bound.-Lay. Meteorol.*, 94, 461–493, 2000. 17965
- Nenes, A. and Seinfeld, J.: Parameterization of cloud droplet formation in global climate models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D14), 4415, doi:10.1029/2002JD002911, 2003. 17966
- Nenes, A., Ghan, S., Abdul-Razzak, H., Chuang, Y., and Seinfeld, J.: Kinetic limitations on cloud droplet formation and impact on cloud albedo, *Tellus*, 53B, 133–149, 2001. 17985
- Noilhan, J. and Planton, S.: A simple parameterization of land surface processes for meteorological models, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 117, 536–549, 1989. 17969
- Pagowski, M., Gultepe, I., and King, P.: Analysis and modeling of an extremely dense fog event in southern Ontario, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 43, 3–16, 2004. 17965
- Pandis, S. and Seinfeld, J.: Mathematical modeling of acid deposition due to radiation fog, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 94, 12911–12923, 1989a. 17966
- Pandis, S. and Seinfeld, J.: Sensitivity analysis of a chemical mechanism for aqueous-phase atmospheric chemistry, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 94, 1105–1126, 1989b. 17966
- Press, W., Teukolsky, S., Vetterling, W., and Flannery, B. P.: Numerical recipes in FORTRAN: The art of scientific computing, 2nd Ed., Cambridge University Press, 1992. 17969
- Pruppacher, H. and Klett, J.: *Microphysics of Clouds and Precipitation*, Kluwer Acad., Norwell, Mass, 1997. 17965
- Putaud, J.-P., Van Dingenen, R., Mangoni, M., Virkkula, A., Raes, F., Maring, H., Prospero, J., Berg, O., Hillamo, R., and Makkela, T.: Chemical mass closure and assessment of the origin of the submicron aerosol in the marine boundary and the free troposphere at Tenerife during ACE2, *Tellus*, 52B(2), 141–168, 2000. 17976
- Reilly, J. E., Rattigan, O., Moore, K., Judd, C., Eli Sherman, D., Dutkiewicz, V., Kreidenweis, S., Husain, L., and Collett Jr., J. L.: Drop size-dependant S(IV) oxidation in chemically heterogeneous radiation fogs, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35, 5717–5728, 2001. 17966
- Sandu, I., Brenguier, J., Geoffroy, O., Thouron, O., and Masson, V.: Aerosol impacts on the

17997

- diurnal cycle of marine Stratocumulus, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 65, 2705–2718, 2008. 17969
- Saxena, P. and Hildemann, L.: Water-soluble organics in atmospheric particles: a critical review of the literature and application of thermodynamics to identify candidate compounds, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 24, 57–109, 1996. 17966
- Saxena, P., Hildemann, L., McMurry, P., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Organics alter hygroscopic behavior of atmospheric particles, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 18755–18770, 1995. 17980
- Sciare, J., Oikonomou, K., Cachier, H., Mihalopoulos, N., Andreae, M. O., Maenhaut, W., and Sarda-Estève, R.: Aerosol mass closure and reconstruction of the light scattering coefficient over the Eastern Mediterranean Sea during the MINOS campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 2253–2265, 2005, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/2253/2005/>. 17973
- Seinfeld, J. and Pandis, S.: *Atmospheric chemistry and physics*, John Wiley, New-York, 1998. 17975
- Seinfeld, J., Pandis, S., and Pilinis, C.: Heterogeneous sulfate production in an urban fog, *Atmos. Environ.*, 26A, 2509–2522, 1992. 17966
- Shulman, M., Jacobson, M., Carlson, R., Synovec, R., and Young, T.: Dissolution behavior and surface tension effects of organic compounds in nucleating cloud droplets, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 277–280, 1996. 17966, 17971
- Smith, E. and Shi, L.: Surface forcing of the infrared cooling profile over the Tibetan plateau, Part I: Influence of relative longwave radiative heating at high altitude, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 49, 805–822, 1992. 17968
- Tardif, R.: The impact of vertical resolution in the explicit numerical simulation of radiation fog : a case study, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 164, 1221–1240, 2007. 17983
- Tulet, P., Crassier, V., Cousin, F., Suhre, K., and Rosset, R.: ORILAM, a three-moment log-normal aerosol scheme for mesoscale atmospheric model: Online coupling into the Meso-NH-C model and validation on the Escompte campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D18201–D18201-13, 2005. 17967, 17969
- Tulet, P., Grini, A., Griffin, J., and Petitcol, S.: ORILAM-SOA: A computationally efficient model for predicting secondary organic aerosols in three-dimensional atmospheric models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D23208, doi:10.1029/2006JD007152, 2006. 17970, 18001
- Twomey, S.: The nuclei of natural cloud formation, II, The supersaturation in natural clouds and the variation of cloud droplet concentration, *Geophys. Pure Appl.*, 43, 243–249, 1959. 17966
- Vautard, R., Yiou, P., and Oldenborgh, G. J. v.: Decline of fog, mist and haze in Europe over

17998

- the past 30 years, *Nat. Geosci.*, 2, 115–119, 2009. 17965
- Wendish, M., Mertes, S., Heintzenberg, J., Wiedensohler, A., Schell, D., Wobrock, W., Frank, G., Martinsson, B., Fuzzi, S., Oris, G., Kos, G., and Berner, A.: Drop size distribution and LWC in Po Valley Fog, *Contribution to Atmospheric Physics*, 71/1, 87–100, 1998. 17984,
- 5 17987
- Zappoli, S., Andracchio, A., Fuzzi, S., Facchini, M., Gelencser, A., Kiss, G., Krivacsy, Z., Molnar, A., Meszaros, E., Hansson, H.-C., Rosman, K., and Zebühr, Y.: Inorganic, organic and macromolecular components of fine aerosol in different areas of Europe in relation to their water solubility, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 2733–2743, 1999. 17966

17999

Table 1. IOP13, 18–19 February 2007: Aerosol size distribution characteristics measured from SMPS before the fog episode.

<i>Time period (UTC)</i>	<i>Äitken Mode</i>			<i>Accumulation Mode</i>		
	$N \text{ (cm}^{-3}\text{)}$	$D_p \text{ (nm)}$	σ	$N \text{ (cm}^{-3}\text{)}$	$D_p \text{ (nm)}$	σ
17–18	4050	17.4	1.51	7400	78.5	1.84
18–19	5700	20.6	1.59	11400	81.2	1.87
19–20	8200	30	1.64	10700	97.3	1.71
20–21	7700	32	1.59	9700	102.0	1.68
21–22	8700	33	1.57	8300	110	1.59

18000

Table 2. Physical and chemical properties of chemical compounds.

	Compounds Chemical	Molecular Weight	Solubility	No. of Ions
INORGANIC	$(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$	132	1	3
	NH_4NO_3	80	1	2
INSOLUBLE	SOOT	250	0	0
ORGANIC PRIMARY	POM	250	0.1	1
MINERAL (AEROSOL)	DUST	250	0.1	1
ORGANIC	SOA1 ^a	88	0.99	1
SECONDARY*	SOA8 ^b	195	0.23	0

* SOA groups considered by Griffin et al. (2005) and by Tulet et al. (2006)

^a SOA1 Hydrophilic Secondary Organic Aerosol (Anthropogenic, dissociative type)

^b SOA8 Hydrophobic Secondary Organic Aerosol (Anthropogenic, poly-aromate type)

18001

Table 3. The six aerosol chemical compositions used in the sensitivity tests in "off-line" simulations. The percentage represents the mass fraction of each compound contained in the aerosol.

Chemical Composition	$(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$	NH_4NO_3	SOOT	POM	SOA1	SOA8	DUST
Near Combustion Exhaust	—	—	50%	50%	—	—	—
Mineral Aerosol ParisFOG	—	—	—	—	—	—	100%
Reference IOP 13	25%	20%	16.4%	38.6%	—	—	—
Marine	100%	—	—	—	—	—	—
Reference.SOA1	25%	20%	16.4%	—	38.6%	—	—
Reference.SOA8	25%	20%	16.4%	—	—	38.6%	—

18002

Table 4. Summary of the sensitivity tests of cooling rate, aerosol chemical composition and geometric median diameter on the formation of fog droplets. The geometric standard deviation and the aerosol number concentration are 1.59 and 8300 particles cm⁻³ respectively. Percentages represent the fraction of activated aerosol. Numbers in parentheses represent the number of activated aerosols formed.

	FORMATION (Cooling rate=-2 K h ⁻¹ on the surface)					
	Near Combustion ^a	Mineral Aerosol	Reference IOP 13	Marine	Reference SOA1 ^b	Reference SOA8
Diameter 40 nm	0% (0)	0% (0)	0.02% (2)	0.02% (2)	0.02% (2)	0.02% (2)
Diameter 110 nm*	0.01% (1)	0.02% (2)	0.03% (3)	0.036% (3)	0.046% (4)	0.037% (3)
Diameter 300 nm	0.03% (3)	0.035% (3)	0.04% (3)	0.037% (3)	0.048% (4)	0.04% (3)
	DEVELOPMENT (Cooling rate=-10 K h ⁻¹ at the top of the fog)					
	Near Combustion ^a	Dust	Reference	Marine	Reference SOA1 ^b	Reference SOA8
Diameter 40 nm	0% (0)	0.01% (1)	1.5% (121)	2% (167)	1.8% (147)	1.4% (122)
Diameter 110 nm*	0.5% (46)	1% (90)	4.4% (363)	4.6% (380)	4.3% (430)	3.7% (368)
Diameter 300 nm	3.5% (295)	4% (339)	5% (421)	5.1% (421)	5.8% (483)	5.1% (426)

* aerosol median diameter of the Reference Case IOP 13

^a minimum cloud droplets number formed (minimum aerosol number activated)

^b maximum cloud droplets number formed (maximum aerosol number activated)

18003

Table 5. Numerical values of the maximum height of fog, the strongest cooling rate, the highest value of S_{max} , the maximum cloud droplet concentration, the Liquid Water Path, the accumulated precipitation at the ground (for cloud droplets) and the effective droplets radius for the four sensitivity tests: slightly polluted (1000 aerosols per cm⁻³), more polluted (5000 aerosols per cm⁻³), polluted – reference (8300 aerosols per cm⁻³) and heavily polluted (12 000 aerosols per cm⁻³) at 23:00, 00:00, 03:00 and 06:00 UTC.

Time of Simulation (hours)	Sensitivity tests	Height of fog (meters)	Cooling rate (K h ⁻¹)	S_{max} %	Cloud Droplets Conc. (cm ⁻³)	Liquid Water Path (g m ⁻²)	Accumulated Precipitation (mm)	Effective Droplets Radius (μm)
23:00 UTC	Slightly Polluted	30	8.5	0.15	160	5.8	9.5×10 ⁻⁵	12
	More Polluted	30	8.3	0.082	320	4.6	4.9×10 ⁻⁵	13
	Polluted (Ref)	30	7.6	0.057	180	3.4	6.1×10 ⁻⁵	13
	Heavily Polluted	30	7.2	0.042	85	2.4	6.8×10 ⁻⁵	14
00:00 UTC	Slightly Polluted	50	11.5	0.175	203	13	1.6×10 ⁻³	11
	More Polluted	50	19.8	0.13	960	21	1.3×10 ⁻³	12
	Polluted (Ref)	50	16.2	0.091	720	15	1.3×10 ⁻³	12
	Heavily Polluted	50	12	0.060	310	7	1.3×10 ⁻³	16
03:00 UTC	Slightly Polluted	100	8.4	0.15	120	19	5.7×10 ⁻³	11
	More Polluted	110	11	0.098	400	41	4.4×10 ⁻³	8
	Polluted (Ref)	110	9	0.063	380	39	4.5×10 ⁻³	8
	Heavily Polluted	100	8.6	0.048	220	30	4.6×10 ⁻³	9
06:00 UTC	Slightly Polluted	150	6.7	0.14	90	18	6.9×10 ⁻³	13
	More Polluted	180	7	0.072	190	46	5.7×10 ⁻³	10
	Polluted (Ref)	170	5	0.04	100	34	5.8×10 ⁻³	12
	Heavily Polluted	150	3	0.022	35	19	6.1×10 ⁻³	14

18004

Table 6. Same as Table 5 for the chemical sensitivity tests.

Time of Simulation	Sensitivity tests	Height of fog	Cooling rate	S _{max} %	Cloud Droplets	Liquid Water Path	Accumulated Precipitation	Effective Droplets
23:00 UTC	Mineral Aerosol	30	6	0.16	30	1.6	8.1×10^{-5}	12
	Reference_SOA1	30	8	0.043	240	3.6	5.7×10^{-5}	14
00:00 UTC	Mineral Aerosol	40	10	0.19	38	2.8	8.8×10^{-3}	15
	Reference_SOA1	50	18	0.074	1050	17	1.3×10^{-3}	9
03:00 UTC	Mineral Aerosol	70	5	0.15	20	8.8	4.5×10^{-3}	17
	Reference_SOA1	110	11.8	0.056	460	44	4.3×10^{-3}	8
06:00 UTC	Mineral Aerosol	70	2.5	0.10	15	8.5	7.9×10^{-3}	16
	Reference_SOA1	170	5.5	0.033	160	43	5.6×10^{-3}	8

18005

Fig. 1. IOP13, 18–19 February 2007: Evolution of the temperature **(a)** and the horizontal visibility **(b)** at 2 m.

18006

Fig. 2. IOP13, 18–19 February 2007: Evolution of the aerosol number concentration measured at 2 m by integration of the SMPS data between 10 and 500 nm (solid line), ranging from 10 to 50 nm (Aitken mode, dotted line), from 50 to 500 nm (Accumulation mode, dash-dot line) and from 10 to 100 nm (ultra-fine particles, dashed line).

18007

Fig. 3. (a) Fraction of activated aerosols as a function of aerosol number concentration for a cooling rate of -10 K h^{-1} . (b) Fraction of activated aerosols as function of the cooling rate for 3 types of aerosol number concentration: Polluted area with $8300 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$, Rural area with $830 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$ and Clean area with $83 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$. For (a) and (b), the aerosol median diameter is 110 nm, the geometric standard deviation is 1.59 and the aerosol chemical composition is that of the Reference IOP 13.

18008

Fig. 4. Number of activated aerosols **(a)** and maximum supersaturation **(b)** as functions of the aerosol median diameter for the three types of aerosol number concentration described in Fig. 3 with the Reference chemical composition of IOP 13. The radiative cooling rate is -10 K h^{-1} . The geometric standard deviation is 1.59.

18009

Fig. 5. **(a)** Fraction of activated aerosols and corresponding number of activated aerosols and **(b)** maximum supersaturation as functions of the aerosol median diameter for the six aerosol chemical compositions (see Table 3), for a cooling rate of -10 K h^{-1} , the aerosol number concentration and the geometric standard deviation are respectively $8300 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$ and 1.59.

18010

Fig. 6. Number of activated aerosols as function of aerosol number concentration for a cooling rate of -2 K h^{-1} (solid line) and -10 K h^{-1} (dashed line) with the Reference chemical composition. The aerosol median diameter and the geometric standard deviation are 110 nm and 1.59 respectively.

18011

Fig. 7. Observed (solid line) and simulated (dashed line) vertical profiles of potential temperature at 21:00, 03:00 and 06:00 UTC.

18012

Fig. 8. Evolution of long-wave downward radiative flux observed (solid line) and simulated (dashed line) at 2 m.

18013

Fig. 9. Evolution of cloud droplets number concentration observed (solid line) and simulated (dashed line) at 2 m.

18014

Fig. 10. Cloud droplet number concentration (cm^{-3}) for the four sensitivity cases for an aerosol number concentration in accumulation mode of 8300 cm^{-3} (reference) **(a)**, 1000 cm^{-3} **(b)**, 5000 cm^{-3} **(c)** and 12000 cm^{-3} **(d)** as functions of height and time.

18015

Fig. 11. Example of simulated vertical profile of radiative cooling rate (K h^{-1}) at 03:00 UTC for the four sensitivity cases: 1000 cm^{-3} (solid line), 5000 cm^{-3} (dotted line), 8300 cm^{-3} (reference, thick solid line) and $12000 \text{ particles cm}^{-3}$ (dash-dot line).

18016

Fig. 12. Vertical profiles of LWC (g kg^{-1}) at 23:00, 00:00, 03:00 and 06:00 UTC for the four sensitivity cases: 1000 cm^{-3} (solid line), 5000 cm^{-3} (dotted line), 8300 cm^{-3} (reference, thick solid line) and 12000 cm^{-3} (dash-dot line).

18017

Fig. 13. Evolution of the LWP (g m^{-2}) for the four sensitivity cases: slightly polluted 1000 cm^{-3} (solid line), more polluted 5000 cm^{-3} (dotted line), polluted 8300 cm^{-3} (reference) (thick solid line) and heavily polluted 12000 cm^{-3} (dash-dot line) for the accumulation mode during the simulation.

18018

Fig. 14. Cloud droplet concentration (cm^{-3}) for the aerosol chemical composition with 10% solubility (Mineral Aerosol case) **(a)** and 85% solubility (Reference_SOA1) **(b)** as functions of height and time.